

GEORGE MASON UNIVERSITY

Athletics & Recreation Master Plan









EwingCole

 The integration of architecture, engineering, interior design and master planning encourages a balance between technical and aesthetic insights.

We bring a sense of balance between creativity and workable solutions, expectations and deadlines, beauty and function with the result of buildings that support and inspire the activities within.

Planning is less a matter of style than of discovery -- of finding ways to connect function, need, context, and most importantly, to develop a unique sense of place.

CLEVELAND

One Chagrin Highlands 2000 Auburn Drive Suite 200 Cleveland, OH 44122-4328 Main: 216.378.7640 Fax: 216.378.7641

IRVIN

Jamboree Center 5 Park Plaza Suite 650 Irvine, CA 92614-8586 Main: 949.417-7550 Fax: 949.417-7590

LAS VEGAS

4045 South Industrial Road Las Vegas, NV 89103-4139 Main: 702.932.2788 Fax: 702.932.2789

PHILADELPHIA

Federal Reserve Bank Building 100 North 6th Street Philadelphia, PA 19106-1590 Main: 215.923.2020 Fax: 215.574.0952

WASHINGTON

1025 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 900 Washington, DC 20036-5405 Main: 202.467.1500 Fax: 202.296.8950

Leadership. Ideas. Results.

George Mason University

Bruce Cooper, Asst. Athletic Director, Facilities & Recreational Sports Benn Crandall, Senior Facilities Project Officer Reid Herlihy, AIA, Vice President, Facilities Mickey McDade, Senior Associate Athletic Director, Operations, Facilities & Administration Jim Miller, AIA, University Architect Thomas O'Connor, Assistant Vice President/Director, Athletics Maurice Scherrens, Senior Vice President

Recreation & Athletic Master Plan Architects and Engineers

EwingCole Federal Reserve Bank Building 100 North 6th Street 6th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19106 Don Dissinger, Senior Vice President Bill Jelen, Architect Bill McCullough, Senior Sports Planner

Master Plan Consultants

Brailsford & Dunlavey 1140 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 400 Washington, DC 20036 Matthew Bohannon, Analyst Ann Drummie, Project Manager Chris Lambert, Analyst Jeff Turner, Vice President

Table of Contents

Project Team

Section 1

Overview	
Executive Summary	
Methodology	
Site & Facilities Evaluation	
Campuses	
Fairfax Sites	
Existing Facilities	
The Demand Analysis	
The Statement of Needs	
Development Options	
Budget and Program Options	1.6

Section 2

Detailed Program	
Engineering Report	
Structural	
Mechanical and Plumbing	
Fire Protection	
Electrical	

Overview

1.2.1 The Context

George Mason University is currently facing the challenge of effectively managing dramatic growth throughout the next decade. Within the context of a constrained state budget and finite land resources on a campus in the midst of economically booming and congested suburban surroundings, the institution anticipates continued growth. As of the fall of 2003, George Mason has the largest enrollment in the Commonwealth of Virginia, and expects to continue to be the largest in the coming years. Although the objectives of the Department of Athletics are relatively fixed with regard to conference affiliation and range of programs, the growing recreational, intramural, and fitness needs of the general student enrollment have clearly put pressure on the land and facility resources available.

While the Athletic Department has historically demonstrated a high level of commitment to both the health and safety of student athletes, including compliance with Title IX, and the provision of first-class student life resources to the enrollment as a whole, and a series of projects are already contemplated, the sheer growth of the University requires that a plan be laid out to ensure that future investments are made efficiently and wisely to enable the Athletic and Recreation Department to continue to perform at the same level of excellence.

The master planning effort studies the feasibility of improvement and utilization options for the PE Building and Robinson Field, the Aquatic and Fitness Center, Field House (West Campus), Patriot Center, the Northeast sector, Shirley Gate and all field areas available. This study develops a comprehensive 10 year athletic and recreation master plan that seeks to enhance the quality of student life, support the demanding needs of a successful athletic program and reinforce the University's mission of rethinking the traditional structure of the academy while creating institutional loyalty.

1.2.2 Opportunities:

There is a tremendous infrastructure and facilities already in place at George Mason University.

This planning study offers the university an opportunity to develop a strategy of possible renovations, additions, enhancements and overall improvements to recreation and athletic opportunities that will make the campus as a whole the place to come, stay and experience a collegiate lifestyle in the modern age.

This study seeks to understand:

- How the PE Building can better serve the University in the future?
- How the campus can be improved to provide better fitness and recreational facilities for all students, faculty, staff and potential community membership?

George Mason University Project No. 23471

1.1

- How the current master plan addresses athletics and recreation and the potential influence that athletics and recreation can have in establishing linkages throughout the campus and enhancing the quality of the student life experience?
- Where are the right locations for athletic and recreational facilities based on the future strategic plans at the University?

The goal is to provide the necessary information so that the University can make an informed decision as to the future needs for athletic and recreational needs and the best utilization of facilities and grounds for all students. The initial goals for development were as follows:

- Maximize PE Building multi-use considerations to improve schedule conflicts between growing recreation and athletic needs.
- Consider revenue generating opportunities other than student fees high school, private use/membership
- Maximize flexibility scheduling and use
- Establish strong athletic identity potential integration between field house and baseball stadium
- Establish a user-friendly relationship with the neighboring community.
- Coordinate with other student life facilities and other campus master plan considerations
- Understand potential collateral development that will enhance additional community participation consideration for 90-acre site at Shirley gate.
- Integrate parking and pedestrian considerations
- Coordinate related engineering infrastructure improvements with the physical plant capacity
- Improve ADA accessibility for all buildings and grounds



1.1

As the process unfolded, and we continually assessed the key goals, vision and ideas, a new priority of the prime and refined goals emerged:

Provide appropriate facilities to accommodate the growing needs for ICA, club sports, intramurals, and campus recreation.

Overview

- Provide facilities that are:
 - Visible establish Mason identity for students, faculty, staff and community
 - Secure a place where students feel comfortable
 - Technologically Advanced in concert with the University mission
 - Diverse maximize opportunity for participation
 - Balanced meet demand and budget; design within Context of Campus Architecture
- > Improve ability to host competitions and championships.
- > Increase student, faculty, staff, and alumni interactions and bring the campus community together.
- > Increase community awareness of the institution and its athletic teams.
- Improve the campus environment and quality of campus life for students, faculty, and staff through expansion of athletic and recreation programs and facilities.
- > Accommodate an emphasis on "lifetime sports' programs.
- > Develop a solid and defendable plan.
- > Create places where students, staff and visitors want to stay and come back.

The following 2004 Campus Plan outlines the current athletic and recreation programs in the context of Mason's campus.

2004 CAMPUS PLAN



Athletics and Recreation Master Plan

1.1

Universities across the country are realizing the benefits of quality recreational facilities on campus. They not only provide a social and physical outlet for students, but also aid in the recruitment and retention of students and the development of campus community. George Mason University (Mason) is currently facing shortages of space on campus for its recreational and athletic needs. This problem will only become more acute as the campus grows over the next ten years in both on campus population and overall enrollment.

EwingCole (EC), with Brailsford & Dunlavey (B&D) conducted a series of workshops to determine the challenges Mason is facing in its current recreational and athletic facilities and programs. A considerable amount of time was spent on campus with the key stakeholders developing the plan and gaining consensus on programs, activities and services in balance with an effective facilities improvement strategy and financial objectives. In addition to a recommended program and phased campus development plan, a competitive context was generated to determine how Mason ranked among its peer institutions and to illustrate the trends that are occurring on those campuses. A capacity analysis was completed to determine the use and frequency of use of activity spaces on campus as well. The following recommendations are the result of the synthesis of this process.

1.1.1 RECOMMENDATIONS

Centralized Athletics

The recommendation includes the renovation and expansion of the Field House and the development of this facility and surrounding area as the focal point for athletics. Some key elements involve bringing volleyball, wrestling and tennis competition to this site, as well as academic support and the athletic training arm of the RHT department. Renovating and dedicating an ICA strength & conditioning room is also integral. Further, the recommendation includes an addition to the Patriot Center to serve the needs of the basketball program as a secondary practice location when the game court is not available. Additional upgrades to the main stadium at the field house and baseball facility are expected as well as upgrades to practice and softball fields. These areas will focus on improved spectator seating and amenities, improved and expanded athletic offices and locker facilities, as well as outdoor lighting and field surface upgrades.

Regional Recreation

The renovation and expansion of the Physical Education (PE) Building will provide a new focal point for campus recreation in balance with the planned expansion of the Aquatics Center. The PE Building expansion will include a major weight and fitness area, basketball/volleyball courts, jogging track, a climbing wall, locker room upgrades for general recreation and club sports, multi-purpose rooms and recreation and club team offices. This building will be the major recreation destination on campus for Mason's students, faculty, staff and potential community membership. Other opportunities for providing recreation should be pursued with a recreation center in the Northeast sector, when the demand arrives, with planned dormitory expansion in the area. The indoor component includes a fitness facility, multi-purpose rooms, lockers, and juice bar. The outdoor component in nearby Aspen Grove includes two new recreation playing fields, tennis courts, a skills and rope course, a small building to house field amenities, a multi-purpose room

Athletics and Recreation Master Plan



George Mason University Project No. 23471

1.2

Executive Summary 1.2

and equipment storage for the Outdoor Recreation Program. In addition to these areas, outdoor fitness trails through and around campus are planned as well as enhanced and additional intramural/recreation fields.

The following 2014 Campus Plan indicates the future opportunities for Mason's athletic and recreation programs in the context with the aforementioned recommendations.

EwingCole



George Mason University Project No. 23471

1.2

1.3

EwingCole's and Brailsford & Dunlavey's team approach to master planning involved a continuous interaction with the major stakeholders of the University. The Master plan is a BIG IDEA that embraces the overall mission of the University as well as Athletics and Recreation. The study contained two phases:

- 1. Understanding, Assessment and Analysis of current conditions and
- 2. Synthesis of this information into a 10 year master development plan for athletics and recreation at George Mason University.

One of the keys is to develop an accurate needs assessment involving two primary processes:

- 1. An interactive, workshop driven, consensus building process with University Staff -and,
- 2. The Demand Analysis complementing the workshop process by informing the needs with the following:
 - Student demographics and enrollment projections
 - Conditions and utilization of existing facilities
 - Benchmarking with peer and conference institutions
 - Student interviews and focus groups

EwingCole, with Brailsford & Dunlavey, also interacted frequently with Mason's campus master plan architects, Sasaki Associates, who were refining aspects of the campus master plan concurrently.

The workshops spanned over the summer months and included individuals from the Administration, Athletics, Recreation, Student Life, Facilities and Students to help guide and inform the process. A list of all workshop attendees is with the Demand Analysis below. From the series of workshops, we were able to develop a statistical program database for athletic and recreation needs for the university within the context of a ten year growth period for the university.

The goal was to provide program and planning option analyses including

- Sports and recreation site utilization plan analyses
- Program summary outlines for primary use facilities
- Conceptual diagrammatic facility plan analyses

EwingCole

- Campus opportunities diagrams to complement needs analysis
- Establish pedestrian and parking patterns related to athletics and recreation and the Master Plan

Methodology 1.3

• Engineering analysis of existing primary use buildings

Once a consensus plan was approved, a master plan financial analysis was developed detailing a 10-year capital improvement strategy listing the projects. These budgets included:

- Conceptual programs for athletic and recreation facilities
- Conceptual diagrams for the larger scale and higher demand projects
 - Physical Education Building
 - o Field House
- Order of magnitude project budget cost models

The consensus plan and outlay budgets were then prioritized with the university core committee as part of the workshop process.



1.4 SITE AND FACILITIES EVALUATION

1.4.1 Campuses

- Fairfax
- Arlington
- Prince William

Whereas this study focuses on the Fairfax Campus at George Mason University, we did receive feedback concerning deficiencies at the other campus locations. As Arlington expands in the future, there will be more of a demand for a small-scale fitness component to be integrated within the current plan. Prince William is currently enjoying the success of the Freedom Aquatic and Fitness Center, Northern Virginia's "Business of the Year" award. There are future expansion considerations to enhance this facility.

1.4.2 Sites at the Fairfax Campus:

- Main Campus
 - o Northeast Sector
 - o "South" Campus near Patriot Center and Aquatic Center
 - o PE Building and Robinson Field
- West Campus
 - o Field House and Soccer Stadium
 - o Playing Fields
- Shirleygate









George Mason University Project No. 23471

EwingCole retains the right of ownership of the design ideas, concepts, and other information expressed in this document. ©EwingCole 2004

The following Master Plan Design Assumptions illustration indicates the primary planned pedestrian paths, suggested pedestrian linkages to enhance campus connectivity, and roads and vehicular access points. Using the campus master plan by Sasaki & Associates as a background, the academic and student core is highlighted as well as the intended residential, student housing development areas as a method for determining what opportunities may be present.

- A recreation demand area in the Northeast Sector of main campus is apparent when noting the existing athletic and recreation building locations. New housing development is expected in the northeast region in the near future, and provides an opportunity to combine housing and recreation development.
- Initial growth projections in the campus master plan have been exceeded, therefore increased resident student population
 has added pressure to provide more facilities for students. Key feedback from the interview process indicates that
 students feel that no one has a place to call home at Mason, that a true campus identity for recreation and athletics is
 absent.
- A key component shown on the master plan is the bridge over Ox Road (Rt. 123) linking Main Campus to West Campus. This connector will provide Mason with an opportunity;
 - o To provide a safe way for student to cross Ox Road
 - To provide a more direct link with Main Campus to potentially increase attendance at home ICA contests on West Campus. Many coaches and students feel there is no true home field/court advantage for many of the sports because of location and poor communication with student body. This connection will likely enhance student interest in attending sporting events because the path of travel is more direct and easier.
 - Enhance Mason identity. A bridge will be one of the few Mason icons visible to the community and presents the opportunity to create a statement for the University.

George Mason University Project No. 23471

EwinaCole



1.4.3 Existing Buildings

Physical Education Building

Field House

Patriot Center

Aquatic and Recreation Center

EC conducted facility tours, compiled existing plans and prepared the following area and use diagrams. The building diagrams indicate the specific dedicated building areas used by athletics, recreation, academic support, spectator support, building services and support, and circulation. These existing square footage totals were used for comparison to the total recommended area program for athletics and recreation.

EwingCole

EXISTING CAMPUS 1.4 AREA ANALYSIS



EwingCole



George Mason University Project No. 23471



George Mason University Project No. 23471



George Mason University Project No. 23471



Athletics and Recreation Master Plan

George Mason University Project No. 23471



George Mason University Project No. 23471



George Mason University

Athletics and Recreation Master Plan



George Mason University Project No. 23471 Athletics and Recreation Master Plan



Athletics and Recreation Master Plan

George Mason University Project No. 23471



Athletics and Recreation Master Plan

George Mason University Project No. 23471

1.4.4 The Demand Analysis

EwinaCole

B&D conducted a series of focus groups and interviews to determine the challenges Mason is facing in its current recreational and athletic facilities and programs. A Competitive Context was generated to determine how Mason ranked among its peer institutions and to notice the trends that are occurring on those campuses. A Capacity Analysis was completed to determine the use and frequency of use of activity spaces on campus and was used to inform the recommended program. Highlights from the Capacity Analysis include:

- No dedicated open recreational spaces
- > High number of users in shared spaces with competing goals
 - Revenue generation impacting student user flexibility
- Few and deficient swing spaces
- Packed schedules at popular time slots
 - Particularly 7pm-11pm
- Accommodating intramurals is biggest challenge
 - 23% increase in intramural participation in last 3 years
 - No dedicated activity spaces
 - Shared Use between Open Rec, Intramurals, Cheer/Dance, Clubs, Rentals
 - Often, no available space for make-up games
 - Insufficient number of outdoor fields with lighting

As the study was conducted during the summer months, student input was limited. Further, as the study was a broadbrushed review of athletics and recreation, finer research into speculative, drop-in demand for activities such as climbing, recreational tennis, and outdoor basketball was not included in the scope. We would recommend, that as part of the next steps to pursue any or all of the projects identified in the Master Plan, that an internet-based student survey be pursued, as a well-established method of determining speculative demand for recreational facilities

Demand Analysis (Table of Contents) 1.4.4

Demand Analysis	
Introduction	1.1
Comparative Context	
Campus Interviews	
Focus Groups	
Capacity Analysis	
Program Recommendations	

Exhibits

Enrollment Projections	. 1
Competitive Context Analysis	. 2
List of Interviews	. 3
Focus Group Report	. 4
Capacity Analysis	. 5



Projected Enrollment at George Mason University (headcount)

Year	Fairfax	Arlington	Prince William	Loudon	Off-	Total
	Campus	Campus	Campus	campus	Campus	
2004	22,914	2,535	2,498	0	1,051	28,998
2005	22,890	2,854	2,794	90	1,100	29,728
2006	23,049	3,033	3,002	152	1,092	30,327
2007	23,290	3,217	3,155	217	1,052	30,930
2008	23,561	3,411	3,316	284	1,011	31,583
2009	23,799	3,607	3,478	354	966	32,205
2010	23,972	3,809	3,645	427	985	32,838
2011	24,042	4,013	3,845	535	1,003	33,438
2012	24,097	4,220	4,050	647	1,021	34,035
2013	24,145	4,434	4,261	762	1,039	34,641
2014	24,196	4,656	4,479	882	1,058	35,271

Further information about enrollment projections is given in Exhibit 1.

1.2 Competitive Context (Benchmarking)

1.2.1 Objectives

B&D conducted an analysis of Mason and a select list of peer and cross-applicant institutions to develop a profile of each institution's recreational and athletic facility capabilities. The objective of the competitive analysis is to understand Mason's current standings among competitive schools and the extent to which improved facilities, such as the Field House and PE Building, could improve the University's position in the market for general and athletic recruitment and retention.

1.2.2 Methodology

With the assistance of Mason, B&D selected twelve schools for this analysis because of regional, academic and crossapplication attributes shared with Mason. When gathering information, B&D relied on printed documentation and websites

> George Mason University Project No. 23471

1.4.4

1.1 Introduction

Quality recreational and athletic facilities play an important role in attracting and retaining high quality students and faculty. These facilities play a major role in campus life by supporting university sponsored social activities as well as the overall health and wellbeing of the campus. Past NIRSA President, Brian Carswell, remarked at a press conference for Kerr-Down Research that "students who participate in rec sports are significantly happier than those who do not. That translates into scholastic success, dedication to a college, and a stronger sense of loyalty. And, all students reported a range of benefits that include improvement of well-being, reduction of stress, improvement of self-confidence, and contribution to feeling like a stronger part of the college community." Recreational and athletic outlets provide students with a sense of ownership and community within the university. Therefore comprehensive, well-organized facilities at George Mason University (Mason) would likely aid the University in increasing its athletic reputation and in bringing the campus community together and enhancing the quality of life for students, staff and faculty. Furthermore, new and improved recreational and athletic facilities, along with the Aquatic Center and Johnson Center, will create attractive destination points on campus with the ability to meet the growing programmatic needs on campus. According to NIRSA it is estimated that "more than \$1.5 billion has recently been expended (or is approved to be spent) to renovate or build new state-of-the-art collegiate recreational sports facilities."

Over the next ten years Mason will become the most populous university in Virginia with a projected enrollment of 30,000-36,000 students in the next ten years. Concurrent with this growth, Fairfax campus is also enhancing the on-campus residency program, possibly growing to 7,500 on-campus beds by year 2014. These initiatives will have an impact on the existing crowded and overused facilities as well as creating denser sections of student population centers on campus. In order to be attractive places where students have a sense of community, recreational outlets must be provided in the near proximity.

To assess the feasibility of expanding and improving the existing recreation and athletic facilities, Mason must understand the size and nature of facilities required to meet demand as well as the related expenses. Equally important is the need to achieve an understanding of the extent to which such improvements will meet the University's goals. Brailsford & Dunlavey (B&D) developed a demand analysis strategy to provide qualitative and quantitative information necessary to achieve a thorough understanding of these issues.

The tools in the study included a survey of twelve institutions representing peer and cross-applicants with Mason. In addition, B&D conducted two focus group sessions over the summer to gather input from students. A summary of each of these analyses is detailed in the following sections, leading to a list of primary recommendations for indoor and outdoor activity spaces.

The analysis is based on the following University-provided enrollment projections:

readily accessible and typically used by prospective students when searching for a school that meets their needs. When possible a single source for information was used to compare different institutions on a given element, even if minor discrepancies were apparent from other institutional records.

Many telephone interviews were also made to obtain information that was not publicly accessible but was important to the analysis. Attempts were made to corroborate information whenever possible by requesting similar information from varied sources at the same institution.

While B&D is confident that the information gathered through these sources is accurate, none of the information was validated by physical inspection of the facilities, floor plans, or photographs.

This detailed analysis examined information on tuition and fees, enrollment, recreational and athletic facilities and recreational and athletic programs. The diversity of this information allowed a thorough understanding of both Mason's current position in the market as well as a projection of its future position based on any proposed improvements to facilities. This detailed data is included in Exhibit 2 of this report.

Six peer and six cross-applicant institutions are included in this analysis. Peer institutions were selected as universities with similar or model programs, and cross-applicant institutions were identified as universities that receive applications from the same, or similar, students. The twelve institutions selected by the University and B&D are:

Peer Institutions

EwinaCole

- Georgia State University (GSU)
- State University of New York, Albany (SUNY-Albany)
- State University of New York, Buffalo (SUNY-Buffalo)
- University of Cincinnati (UC)
- University of Missouri, Kansas City (UMKC)
- University of South Florida (USF)

Cross-Applicant Institutions

- Central Florida University (UCF)
- Florida International (FIU)
- James Madison University (JMU)
- University of California, Davis (UC-Davis)
- University of Wisconsin, Milwaukee (UWM)
- Virginia Commonwealth University (VCU)

1.2.3 Summary of Findings

While Mason offers more intercollegiate sport teams than the average peer institution, the University has fewer club and intramural sports than average. An increase in the number of recreational clubs and intramural programs would provide additional outlets for student interactions on campus, adding depth to the campus community.

University	ICA	Club	Intramural	ICA
-	Sports	Sports	Sports	Athletes
Georgia State University	17	23	37	234
SUNY – Albany	19	20	6	424
SUNY – Buffalo	14	24	9	477
University of Cincinnati	18	26	16	431
Univ. of Missouri – Kansas City	16	4	8	159
Univ. of South Florida	17	20	30	375
Central Florida University	16	25	25	410
Florida International University	15	16	15	307
James Madison University	28	33	N/P	498
Univ. of California – Davis	25	36	21	517
Univ. of Wisconsin – Milwaukee	13	38	7	275
Virginia Commonwealth Univ.	16	13	9	245
AVERAGE:	18	23	17	363
George Mason University	22	6 1	0	401
Percent difference:	+22%	-74% -	41%	+10%

RECREATIONAL & ATHLETIC TEAMS

- The twelve comparison schools averaged 23 clubs with UMKC being the only institution with fewer than Mason's six.

Possibilities for club sports include table tennis, judo, ultimate frisbee, water polo, and fencing. Possibilities for additional intramural activities include ultimate frisbee, floor hockey, indoor soccer, racquetball ladder, tennis ladder, and boxing. Intramurals are one of the more popular trends for recreation on campuses. A recent studentPOLL published study by Art & Science Group of college bound high school seniors across the country stated that "an overwhelming 69% of this group

reported that they intend to play an intramural sport in college while 31% indicated either that they did not want to play or didn't know."

 Program expansions should consider sports that would appeal to Mason's international student population enhancing the diversity within the recreational and athletic programs.

Currently Mason intercollegiate athletes utilize the strength training equipment in a room in the Fieldhouse but it is also open to drop-in recreational users, which can create some complications to efficient team training. Mason is the only university in the study not to provide its athletes with a dedicated strength and conditioning room. All peer institutions have at least one space that is dedicated for strength and conditioning and one space for recreational weight and fitness. A dedicated room is usually equipped and managed specifically for the needs of student athletes.

University	Gross	Square Ft.	Football
	Square Feet	Per Athlete	
Georgia State University	1,590	6.8	No
SUNY – Albany	7,200	17.0	Yes
SUNY – Buffalo	5,900	12.4	Yes
University of Cincinnati	6,500	15.1	Yes
Univ. of Missouri – Kansas City	7,000	44.0	No
Univ. of South Florida	10,000	26.7	Yes
Central Florida University	10,000	24.4	Yes
Florida International University	5,000	16.3	Yes
James Madison University	10,000	20.1	Yes
Univ. of California – Davis	3,000	5.8	Yes
Univ. of Wisconsin – Milwaukee	2,000	7.3	No
Virginia Commonwealth Univ.	5,000	20.4	No
AVERAGE:	6,099	18.0	
	-	•	

DEDICATED STRENGTH & CONDITIONING ROOM

- George Mason University 0 0
- While the weight and fitness space in the Aquatics Center is expanded, the possibility for renovating the Field House space into a dedicated strength and conditioning room should be explored.

George Mason University Project No. 23471

The universities with the largest strength and conditioning rooms all have the largest football program as well. If Mason decides to create a Division I-AA football team, then any proposed estimate for strength and conditioning space from this report would have to be increased to address the needs and objectives of the additional program.

While the PE Building and Field House do offer some recreational opportunities, the facilities lack some of the amenities that are present at peer institutions, including an indoor jogging track, squash courts, and rock climbing. Several peer institutions have more outdoor basketball courts and tennis courts as well.

University	Tennis Courts	Basketball Courts
Georgia State University	2/Off-Campus	2
SUNY – Albany	12	2
SUNY – Buffalo	20	14
University of Cincinnati	6	N/P
Univ. of Missouri – Kansas City	Off-Campus	0
Univ. of South Florida	8	0
Central Florida University	6	3
Florida International University	12	2
James Madison University	8	5
Univ. of California – Davis	5	6
Univ. of Wisconsin – Milwaukee	2	0
Virginia Commonwealth Univ.	6	2
AVERAGE:	7.4	3.3
George Mason University	6	2

OUTDOOR COURTS

 Three universities in this analysis, SUNY-Buffalo, USF and FIU, have dedicated half of their tennis courts for intercollegiate use, with the other being shared by all other users. Mason should consider adding controlled intercollegiate courts on campus, separate from other recreational tennis courts possibly dispersed around campus.

All institutions in the analysis have either recently constructed new recreational facilities, are currently in the construction process or are planning new renovations. One of the peer institutions, University of California – Davis, recently passed a

George Mason University Project No. 23471
student referendum to enhance recreation and athletic facilities on campus. The referendum included a new multi use stadium and recreation building, plus additional funding for club and intramural sports. The student fee will be phased in over the course of several years: beginning with a \$5 fee for the first year, \$11 for the next three years, and \$126 upon completion of the projects.

 Mason should consider how new facilities may be phased in conjunction with potential student fee increases and whether a referendum will be pursued.

1.3 CAMPUS INTERVIEWS

Brailsford & Dunlavey conducted interviews with 67 members of the Mason staff and administration affiliated with the recreational and athletic facilities. These interviews provided insight into how facilities are being used, what the current obstacles are in their effective use, and general goals and desires for improvements.

The list of interviewees is given in Exhibit 3.

1.4 FOCUS GROUPS

1.4.1 Objectives

The purpose of the focus group interviews was to engage a variety of Mason students in dynamic conversation about their opinions, observations, and recommendations regarding planned improvements to the university's athletic and recreation facilities. Focus groups are intended to yield qualitative data, reveal hidden sensitivities, and raise issues previously not considered by the researchers rather than providing rigid, statistically reliable responses from a demographically representative sample of the population.

1.4.2 Methodology:

EwinaCole

While in the middle of the summer with most of classes out of session, two focus group sessions were organized by the Associate Athletic Director for Aquatics and Recreation and held on June 18, 2004. The sessions were designed to gain a better understanding of user-group sentiment towards potential recreation and wellness facility development options and were facilitated by B&D. Both focus groups were open to undergraduate and graduate Mason students. In total, 22 students provided feedback and data on athletics and recreation. Participants in both sessions were generally very vocal on the subject matter and the sessions proved informative.

It should be noted that participants represent, to some degree, a self-selected group. The majority of participants in both focus groups were either intercollegiate athletes, club sports or intramural athletes, or avid recreational facility users. The complete Focus Group Report can be found in Exhibit 4 of this report.

1.4.3 Summary of Findings

- Students had overwhelmingly negative opinions of the university's athletic and recreation facilities. Indications were that these impressions became increasingly negative from the time of enrollment through the student's tenure at school.
- Students noted that peer universities as well as NCAA Division III schools and high schools typically compare much better than Mason.
- Students were in general agreement that spaces used for recreation on campus suffer from a number of deficiencies. Most pronounced was that there are too many user groups for most major spaces, too many individual users for spaces that are not sized appropriately, as well as the fact that facilities are outdated and worn out. The fields are also seen as being too far away and isolated from the rest of campus.
- Some students spoke about the importance of an intercollegiate football program for a large university and the associated positive impacts on school spirit, overall school atmosphere, and sense of community.
- Students were in agreement that campus life and community are scarcely present on the Fairfax campus.
- Participants were clearly enthusiastic about the idea of school spirit but were genuinely frustrated about how to apply it. This frustration was due to the feeling that the necessary infrastructure required to foster school spirit is not currently in place.

1.5 CAPACITY ANALYSIS

1.5.1 Objectives

B&D conducted an in-depth analysis of facility usage in the existing recreation and athletic areas at Mason to understand their scheduling issues and available capacity.

1.5.2 Methodology

A typical week in October and in February, of each primary activity space, was reviewed for conflicts between users and issues about prioritizing in scheduling and reliability in programming. These are graphically shown in Exhibit 5.

1.5.3 Summary of Findings

- All athletic and recreational activity spaces are shared by some combination of intercollegiate athletics, club sports, intramurals, open recreation and outside rental groups. The result is an achievable but unforgiving tight schedule.
- Frequently it is open recreation users who are unable to anticipate when a shared facility will be closed to them because of a shift in schedule to accommodate other users. Such shifts can occur because inclement weather has brought outdoor activities indoors and because a user has been 'bumped' from their primary space to a secondary space such as basketball team practice when the Patriot Center has a conflict with another event.
- Open recreation use is therefore not reaching its full potential because understandably students are hesitant to travel to the sites if they have little faith that they will actually have access for their desired activity at the time. It would be contradictory to the "drop-in" philosophy to force students to schedule their free time to match the limited availability of an activity space.
- Mason has stated a priority of providing space for student use; however, this has come into conflict with the University's need to bring in revenue generating sources to support the operations of the department. This has the unfortunate consequence of making a tight schedule even tighter.
- The critical time period is between 7pm and 11pm, when the intramural department feels it can best accommodate participation in its programs. This is also however when some rentals have interest in facilities, and when some intercollegiate teams hosts competitions.
- The outdoor intramural program is defined by the availability of Fields 3 and 4 as the only fields with lighting for use in the desired hours of 7pm to 11pm, and the only fields generally made available to intramurals. The ICA soccer fields and stadium field are dedicated, Robinson Field is semi-dedicated for football that takes most of its late afternoon capacity, Field #1 lacks amenities and quality, and Field #5 is generally held for quality amenable to ICA and club teams and rental opportunities. The program is scheduled to capacity to accommodate all interested participants, and with little to no opportunity for having indoor space during inclement weather, the situation has little flexibility for rescheduling outdoor

games cancelled for weather. This may be mitigated now that Field #3 has artificial turf, allowing for games to still occur that would otherwise have been cancelled because of safety concerns related to the playing surface during wet weather.

- Similarly, the indoor intramural program is defined by the availability of Linn Gym. The program is scheduled to capacity to accommodate all interested participants, and with inconsistent opportunities for redirecting games to the infield of the Field House when scheduling conflicts occur.
- The pressure on space has been increasingly felt as the number of intramural participants has increased by 23% over the
 past three years.
- There are times that are open, such as in the early to mid morning at the intramural fields; however during these times, most potential participants are understandably in class.

Field #3 – February, 2004

	Mon.	Tues.	Wed.	Thurs.	Fri.	Sat.	Sun.
8 am		·	·	·	·		
9 am							
10 am							
11 am							
12 pm							
1 pm					Club		
2 pm					M Lax		
3 pm							
4 pm							
5 pm	Club	Club	Club	Club			
6 pm	M Lax	M Lax	M Lax	M Lax			
7 pm	Intramural	Intramural	Intramural				Intramural
8 pm	Softball	Softball	Softball				Softball
9 pm	1 game	1 game	1 game				2 games
10 pm	7 -						
11 pm							

Linn	Gvm -	– October	. 2003
	Cynn	OCLOBE	, 2000

	Mon.	Tues.	Wed.	Thurs.	Fri.	Sat.	Sun.
8 am	Offl	Offl	Offl	Offl	Offl		
9 am	Season	Season	Season	Season	Season		
10 am	M VB	M VB	M VB	M VB	M VB	Visitor	
11 am	Staff		Staff		Staff	VB Prac	
12 pm	Basketb	ICA	Basketb	ICA	Basketb		W VB
1 pm	ICA	W VB	ICA	W VB			Game
2 pm	W VB		W VB				
3 pm							Off
4 pm	Offl	Offl		•			Season
5 pm	Season	Season				W VB	M BB
6 pm	M VB	M VB				Game	
7 pm	Club	Intramural	Intramural	Intramural	W Vball		
8 pm	Badmin	VB	VB	VB	Game		
9 pm		2 courts.	2 courts.	2 courts.			
10 pm							
11 pm							

1.6 **PROGRAM RECOMMENDATIONS**

Brailsford & Dunlavey recommends the following key program components for the Athletics and Recreation master plan.

Indoor Basketball Courts: A total of eight to ten indoor basketball courts on campus (including a dedicated practice space for intercollegiate basketball) to improve intramural program success, greater flexibility for scheduling rentals, and allow for a general growth of programs.

Outdoor Basketball Courts: Four to six outdoor basketball courts to address student interest from focus groups and encourage and support drop-in free play.

Outdoor Tennis Courts: Twelve outdoor tennis courts split into two locations. This will allow for dedicated courts for intercollegiate athletics as well as six courts for recreational use, possibly on the northeast section of campus.

<u>Outdoor Fields</u>: Ten to twelve fields, most with lighting and artificial turf, that will allow for more opportunities for intramural and recreational activities.

<u>Strength & Conditioning Room</u>: 8,000 to 10,000 square feet of dedicated strength and conditioning space to focus on the training requirements for intercollegiate and club athletics. The amount of strength and conditioning space would have to increase if football becomes an intercollegiate level sport.

Weight & Fitness: 30,000 to 35,000 square feet of weight and fitness on campus for the recreational user. Recreational area would be split between the existing space in the Aquatic Center, renovated and new space in the PE Building, and a new recreation center in the northeast of campus.

<u>Outdoor General Fitness</u>: Two fitness trails around the campus core and campus perimeter to provide greater access to walking, jogging, and bicycle trails.

1.6.1 Centralized Athletics

The recommendation includes renovation and expansion of the Field House and the development of this facility and surrounding area as the focal point for athletics. Some key elements involve bringing volleyball, wrestling and tennis competition to this site, as well as academic support and the athletic training arm of the RHT department. Renovating and dedicating an ICA strength & conditioning room is also integral. Further, the recommendation includes an addition to the Patriot Center to serve the needs of the basketball program as a secondary practice location when the game court is not available.

1.6.2 Regional Recreation

The renovation and expansion of the PE Building will provide a new focal point for campus recreation to balance with the Aquatic Center. It would include weight & fitness, basketball/volleyball courts, jogging track, and climbing wall. Other opportunities for providing recreation should be pursued with a recreation center in the Northeast sector, fitness trails through campus, and enhanced and additional intramural/recreation fields.

GEORGE MASON UNIVERSITY PROJECTED ENROLLMENT BY CAMPUS As of August 4, 2004

FALL CENSUS HEADCOUNTS											
	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009		
Fairfax Campus	21,671	22,883	23,056	22,914	22,890	23,049	23,290	23,561	23,799		
Arlington Campus	1,653	2,071	2,127	2,535	2,854	3,033	3,217	3,411	3,607		
Prince William Campus	684	918	1,392	2,498	2,794	3,002	3,155	3,316	3,478		
Loudon Campus	0	0	0	0	90	152	217	284	354		
Total Off Campus	889	924	1,671	1,051	1,100	1,092	1,052	1,011	966		
University Total	24,897	26,796	28,246	28,998	29,728	30,327	30,930	31,583	32,205		

FALL CENSUS HEADCOUNTS											
	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015					
Fairfax Campus	23,972	24,042	24,097	24,145	24,196	24,337					
Arlington Campus	3,809	4,013	4,220	4,434	4,656	4,853					
Prince William Campus	3,645	3,845	4,050	4,261	4,479	4,673					
Loudon Campus	427	535	647	762	882	1,007					
Total Off Campus	985	1,003	1,021	1,039	1,058	1,078					
University Total	32,838	33,438	34,035	34,641	35,271	35,948					

EwingCole

Competitive Context Analysis – Exhibit 2

GEORGE MASON UNIVERSITY

EwingCole

Brailsford & Dunlavey Athletics and Recreation Masterplan Competitive Context Analysis *General Information*

University	Location	Affiliation	Division	Primary Conference	Fiske Rati	ings, 2003 ed.	Web Address
				Association	Social	Quality of Life	
George Mason University	Fairfax, VA	Public	Division I	CAA	2	2	www.gmu.edu
Peer Schools							
Georgia State University	Atlanta, GA	Public	Division I	CAA(2006)	Not Listed	Not Listed	www.gsu.edu
SUNY Albany	Albany, NY	Public	Division I ¹	America East	3	3	www.albany.edu
SUNY Buffalo	Buffalo, NY	Public	Division I	Mid-American	2	2	www.buffalo.edu
University of Cincinnati	Cincinnati, OH	Public	Division I	C-USA	3	3	www.uc.edu
University of Missouri - Kansas City	Kansas City, MO	Public	Division I	Mid-Continent	Not Listed	Not Listed	www.umkc.edu
University of South Florida	Tampa, FL	Public	Division I	C-USA	Not Listed	Not Listed	<u>www.usf.edu</u>
Cross-Applicant Schools							
Central Florida	Orlando, FL	Public	Division I	A-Sun/C-USA(2005)	Not Listed	Not Listed	www.cf.edu
Florida International	Miami, FL	Public	Division I	Sun-Belt	Not Listed	Not Listed	<u>www.fiu.edu</u>
James Madison University	Harrisonburg, VA	Public	Division I ¹	CAA	4	4	www.jmu.edu
University of California - Davis	Davis, CA	Public	Division I	Big West	3	4	www.ucdavis.edu
University of Wisconsin - Milwaukee	Milwaukee, WI	Public	Division I	Horizon League	Not Listed	Not Listed	www.uwm.edu
Virginia Commonwealth University	Richmond, VA	Public	Division I	CAA	Not Listed	Not Listed	www.vcu.edu
¹ Football program is Division I-AA							
CAA = Colonial Athletic Association							
C-USA= Conference USA							

Interview List – Exhibit 3

	Date	Time	Meeting	Name	Position
PROJ	ECT KICK C	DFF			
1	05.24.04	8:00	Project Kick Off	Bruce Cooper	Asst. Athletic Director, Facilities & Recreational Sports
2	05.24.04	8:00	Project Kick Off	Benn Crandall	Senior Facilities Project Officer
3	05.24.04	8:00	Project Kick Off	Reid Herlihy	Vice President, Facilities
4	05.24.04	8:00	Project Kick Off	Mickey McDade	Sr. Assoc. Athletic Director, Operations, Facilities & Administration
5	05.24.04	8:00	Project Kick Off	Tom O'Connor	Asst. Vice President/Director, Athletics
6	05.24.04	8:00	Project Kick Off	Maurice Scherrens	Senior Vice President
1	05.24.04	8:00	Project Kick Off	Jim Miller	Director, University Architect
шагр			4		
USER		VS: JUNE 10-1		Deid Hedibu	Mar Develdent Facilities
	06.10.04	8:00		Reid Herliny	Vice President, Facilities
0	06.10.04	8:00		Benn Crandall	
8	06.10.04	10:00	Patriot Center	Jim Larranaga	Head Coach, M ICA Basketball
9	06.10.04	10:00	Patriot Center		Head Coach, WICA Basketball
10	06.10.04	11:00	Coaches	Brian Shaffer	Head Coach, Wrestling
11	06.10.04	11:00	Coaches	Gary Quam	Head Coach, M Tennis
12	06.10.04	11:00	Coaches	Fran O'Leary	Head Coach, M Soccer
13	06.10.04	11:00	Coaches	Pat Kendrick	Head Coach, W Volleyball
14	06.10.04	11:00	Coaches	Billy Brown	Head Coach, Baseball
15	06.10.04	1:00	Assoc. / Asst. Athletic Directors	Jay Marsh	Assoc. AD, Events Management
16	06.10.04	1:00	Assoc. / Asst. Athletic Directors	Nena Rogers	Director, Life Skills
1/	06.10.04	1:00	Assoc. / Asst. Athletic Directors	Ron Shayka	Asst. AD, Admin. and Into. Systems
18	06.10.04	1:00	Assoc. / Asst. Athletic Directors	Kevin McNamee	Senior Assoc. AD, Intercollegiate Sports
19	06.10.04	1:00	Assoc. / Asst. Athletic Directors	Sue Collins	Senior Assoc. AD, Student Services
20	06.10.04	1:00	Assoc. / Asst. Athletic Directors	D.R. Butler	Assoc. AD, Community Services
21	06.10.04	1:00	Assoc. / Asst. Athletic Directors	Debbie Wilson	Assoc. AD, Academic Services / Sports Psychologist
22	06.10.04	3:00	Recreation, IM, Club Sports	Fred Bardot	Head Coach, Club Rugby
23	06.10.04	3:00	Recreation, IM, Club Sports	Dave Bleivik	Membership & Facility Services Coordinator
24	06.10.04	3:00	Recreation, IM, Club Sports	Jarnail Bojwa	Ultimate Frisbee Representative
25	06.10.04	3:00	Recreation, IM, Club Sports		Fitness Instructor, IM Supervisor
26	06.10.04	3:00	Recreation, IM, Club Sports	Adam Huttman	Coordinator, Fitness, Intramurais, and Recreational Sports
27	06.10.04	3:00	Recreation, IM, Club Sports	Paul Bazzano	Supervisor, Intramural, Club and Recreational Sports
28	06.10.04	3:00	Recreation, IM, Club Sports	Phil Galvan	Aquatics and Fitness Center Intramural Official
29	06.10.04	3:00	Recreation, IM, Club Sports	Joe Pascale	Head Coach, Football Club
30	06.10.04	3:00	Recreation, IM, Club Sports	Bob Spousta	Head Coach, Crew Club/Coordinator, Club Sports
31	06.11.04	3:00	Recreation, IM, Club Sports	Andy Ruge	Assoc. AD, Marketing and External Affairs
	06.11.04	8:30	Sports Into, Patriot Club, FH Team Room	MICKey McDade	Sr. Assoc. Athletic Director, Operations, Facilities & Administration
	06.11.04	10:00	Meeting with University President	Maurice Scherrens	Senior Vice President
32	06.11.04	10:00	Meeting with University President	Alan Merten	
33	06.11.04	11:00	Facilities and Aquatics	Brian Woodfield	Facilities - Field House and PE Building
34	06.11.04	11:00	Facilities and Aquatics	Ryan Barden	Asst. Grounds Manager
35	06.11.04	11:00	Facilities and Aquatics	Lee Ann Houston	Manager, Recreational Sports Complex, Scheduling Coordinator
36	06.11.04	11:00	Facilities and Aquatics	Kim Eckert	Operations Manager, Aquatic & Fitness Ctr

George Mason University Project No. 23471

Interview List – Exhibit 3

	Date	Time	Meeting	Name	Position
USER	INTERVIEW	/S: JUNE 10- 1	11 cont'd.		
37	06.11.04	1:00	Recreation, Health, and Tourism	Ray Yamrus	Head Athletic Trainer
38	06.11.04	1:00	Recreation, Health, and Tourism	Susan Johnson	Director
39	06.11.04	1:00	Recreation, Health, and Tourism	Martin Ford	Senior Assoc. Dean
40	06.11.04	1:00	Recreation, Health, and Tourism	David Wiggins	Chair
41	06.11.04	1:00	Recreation, Health, and Tourism	David Anderson	Director, Center for the Advancement of Public Health/Assoc. Professor, RHT
42	06.11.04	1:00	Recreation, Health, and Tourism	Dave Bever	Assoc. Professor/Director, National Center for Public Safety Fitness
43	06.11.04	1:00	Recreation, Health, and Tourism	Shane Caswell	Asst. Professor
44	06.11.04	1:00	Recreation, Health, and Tourism	Linda Rikard	Assoc. Professor
45	06.11.04	1:00	Recreation, Health, and Tourism	Janet Lozar	Assistant Professor
USER	INTERVIEV	VS: JUNE 23			
46	06.23.04	8:30	Assorted Users	Jeanne Medford	Asst. AD, Finance & Business Systems
47	06.23.04	8:30	Assorted Users	Tracy Kirk	Asst. Athletic Director, Events Administration
48	06.23.04	8:30	Assorted Users	Mark Weader	Asst. Coach, Wrestling/Event Associate
49	06.23.04	8:30	Assorted Users	Maureen Nasser	Public Relations & Communications Director
50	06.23.04	8:30	Assorted Users	Cindy Woodfork	Manager Athletic Services
51	06.23.04	10:00	Coaches	Erica Ayers	Asst. Coach, Softball/Event Assistant
52	06.23.04	10:00	Coaches	Peter Ward	Head, Swimming and Diving
53	06.23.04	10:00	Coaches	Robert Handerahan	Head, Strength and Conditioning
54	06.23.04	10:00	Coaches	Diane Drake	Head Coach, W Soccer
55	06.23.04	10:00	Coaches	Angie Taylor	Head Coach, W Track and Field
56	06.23.04	10:00	Coaches	Amy Umbach	Head Coach, W Lacrosse
57	06.23.04	10:00	Coaches	Liz Schaffner	Asst. Coach, W Lacrosse
58	06.23.04	10:00	Coaches	Robin Burkhart	Head Coach, Cheerleading
59	06.23.04	11:30	Facilities	Bruce Cooper	Asst. Athletic Director, Facilities & Recreational Sports
60	06.23.04	11:30	Facilities	Mike Sullenberger	Sports Turf Manager
61	06.23.04	1:45	University Life	Lisa Synder	JC/UL Programs and Orientation
62	06.23.04	1:45	University Life	Nikki Elston	Assistant Director, Programming
63	06.23.04	1:45	University Life	Katie Mirick	Assistant Director
64	06.23.04	1:45	University Life	Mark Hume	(no longer at GMU)
65	06.23.04	1:45	University Life	Alissa Karton	JC/UL Program Director
66	06.23.04	3:00	Athletic Training	Jess Vera Cruz	(no longer at GMU)
	06.23.04	3:00	Athletic Training	Ray Yamrus	Head Athletic Trainer
67	06.23.04	3:00	Athletic Training	Verne Johnson	(no longer at GMU)

George Mason University Project No. 23471

Focus Group – Exhibit 4

2.1 Objectives

The purpose of the focus group interviews was to engage a variety of Mason students in dynamic conversation about their opinions, observations, and recommendations regarding planned improvements to the University's athletic and recreation facilities. Focus groups are intended to yield qualitative data, reveal hidden sensitivities, and raise issues previously not considered by the researchers rather than providing rigid, statistically reliable responses from a demographically representative sample of the population.

2.2 Methodology

Two focus group sessions were organized by the Associate Athletic Director for Aquatics and Recreation and held on June 18, 2004. The participants in both focus groups included intercollegiate athletes, club sports or intramural athletes and recreational facility users, with a small number of others. The sessions were designed to gain a better understanding of user-group sentiment towards potential recreation and wellness facility development options. Both focus groups were open to undergraduate and graduate George Mason students. In total, 22 students provided feedback and data on athletics and recreation. Participants in both sessions were generally very vocal on the subject matter, and the sessions proved informative.

Each focus group was led by a moderator from Brailsford & Dunlavey (B&D) whose purpose it was to guide the conversation to address issues pertaining to specific facilities. The moderator introduced a series of questions, intentionally open-ended in nature, and permitted individuals to discuss tangential issues and engage in dynamic conversations.

The following report is an overview of the findings of the focus groups and contains a summary of the discussions, specific points raised, as well as direct quotations. The responses shown are meant to describe the range of answers, comments, and concerns voiced during the focus groups.

2.3 Participants

EwinaCole

- 2.3.1 Group I: Random Students
 - Included 10 undergraduates (5 men, 5 women)
- 2.3.2 Group II: Random Students
 - Included 12 undergraduates (7 men, 5 women) and 2 staff (2 women)

Focus Group – Exhibit 4

2.4 Summary of Findings

Participants from the two groups were generally very interested in the master plan initiative and offered well-reasoned concerns and recommendations. Although each group contained a small number of satisfied students, the majority of each group was strongly in favor of improving and building new athletic and recreation facilities.

2.5 Detailed Findings

EwingCole

1. Why did you choose to attend George Mason University?

Many students were primarily concerned with finding a balance between quality, affordable education, and proximity to their current home and/or work.

- A number of students noted that they chose Mason as it is "close to home."
- Students discussed the idea that Mason offers them a more "real world" learning environment than other schools they considered attending due to the many opportunities for internships and work nearby as part of the Washington DC area. Many Mason students are above the "traditional" college age and having these opportunities is important as many also work while studying.
- One student noted the pleasant atmosphere of the Mason campus and environs.
- Students noted that Mason is considerably more "diverse" than many schools. This was perceived as an important asset, especially now, during a time of increasing international interaction and global perspective in all spheres of life.
- One student said that his decision to attend was based entirely upon an athletic scholarship that he received to play on the school's wrestling team. He had never heard of the school until the coach called.
- One student described how her "mother made [her]" attend Mason. However, she is now a very active student leader and noted: "I love Mason!"
- One student had intended to start at Mason and then transfer but did not follow through on this plan after being pleased with his
 experience on campus.

2. What were your impressions of Mason's athletic / recreation facilities when you began your studies? How do these compare with your impressions now?

Students had overwhelmingly negative opinions of the university's athletic and recreation facilities. Indications were that these impressions became increasingly negative from the time of enrollment through the student's tenure at the school.

Students noted that peer universities as well as NCAA Division III schools and high schools typically compare much better than Mason.

- One student said that he feels Mason's facilities are "sufficient" but that "JMU's are better."
- Students were in general agreement that the Field House is generally not available for recreational use.
- Many participants complained about the lack of air conditioning in the Field House and the "hot and stuffy" nature of its spaces.
- Students spoke about the weight room, noting that equipment is limited and in bad shape and is generally sub-par.
- Students noted that the PE Building is seldom available for recreational use. One student complained that although the
 competition space (Linn Gym) in the building has 3 courts, only 2 of them are usable concurrently due to an overlapping layout.
- Students explained that although Mason has outstanding playing field surfaces, the expected infrastructure to support top-notch fields is absent. This would include restroom facilities, team locker / meeting spaces, spectator seating, etc.

3. How do you feel about the speculation for an intercollegiate football program with its related costs?

Some students spoke about the importance of an intercollegiate football program for a large university and the associated positive impacts on school spirit, overall school atmosphere, and sense of community.

- A number of students agreed that the major impact of having Division I intercollegiate football on campus is not sports-related at all. These students feel that football would enliven the school, bringing students to the campus and exciting them about the university.
- Students talked about the fact that "everybody sees tailgating on TV" and friends at other schools are able to tailgate at football games. This was seen as a highly desirable activity which currently does not exist on campus.
- The majority of participants said that they had attended a Mason club football game.

4. What do Mason students typically do in their free time?

Students were in agreement that campus life and community are scarcely present on the Fairfax campus. Rather than offering examples of what students typically do during their free time during the week and on the weekends, participants offered constructive criticisms of why they believe there is so little going on.

- Many participants agreed that "students don't come to campus" outside of being there for class or other scheduled activities.
- One student said that the university has a "commuter campus" image and that the school is "dead on weekends."
- Many students felt that there is "no campus life." One suggested that there is typically "nothing going on on campus."

Focus Group – Exhibit 4

- Students agreed that one major use of the Aquatic & Recreation Center fitness space is as "a place to be seen." Participants felt that students need more avenues to see fellow students and to establish a true campus community.
- Some students felt that the university administration inadvertently hampers the organizing of activities and events on campus through ill conceived policies. One participant offered as an example the fact that taking out advertisements in the campus newspaper (the Broadside) to market activities or events sponsored by campus organizations is prohibitively costly.
- Students were in agreement that communication to the student body about activities and events is a major problem at the university.
- A number of participants asked that the school provide a "patch of grass," like a quad, in the campus core and near the residence halls for casual play like "tossing around a football."
- One student said that students have no time to do anything outside of class, if they play sports, due to the many hours required for classes and sports.

5. What are your thoughts on "school spirit" at Mason?

Participants were clearly enthusiastic about the idea of school spirit but were genuinely frustrated about the campus' current lack of it. This frustration was due to the feeling that the necessary infrastructure required to foster school spirit is not currently in place.

- One student explained that "it's bad here...nobody knows about games."
- Students agreed that events must be organized and publicized for students in order to interest them in what is taking place on campus.
- Participants felt that the construction of additional housing on campus would have a significant positive impact on community and school spirit.
- One participant suggested that 50% of the students who choose not to attend Mason base this decision on the university's lack of intercollegiate football. Many students said that they agreed with this suggestion.
- Participants agreed that "big events," such as those that intercollegiate football would offer, are necessary for positive school spirit.
- Participants discussed the "family atmosphere" of Mason basketball games in the Patriot Center. They agreed that when attending home basketball games, it does not feel like a place that students can feel relaxed and cheer loudly for their team. They further agreed that this situation is inappropriate and a student-focused atmosphere should be created.
- One student claimed that there is "no advising" at Mason and that "you're a number...there's no attention given to students."

 One student complained that students are not engaged during major initiatives such as the design of the athletic department's new logo. Another student pointed out that student input was sought for the new logo and that the present focus group was an example of the school reaching out to students.

6. What are your major criticisms of the athletic / recreation facilities at Mason?

Students were in general agreement that spaces used for recreation on campus suffer from a number of deficiencies. Most pronounced was that there are too many user groups for most major spaces, too many individual users for spaces that are not sized appropriately, as well as the fact that facilities are outdated and worn out.

Indoor Recreation Space:

- Participants generally felt that the Field House and PE Center are inadequate to serve the current student demand.
- One student said, "I like the Field House...It's not pretty, but it's good." The following caveat was offered, however: "it's not big enough for all of the users."
- Some students complained that the Aquatic & Recreation Center does not provide sufficient recreation space. The weight and fitness areas were specifically cited as being too small. One student stated that the building is "really a community center for swimming."
- Some students suggested building another Field House due to the many and disparate user groups of the current facility.
- Students felt that the school's indoor recreation spaces have "too many users" and are "not efficiently utilized." Two students
 spoke about the fact that the school attempts to generate money by renting out facilities and offered the example of the annual
 antiques show held in the Field House as an example of an inappropriate and incompatible use for the space.
- In terms of welcoming outside users, students offered mixed responses. Some understood the need for the school to generate revenue and the fact that some facilities are financed, in part, by revenue from outside members. However, some students felt having outsiders in university recreation spaces was incompatible. One student noted that there are sometimes young children in the Aquatic & Fitness Center.
- Participants felt that the school does not do a good job of communicating information regarding intercollegiate athletic teams
 using spaces also needed by recreational users and that the recreation department does not do a good job of communicating
 information about intramural games.

Fields:

EwingCole

- Students said that the fields are "too far away." This isolation makes utilization difficult.
- Some participants argued that as intramural sports are popular and are gaining popularity, while the school anticipates further growth, the current number of recreational fields will become increasingly problematic over time.

7. What are the positive aspects of the athletic / recreation facilities at Mason?

Students were pleased with a number of aspects concerning campus recreational facilities.

- A number of students said that they like the Patriot Center.
- Many students also expressed fondness for the Freedom Center. They felt that the facility is "big...and well-kept."
- Participants responded positively to the Aquatic & Recreation Center, generally.
- Students felt very positively about recreational spaces being located at the Aquatic & Fitness Center. They especially liked the
 synergistic relationship between the facility and new student housing.
- Students were very positive about the existing field surfaces, but noted that they can rarely get authorization to use the fields.
- Some students noted that the recreation department is "great."

8. What is your athletic / recreation facilities wish list?

Participants suggested the following improvements / additions to the campus's recreation facilities.

Indoor Recreational Sports Facilities:

- Large weight room
- Plentiful supply of indoor and outdoor basketball courts for recreational users
- Elevated indoor jogging track
- Indoor tennis courts
- Easily accessible racquetball courts
- Multi-purpose courts
- Indoor soccer facility
- Ice hockey

- Fencing space
- Climbing wall
- Boxing facilities
- Weight rooms in dormitories

Focus Group – Exhibit 4

Other Indoor Recreation Facilities:

- Billiards (free of charge)
- Tanning salon (few students expressed interest)

Outdoor Recreational Sports Facilities:

- Additional intramural fields
- Outdoor sand volleyball court
- Outdoor tennis courts

Other Outdoor Recreational Facilities:

- Outdoor recreation pool
- Ropes course
- Bike trail
- Park area with grills

Other Considerations:

- Restroom facilities at outdoor fields
- Concessions
- Sufficient security
- Additional classes (including aerobics, free of charge)

Students noted schools that they consider to represent positive precedents:

- College of Charleston
- West Virginia University

George Mason University Project No. 23471 Athletics and Recreation Master Plan

Linn	Gym							
Time	Monday 02.23	Tuesday 02.24	Wednesday 02.25	Thursday 02.26	Friday 02.27	Saturday 02.28	Sunday 02.29	LEGEND/COMMON USERS
5 am 6 am 7 am			_			_		ICA M VOLLEYBALL practice competition
8 am	M Volleyball	M Volleyball		M Volleyball	M Volleyball			
9 am	8-10:30am	8-10:30am		8-10:30am			1	
10 am	Staff Backotha		Staff Backotha		Staff Baskothal		Radminton	
i i aiii	Stall Daskelba	п	Stall Daskelba		Stall Dasketbal	1	Tourney	competition
12 pm	11:30am-1pm		11:30am-1pm		11:30am-1pm		11am-2pm	
1 pm	·	-		-		M Volleyball G	ame	ICA M WRESTLING
2 pm		W Volleyball	M Tennis 2- 3pm	W Volleyball	M Tennis 2-3pm	1pm		competition
3 pm	W Tennis	2-4pm	Special Olympics	2-4pm				
4 pm	3:30-5pm		3-4:30pm					CLUBS
5 pm				M Basketball			r	
6 pm				4:30-7:30pm		Badminton Tourney	Basketball	INTRAMURALS
7 pm	Basketball	M Wrestling Match	M Volleyball Game	Basketball	Badminton	6pm	6-10pm	
8 pm	7-11pm	7:30pm	7pm	7:30-11:30pm	7-10pm		2 concurrent	other GMU activities
9 pm	2 concurrent			2 concurrent				
10 pm								RENTALS
11 pm								0.0000
12 am								CAMPS

FREE PLAY

Athletics and Recreation Master Plan

George Mason University Project No. 23471

Auxili	iary Gym (Room 218	8)					
Time	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday 02.27	Saturday	Sunday	LEGEND/COMMON USERS
	02.23	02.24	02.25	02.26		02.28	02.29	
5 am							Т	PE CLASSES
6 am								
7 am								RENTALS
o ann 9 am		Rental		Rental	Rental			CLUBS
• •		(Hepsters)		(Hepsters)	(Hepsters)			
10 am		9am-12pm		9am-12pm	9am-12pm			
11 am		Class		Class				INTRAMURALS
1 pm		10:30-2:45pm		12-2:45pm				CAMPS
2 pm								
3 pm						none		other GMU activities
4 pm			W Tennis					
5 pm			3:30-5pm	Class	-			FREE PLAY
6 pm				4:30-7:10pm			Basketball	
7 pm	Basketball	Basketball			Community Se	rvice	6-10pm	
8 pm	7-11pm	7-11pm	Intramural Mak	e-Up	7-10pm		1 game	
9 pm	1 game	1 game	8:30-9:30pm					
10 pm								
11 pm								
12 am					L			

EwingCole

FREE PLAY

other GMU activities

i iciui	iouse iiii							
Time	Monday 02.23	Tuesday 02.24	Wednesday 02.25	Thursday 02.26	Friday 02.27	Saturday 02.28	Sunday 02.29	LEGEND/COMMON USERS
5 am 6 am								ICA M INDOOR TRACK
am am	W Rowing 6:30-8:30am	W Rowing 6:30-8:30am	W Rowing 6:30-8:30am	W Rowing 6:30-8:30am	W Rowing 6:30-8:30am	W Rowing 7-9am		competition
am	W Soccer 8-10am	W Soccer 8-10am	W Soccer 8-10am	W Soccer 8-10am	W Soccer 8-10am	HS Track Meet	Winter Track Meet	ICA W INDOOR TRACK
0 am	M Soccer 9-11am	M Soccer 9-11am	M Soccer 9-11am	M Soccer 9-11am	M Soccer 9-11am	all day	all day	practice
1 am								competition
l2 pm					1			
pm	M Baseball / W Softball	M Baseball / W Softball	M Baseball / W Softball	M Baseball				
pm pm	1-3:30pm	1-3:30pm	1-3:30pm	1-3:30pm				practice
pm pm	M/W Track 3:30-5:30pm	M/W Track 3:30-5:30pm	M/W Track 3:30-5:30pm	M/W Track 3:30-5:30pm	M/W Track 3:30-5:30pm			DANCE TEAM practice
pm pm	••		1					ICA INDOOR
; pm	Metro Volleyb	all	Metro Volleyba	all		1		practice
) pm	7:30-9:30pm (2 courts)	7:30-9:30pm (2 courts)	Dance				-
1 0 pm		Basketball	7:30-11:30pm	7:30-9:30pm (1 court)				INTRAMURALS
l1 pm		3 concurrent		,				
12 am								RENTALS

George Mason University Project No. 23471

Fieldhouse Infield/Track

Field '	1							
Time	Monday 02.23	Tuesday 02.24	Wednesday 02.25	Thursday 02.26	Friday 02.27	Saturday 02.28	Sunday 02.29	LEGEND/COMMON USERS
5 am 6 am								ICA W LACROSSE practice
/ am								
0 dili 9 am								INTRAMURALS
10 am								CLUBS
11 am								
12 pm								FREE PLAY
1 pm								
2 pm								RENTALS
3 pm								CAMPO
4 pm 5 pm								CAMP5
6 nm								
7 pm								
8 pm								
9 pm			NO LIGHT FOR	R PLAY				
10 pm								
11 pm								
12 am								







Field	4							
Time	Monday 02.23	Tuesday 02.24	Wednesday 02.25	Thursday 02.26	Friday 02.27	Saturday 02.28	Sunday 02.29	LEGEND/COMMON USERS
_								
5 am 6 am 7 am 8 am								CLUB M RUGBY practice competition
9 am								INTRAMURALS
10 am								
11 am								
12 pm								practice (night)
2 nm								CAMPS
3 pm								or unit of
4 pm								
5 pm	M Rugby		M Rugby					
6 pm	5-7pm		5-7pm					
7 pm	Softball	Softball	Softball				Softball	
o pm 9 nm	7-11pm 1 game	7-11pm	7-11pm 1 game				7-11pm 1 game	
10 nm	i game	i game	i game				i game	
11 pm								
12 am	I							1

Athletics and Recreation Master Plan

EwingCole





Robin	Robinson Field													
Time	Monday 02.23	Tuesday 02.24	Wednesday 02.25	Thursday 02.26	Friday 02.27	Saturday 02.28	Sunday 02.29		LEGEND/COMMON USERS					
5 am 6 am 7 am									CLUB M FOOTBALL practice competition					
8 am 9 am 10 am								I	NTRAMURALS					
11 am								(CLUBS					
12 pm														
2 pm									RENTALS					
3 pm									FREE PLAY					
4 pm														
5 pm									CAMPS					
6 pm 7 nm														
8 pm														
9 pm														
10 pm														
11 nm														

11 pm 12 am Athletics and Recreation Master Plan

1.4.5 The Statement of Needs

The following program summary represents the understanding of the existing build capacities combined with information obtained from the interview process and from the Demand Analysis. The listing of major program elements represents the quantified total needs for Mason in the next 10 years, understanding the following assumptions:

- Assumptions
 - Existing enrollment = 28,998: 2014 projected enrollment = 30,000-36,000
 - Current Beds on Campus = 4,000 : 2014 target = 7,500
 - o 22 Varsity Sports
 - o 6 Club Sports with dedicated locker area separate from Rec/Staff/Visiting Team Lockers
 - Dedicated Weight Room for Varsity Football NOT included
 - Area for New 15,000 Seat Football Stadium NOT included (300-350,000 GSF)



PROGRAM ASSUMPTIONS:

1. Existing enrollment = 28,998 (exceeds Master Plan's 21,000); 2014 targeted enrollment = 35,271

2. Current Beds on Campus = **4000**; 2014 target = **7500**

8. 22 Varsity Sports

4. 6 Club Sports with dedicated locker areas separate from Rec./Staff/Visiting Team; (football, m-lacrosse, w- field hockey, m-crew, rugby, trap & skeet)

5. Dedicated weight room for Varsity Football is NOT included

6. Area for new 15,000 seat Football Stadium is NOT included

I. ATHLETICS			Recommended	Needs	TOTAL EXIST	EXIST	EXIST	EXIST	EXIST	EXIST
						PE	FH	AFC	PC	Misc.
A. STAFF OFFIC	ES		35,416	31,974	4 24342	736	13144	801	9661	0
	1.	Athletic Administration	5,300	4388			12187		2009	
	2.	Compliance - AD for Ac.Serv. (Collins)	413	375						
	3.	Sports Admin - AD for ICA (McNamee)	625	500						
	4.	Business Office - AD Finance	900	850			in I abv			
	5.	Internal Operations - AD Ops (McDade)	858	/68			in I abv			
	6.	Facilities and Admin - AD Facil (McDade)	514	422			in I abv			
D C	7.	Sports Info/Media Rel/Tix&Pro AD (Ruge)	1,738	13/5			in I abv			
Patriot Center	8.	Patriot Club/Devel - AD Devel (Correll)	1,306	1094			in 1 abv			
	9.	Events - AD Events (Marsh)	281	281						
Detriat Contan	10.	Facilities and Grounds AD Facil. (McDade)	313	313			in 1 abv		2050	
Patriot Center	11.	Basketball Coaches(M & W)	3,833	3398			in 1 abov		3930	
	12.	Basedall Coaches(M)	844 281	244		in arow hal	in i abv			
	13.	Golf Coach (M)	281	244		in crew bei	in 1 abr			
	14	Crew Coaches(W)	521	404		724	11 1 400			
	15	Softball(W)	406	494		/30	in 1 abr			
	17	Soccor Conchos(M & W)	400	804			in 1 aby			
Aquatic Center	17.	Swimming Coaches(M & W)	505 600	525			11 1 400	801		
Aquatic Center	10	Diving Coaches	281	244				in swim aby		
Aquatic Center	20	Tennis Coaches(M & W)	719	644		in Crew aby		in swim aov		
	20.	Track & X-Country Coaches(M & W)	969	894		III CIEW dov	in 1 abv			
	21	Volleyhall Coaches(M&W)	719	644		in Crew abv	in i uov			
	23	Wrestling Coaches(M)	531	494			in 1 abv			
	24	Cheerleading	406	369			x			
	25	Dance Team (shares w/ cheerleading)	0	0			x			
	26	Coaching Support Staff	160	160			in 1 abv			
	27	Entry Hall / Lobby	4.000	4000			in 1 abv			
	28	Recruiting Lounge	500	500						
Patriot Center	29	Patriot Club Meeting Room (in PC)	3,702	3702					3702	
	30	Team Meeting Room	1,200 Subdividable	1200			in 27 below			
	31	Meeting Rooms	1,800	1350			957			
			-							
		Sub Total Staff Offices	35,416NSF							
						PE	FH	AFC	PC	Misc
B. TEAM LOCKE	ERS REQ	UIRED (include individual shower, dry, toilet facilities)	41621	3295	2 21923	2059	10647	4108	5109	0
Patriot Center	1.	Men's Basketball Locker Room	2,488	2488		390			5109	
Patriot Center	2	Women's Basketball Locker Room	2,538	2538		340			in men	
Patriot Center	3	Basketball Coaches Lockers	900	900					in men	
Patriot Center	4	Small Star Dressing-2@240	480 Officials	480						
Patriot Center	5	Staff Locker Rooms - see E below	1,440Use for Coaches, Staff	1440						
	6	Baseball Lockers	1,125	563			4744			
	7	Men's Track & Cross Country Lockers	1,125	563			in 6 abv			
	8	Women's Track & Cross Country Lockers	1,125	563			in 6 abv			
	9	Men's Golf Lockers	575	225						
	10	Women's Lacrosse Lockers	1,250	656			in 6 abv			



Off Site Aquatic Center Aquatic Center	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	Women's Crew Lockers - At Occoquan Softball Lockers Men's Soccer Lockers Women's Soccer Lockers Women's Soccer Lockers Men's Swimming & Diving Locker Men's Swimming & Diving Locker Men's Tennis Lockers Women's Tennis Lockers Women's Volleyball Lockers Women's Volleyball Lockers Women's Volleyball Lockers Wrestling Locker Areas Main Training Room Athletic Services - Equipment Issue/Control Coaches/Admin Staff Locker Rooms Visitor/ Recreation Locker-used for all sports Field Tournament Locker failities	0 use FH visit team facil in off season 1,125 1,125 1,125 2,173 2,120 650 650 675 1,845 4,350 Near Practice Fac 3,263 2,000 2,500 2,150	0 563 563 2023 1970 281 281 281 281 281 281 281 281 281 281			229 1100	n 6 abv n 6 abv n 6 abv i n 6 abv 3002 1934 967	4108 n wm abv		
		Sub Total Team Lockers	41,621 NSF				РЕ	FH	AFC	РС	Misc
C. PRACTICE FA	CILITIE	ES	186664	1	186664	132443	16820	74249	23781	17593	
Aquatic Center Patriot Center	Indoc 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	or Facilities Strength & Conditioning (Athletics) Natatorium- Olympic Pool with two bulkheads Basketball Practice Court Volleyball /Wrestling Competition Court Batting Cages 4,ea 12x 75 Wrestling Practice Running Track Indoor Practice Fields	9,256 23,575 27,6004 to 5K seats = 70,000sf 17,1252,500 seats 0 In Field House track area 6,508 62,100 In Field House 40,500 In Field House 186,664NSF 263,701 NSF =	9256 23575 27600 17125 0 6508 62100 40500	251590	178708	16820 PE 19615	7128 2877 64244 FH 98040	1723 22058 AFC 28690	17593 PC 32363	Misc
RECREATION						TOTAL EXIST	EXIST	EXIST	EXIST	EXIST	EXIST
RECREATION						IOTAL EAIST	PE	FH	AFC	PC	Mise
A. STAFF OFFICE	S		9,160		8605	3594	372	0	3222	0	0

Athletics and Recreation Master Plan

RECREATION	UN				IUTAL EAIST	EAIST	EAIST	EAIST	EAIST	EAIST
						PE	FH	AFC	PC	Misc
A. STAFF OI	FFICES		9,160	8	605 3594	372	0	3222		0
ΡE	1.	Rec. Administration PE BUILDING (McDade)	1,863	1733						
	2.	Rec. Admin. AQUATIC CENTER (McDade)	2,163	1938				3222		
ΙE	3.	Rec. Admin NE SECTOR (McDade)	1,338	1138						
E	4.	Club Football Coaches (M)	1,938	1938		372				
E	5	Additional Coaching Offices (Club)	1,860	1860						
			-							
		Sub Total Staff Offices	9,160NSF							
						PE	FH	AFC	PC	Misc
. CLUB TE	EAM LOCKEF	RS	2780	2	780 0	0	0	0		0
Е	1	Football Locker	1780	1780						
E	2	Coach Locker, Football	0 in dedicated rec staff lockers	0						
E	3	Women's Club Sport Lockers	0 in C - rec and staff lockers below	0						
E	4	Men's Club Sport Lockers	0 in C - rec and staff lockers below	0						
E	5	Women's Field Hockey Lockers	0 in 3 above	0						
E	6	Men's Lacrosse Lockers	0 in 4 above	0						
E	7	Men's Crew Lockers - at Occoquan	0 in 4 above	0						
E	8	Rugby Lockers	0 in 4 above	0						
Е	9	Trap and Skeet Lockers	0 in 4 above (gun storage elsewhere)	0						1
ΡE	10	Training Room	1,000	1000						
		Sub Total Club Team Lockers	2,780 NSF							

George Mason University Project No. 23471

									PE	FH	AFC	РС	Misc
C. RECREATION	AND ST	AFF LOCKERS		10 1003 1015	12400	1	2400	11674	4422	2509	4743	0	
	1	Recreation/Visitor Locker-used for all sp PE Building NE Sector Aquatic Center	6157 1500 4743	12,400NSF					4422	2509	4743		
	2	Dedicated Staff Locker Rooms		0									
		Sub Total Rec./Visiting Team Facilities		12,400 NSF									
D. PRACTICE FA	CILITI	ES .			129220	11	5553	47989	PE 14800	FH 5294	AFC 27895	PC 0	Misc
	INDO	OOR									_ , . , .		
Split - NE & PE	1	Recreation Fitness Areas SEE 2 - DANCE AEROBICS BELOW F PE Building NE Sector	TOR ADD. REQ'D 17800 5000 7089	29,889		29222					7247		
Split - NE & PE	2	Aquatic Center Dance/Aerobics area / Multi-purpose Ro PE Building NE Sector Aquatic Center	om 4000 2000 6300	12,300NSF		12300					6324		
Split - NE & PE	3	Classroom PE Building NE Sector Aquatic Center	1806 0 use multi-pur 3194	5,000 NSF pose		5000					4280		
Split - NE & PE	4	Racqueteball Courts PE Building NE Sector Aquatic Center Field Honse	3200 3200 1600 1600	9,600NSF		9600				5294	1773		
PE Building PE Building PE Building Exist Split - NE & PE	5 6 7 8 9	Squash Courts Climbing Wall Indoor Rope Course Rec. Pool Rec. Hoop Courts PE Building	39000	1,440NSF 720NSF 0 in clg over hoop 8,271NSF 52,000NSF	s area?	1440 720 0 8271 39000			14800		8271		
PE Building	10	NE Sector Jogging track	13000	10,000NSF		10000							
		Sub Total Flactice Facilities		129,2201036					Į	I	I		I
E DUT CEUD D	14 CD				4 200		4299	(2257	PE	FH	AFC	PC	Misc
PE Building	1.	Administration		3,325	4,288		4288	03257	19594	/803	33860	0	0
Field House	2	Training Room Requirements		963 Near Practice Fa	ic			1	I	1	1		· I
		Sub Total RHT Facilities		4,288 NSF						ļ			
F. Outdoor Recrea	ation Pro	gram			2000		1000						
Split - NE & PE PE Building NE Sector	1 2 3	Life Time Sports (see Outdoor Facilities be Rope Course (see Outdoor Facilities below Rental Storage	elow) 0) 0 2000										
		TOTAL RECREATION FACILITIES	= =	158,847 NSF ===		14	13626	63257	19594	7803	35860	0	

Athletics and Recreation Master Plan

George Mason University Project No. 23471

III. ACADEMIC (ATHLE	TICS)				TOTAL EXIST	EXIST	EXIST	EXIST	EXIST	EXIST
sports psych 1	Academic Center		8800 NSF			PE 7382	FH	AFC 0	PC	Misc
sports psychi		-	00001101			7562		, v	Ū	
	Subtotal Academic Support	8,800 NSF		5550						
						PE	FH	AFC	РС	Misc
	TOTAL ACADEMIC FACILITIES	8,800 NSF		5	550	7382	2 () 0	0	
IV. SPECTATOR FACILI	TIES	RECOMMENDED TOTALS		NEEDS	TOTAL EXIST	EXIST	EXIST	EXIST	EXIST	EXIST
A. SEATING		IUIALS	97,300 NSF		63232	retractable	retractable	2994	60238	0
B. CONCOURSE			30,000 NSF		35187	retractable	retractable	in seating	35187	0
C. EXECUTIVE CONCOU	JRSE		0 NSF 0 NSF		0				0 in Athl aby	0
E. PRIV. SUITE BALCON	Y(S)		0 NSF		0			0	0	0
F. CONCESSIONS			8,650 NSF		7712	() (157	7555	0
G. FOOD COURT STANL H FOOD COURT SFATI	DS I LIN FT. NG 1 PFR		0 NSF 0 NSF		0				0	0
I. TOILETS	NOTTER		21,255 NSF		9919	in support	in support	in support	9919	0
J. NOVELTY STANDS			640 NSF		0	() (0 0	0	0
K. TEAM STORE L. OTHER SPECIALTY S	HOPS		1000 NSF 0 NSF		0			0	0	0
M. EVENT TICKET WDO	NS S		1,000 NSF		517	0) (0	517	Ő
N. VENDORS CONCESSI	ONS		600 NSF		0 Tatal Estat) (DE) (EII	0	0 DC	0
	TOTAL SPECTATOR FACILITIES	164 795NSF		164	795 116567	PE (AFC 3151	PC 113416	wiisc
		= ===		104	110507			0151	110410	
V SUPPORT FACILITIES	5				TOTAL EXIST	PF	FH	AFC	PC	Mise
V. SUITORI FACILITIE	5				IOTAL EAIST	115	rn	Arc	10	Mise
A. OPERATIONS	at Cantan		8,900 NSF	8	900	0) (645	45700	
Field	ot Center									
Aqua	atic Center									
PE B	uilding							(15		
Field	Sub Total Operations	8,900 NSF						043		
	1	,				PE	FH	AFC	PC	Misc
B. SERVICE	ot Center	16 600 NSE	45,310 NSF	45	310 6461	(0 1729	4732	in A abv	
Field	House	7,460 NSF					1729			
Aqua	atic Center	4,750 NSF						4732		
PE B Field	uilding	5,500NSF								
Ticid	5	11,0001031								
	Sub Total Service	45,310NSF								
C MEDIA/TEAMS (On S	idelines)		4195 NSF	4	195	PE	FH	AFC	PC in A aby	Misc
Patrie	ot Center	1,895	71721101	4	175				11 11 40 V	
Field	House	0 NSF								
Phys	Ed Building atic Center	0 NSF 500 NSF								
Field	ls (Press Box)	1,800 NSF								
		2 2003165								
	Sub Total Media (Sidelines)	2,300 NSF				I	1	I	ļ	1



							PE	FH	AFC	РС	Misc
D. MEDIA (Back of Houss Patric Field Phys Aqua Field	e) ot Center House - media workroom Ed Building ttic Center s Sub Total Media (BOH)		2,420 NSF 200 NSF 200 NSF 200 NSF 600 NSF - 3,620 NSF	3620 NSF	3620	0	0 PE	0 FH	0 AFC	n A abv PC	Misc
E. FOOD SERVICE Patriot Center Aquatics Field House PE Building NE Sector Fields			2,250 NSF 1,000 NSF 1,500 NSF 1,000 NSF 1,000 NSF 1,500 NSF	8250 NSF	8250		0	0	0	n A abv	
	Sub Total Concessions		8,250 INSF				PE	FH	AFC	РС	Misc
F. SYSTEM FACILITIES	Engineering Catwalks Follow Spot Platforms Sub Total System Facilities TOTAL SUPPORT FACILIT = = = =	in Circ below exist. to rem. In PC exist. to rem. In PC	0NSF 0NSF 0NSF 0NSF 70,275NSF = ===	UNSF	. 0	69764	2093 2093 PE 5863	3096 3096 FH 6369	6455 6455 AFC 11832	PC 45700	Misc
SUBTOTAL-NET PROGR existing =	AM AREA = = = =			666,418 NSF 435,678 230,740	635,835 435,678 200,157	435678	52454	=	79533 =	191479 =	=
VI. CIRCULATION						TOTAL EXIST	EXIST	EXIST	EXIST	EXIST	EXIST
NON PROGRAM AREA-85% EFFICIENCY Non program area includes wall thicknesses, chases, mechanical, electrical, telephone spaces overhangs, and circulation between spaces.				117,603 SF 41,940 75,663	112,206 41,940 70,266	41940	РЕ 8,149	FН 9,880	AFC 12285	РС 11626	Misc
TOTAL GROSS BUILDING AREA These area totals represent the recommended space allocations for the programs discussed (column 5); the approximate gross area of the minimum program as discussed (column 1); the approximate gross area of the PE Building as it now exists (column 2) and the approximate gross area of the Field House as it now exists (column 3) Aquatics, (column 4) Patriot Center			recommended exist new	784,021 GSF 477618 306,403	748,041 477,618 270,423	477618	60,603	122,092	91818	203105	

George Mason University Project No. 23471

EwingCole

Page 54 of 55

OUTDOOR FACILITIES - Fields				
1	Golf Hitting/Practice 87.120 NSF			0
2	Performance Fields 284,560 NSF			
	a. Main Stadium - Soccer, Lax 72960			72960
	b. Baseball 121247 140000			140000
	c. Robinson - Football, Lax, Soccer 71600			71600
3	Practice Fields recommended exist 545,000 NSF			
	a. Soccer 2@'70200 140400 160000			160000
	b. Field 1 - Lax 70200 85000			85000
	c. Field 2 - Softball 46961 45000			45000
lights	d. Field 3 - Synthetic 70200 85000			85000
lights	e. Field 4 - Rugby 70200 85000			85000
	f. Field 5 - Lax 70200 85000			85000
	g. Storage and Support - see support above 0NSF			
4	400M Outdoor Running Track 107,040 NSF			107040
5	Baseball Practice 18,000 NSF			18,000
6	Softball Practice 0NSF			
7	Tennis Courts (6V) 60,000 NSF			39000
8	IM/Rec. Fields 210,600 NSF			
9	Rec. Basketball 26,000 NSF			13000
10	Rec. Volleyball 8,000 NSF			
11	Rec. Dasher 0NSF			0
12	Skate Park 0NSF			0
13	Life Skills-Challenge/Rope Course 30,000 NSF			
14	Rec. Pool 5,000 NSF			
	Sub Total Outdoor Practice Facilities 1294200 NSF			1006600

1.5.1 Study Assumptions

Our process for laying out development options involved identification of critical drivers, understanding the fundamental pieces and focusing on the remaining elements in terms of being more centralized or decentralized in the context of Mason's overall campus development strategy. Options would be evaluated by their ability to reduce scheduling conflicts and provide opportunities in the demand areas on campus. Our discussion points focused on the following:

We started with an understanding of the following 2002 master plan diagram followed by the identification of the critical drivers.

- Initial growth projections in the master plan have been exceeded.
- Blend of traditional and non-traditional.
- An obvious demand for recreation in the northeast sector.
- Feedback that no one has a place to call home missing identity for recreation and athletics.
- No home field/court advantage for many of the sports because of location and poor communication with student body.

2002 Master Plan Area Analysis



1.5

EwingCole retains the right of ownership of the design ideas, concepts, and other information expressed in this document. ©EwingCole 2004

EwingCole

1.5.1.2 Identify the CRITICAL DRIVERS:

Bridge and/or underpass from campus master plan

Design of the overpass is critical to Mason for two primary reasons. First, the direct link to West campus athletic venues and recreational fields creates a pedestrian opportunity to connect main campus. Secondly, this bridge will provide an opportunity to create visibility with the community. Now, whether purposeful or not, the campus is hidden for the public and there is no visible Mason Identity with the exception of the Patriot Center. Also critical to the Bridge if it is realized is the pedestrian versus auto interaction. Currently, single, northbound on and off ramps are shown to Route 123. Careful thought must be given to making this as much a pedestrian friendly environment to enhance the campus linkage opportunity. Also, development of Housing VIII in southwest near Patriot Center and parking garage though speculative, must be considered with the design of the bridge.

- Enrollment counts on Fairfax campus
- Campus residency counts and location on Fairfax campus

The campus provides a wide range of locational opportunities for recreational and athletic development based upon the current master plan scheme. Considering where the present recreation opportunities for students are and the planned dormitory development, it was clear there is a demand for recreational opportunities in the northeast sector of campus. Whereas the current master plan recognizes the need for outdoor space and indicates future recreational fields in this area, the current goal is too expand upon these opportunities by providing additional indoor fitness and multipurpose spaces integrated, or close to, the new dorm developments planned in the near future. The recommended scale of this space would complement the existing mid-size opportunity at the aquatic center and large scale planned expansion at the PE building site.

• Potential IAA football program with 15,000 seats

The Colonial Athletic Association is committed to the expansion of football in the conference. Therefore, considerations have been made to address the potential growth of the club football program to NCAA, Div 1 AA status. Remaining true

to the idea of centralized athletics, the unused land on West Campus adjacent to Braddock Road became an obvious location. A possible alternate location on West Campus may be at the terminus of the new road created by the bridge linking Main Campus to West Campus. This location would mean a less efficient use of the available land because of the position of the stadium in the middle of the site. Locating the future stadium adjacent to Braddock Road provides

EwinaCole

easier access, better visibility and more efficient use of the land. A 15,000 seat stadium development with associated parking requires 25 to 40 acres, depending upon developable land area and surface to structured parking ratios.

The Shirley Gate site is best served by remaining available for future development opportunities. Without connection to the local sewer, sewage treatment on site is a development issue. Future development considerations may include football, baseball (in conjunction with a minor league franchise), recreation center, faculty and/or retirement housing and research development park. The site diagram of Shireygate included at the end of this section explores a combination baseball-softball tournament, practice and recreation facility for Mason. It should be noted that opportunities for varsity sports were not encouraged on this site because we remained true to the desire for athletics to remain centralized on the west camps. There are transportation issues that would need to be dealt with for players and spectators. In essence, Shirley Gate becomes a destination performance venue more suitable for minor league than for attracting Mason students and college baseball or softball supporters.

• Minor league baseball shared use stadium at Shirleygate

1.5.1.3 The Fundamental Pieces:

EwinaCole

The following became the givens - the pieces that had obvious locational demands or group consensus for development.

- If Mason moves from Club Football to DIAA Football, move to a stadium on West Campus near Braddock Road
- Create two fields in Northeast Sector
- Create open green space for Intramural and informal play
- Relocate stands to West side of existing stadium
- Create running and biking trails connecting campus Inner and Outer Loop

1.5.1.4 For the remaining elements, should we?:

- a. Centralize Athletics, Centralize Recreation §Try to pull ICA together as much as possible, as well as create a focal hub of recreation in addition to the Aquatics center?
- b. Centralize Athletics, Decentralize Recreation §Try to pull ICA together as much as possible, and distribute recreation facilities across campus responding to housing initiatives?
- c. Decentralize Athletics, Decentralize Recreation §Create sport specific/distinctive destinations for ICA, and distribute indoor/outdoor recreation across campus

George Mason University Project No. 23471

EwingCole retains the right of ownership of the design ideas, concepts, and other information expressed in this document. ©EwingCole 2004


Athletics and Recreation Master Plan

EwingCole

1.5.1.5 Initial Development Options:

We asked the following questions during the discussions of each option:

What strategy will provide the solution for accommodating the list of elements in the program, in the best reflection of George Mason's vision and image?

How can we place the pieces of the puzzle on the campus map to make them 'fit' well?

What does the Northeast Sector Recreation Center need to be?

Viability of 2500 seat and 5000 seat venues at the Patriot Center and Fieldhouse

- Need additional parking and access
- Housing VIII is speculative near the Patriot Center

Outdoor lighting and neighborhoods – northeast sector fields

Future conf. Center and hotel – parking issue

- PE Building:
 - Accommodate volleyball and wrestling? Academic support?
 - Accommodate ICA tennis?
- Fieldhouse:
 - Accommodate recreational weight and fitness? racquetball?
- Outside Factors for timing:
 - Patriot Village empty?
 - Overpass possible?
 - Critical mass of residents in NE sector?
- A Centralized Athletics and Recreation
- **B** Decentralized Athletics and Recreation
- C Centralized Athletics and Decentralized Recreation

Campus Opportunities – Option A

Centralized Athletics and Recreation



1.5

George Mason University Project No. 23471

Campus Opportunities – Option B

Cent. Athletics and Decent. Recreation



1.5

George Mason University Project No. 23471

Campus Opportunities – Option C

Decentralized Athletics and Recreation



1.5

George Mason University Project No. 23471

1.5.2.1 Concept D – Centralized Athletics and Regional Recreation – A Place to Call Home

Centralize Athletics, create a Recreation Center at the PE Building and satellite recreation elements in NE sector

This scheme developed out of the A and C options and was termed the scheme that provided the most diverse recreational opportunities for the students and a place for everyone in ICA to call home.

1.5.2.1.1 Centralized Athletics

1.5.2 Recommendations

The recommendation includes renovation and expansion of the Fieldhouse and the development of this facility and surrounding area as the focal point for athletics. Some key elements involve bringing volleyball, wrestling and tennis competition to this site, as well as academic support and the athletic training arm of the RHT department. Renovating and dedicating an ICA strength & conditioning room is also integral. Further, the recommendation includes an addition to the Patriot Center to serve the needs of the basketball program as a secondary practice location when the game court is not available.

1.5.2.1.2 Regional Recreation

The renovation and expansion of the PE Building will provide a new focal point for campus recreation to balance with the Aquatics Center. It would include weight & fitness, basketball/volleyball courts, jogging track, and climbing wall. Other opportunities for providing recreation should be pursued with a smaller recreation center in the Northeast sector, fitness trails through campus, and enhanced and additional intramural/recreation fields.

The recreation center in the Northeast Sector will become a different kind of recreational opportunity for students at Mason. The focus of this center will be an integrated development model with the new dorms planned in the Northeast area of campus.

As the development of the schemes continued, the Shirleygate property became less of a focal point and considered more of a reserve site for future growth. Infrastructure development will be costly - there is no county sewer service. Options considered for this site during the study included the following:

- Joint development with a minor league baseball franchise serving as a dual use facility for Mason Baseball and a minor league affiliate. Expected site development area for the facility will be from 25 to 30 acres including parking.
- Land Lease agreement with minor league affiliate for private development. •

Development Options 1.5

- Expanded concept of minor league/varsity park with three other fields creating a softball and baseball tournament center with possible county use implications
- Varsity Football
- All recreation development utilizing the freedom Aquatic Center model for both indoor and outdoor spaces available for student and community use.
- In conjunction with the above schemes which would utilize 33% to 50% of the site area, reserve part of the 90 acre site undeveloped or pursue future opportunities including faculty housing, retirement housing.
- Golf driving range and teaching center.
- Partnership with the County, City or Northern Virginia Park Authority to develop fields to be shared by the partner entities in an attempt to meet regional outdoor recreational field needs.

Option D 1.5





George Mason University Project No. 23471

1.5.2.1.3 Concept D Overview

- New basketball practice court
- New volleyball/wrestling venue with2,500 seats at Fieldhouse
- New 6 dedicated ICA tennis courts at Fieldhouse (potential indoor tennis [4-6 courts])
- Renovated and dedicated ICA strength & conditioning room in Fieldhouse
- Renovated or expanded space in Fieldhouse for new home of Academic Support
- Renovated Robinson Field with artificial turf and 1,500 seats (growth to 3,000 seats), new lighting and basic
 patron amenities.
- Renovate PE Building for racquetball, squash, multipurpose room(s), general weight & fitness, club sport offices, recreation basketball/volleyball, indoor jogging, climbing wall
- New facilities in NE sector for multipurpose room, general weight & fitness, outdoor recreation equipment

1.5.3 Discreet Projects

The following are general descriptions of primary spaces or components of facilities and are not intended to contain a complete program listing for individual projects.

- 1.5.3.1 Northeast Sector:
 - 1) NE Outdoor Recreation (2 fields, bathrooms, equipment storage)
 - 2) NE Outdoor Recreation (6 tennis courts, 2 outdoor basketball courts)
 - 3) NE Outdoor Recreation (ropes/skills course)
 - 4) NE Recreation Center (Weight and Fitness, locker rooms, multipurpose room, racquetball courts, Recreation staff offices, juice bar, etc.)
- 1.5.3.2 South part of campus:
 - 5) Aquatic Center (outdoor Recreation pool)
 - 6) Patriot Center Addition (basketball practice)
 - 7) Patriot Center Renovation
 - Basketball strength & conditioning
 - Patriot Club lounge and offices
 - Entry lobby
 - Honors/trophies area
 - Coaching offices
 - 8) Parking Deck between Patriot Center and PE Building

EwingCole

Development Options

Athletics and Recreation Master Plan

- 9) PE Building Indoors (New and Renovation):
 - Multipurpose rooms
 - General weight & fitness
 - Recreation basketball/volleyball courts
 - Racquetball/squash
 - Jogging track
 - Climbing wall
 - Locker rooms including tournament lockers for Robinson field
 - Satellite athletic training room
 - Recreation staff offices
 - Club team offices
 - Some RHT staff and classroom
 - Child development center
 - ROTC
 - PE Building Area:

10) Graduate School of Education (to Robinson 1)

- 11) PE Building Outdoors (2 outdoor basketball courts)
- 12) PE Building Outdoors (FH turf rec field, lights)
- 13) Robinson Field (artificial turf, 3,000 seats, public amenities)
- 1.5.3.3 Fieldhouse Area:

14) Fieldhouse Indoors (renovate infield surface)

15) Fieldhouse Indoors

- Add volleyball/wrestling venue with 2,500 seats
- Renovate wrestling practice
- Move in offices for golf, crew, volleyball, tennis, etc.
- Consolidate offices
- Move in academic support
- Renovate strength & conditioning
- Add RHTAT teaching next to Athletic Training room

16) Fieldhouse Outdoors (6 tennis courts, spectator seating)



Development Options 1.5

- 1.5.3.4 Main Outdoor Fields Area:
 17) Main Field (move stands to West, amenities, lockers)
 18) Baseball Field (flip orientation, amenities)
 19) Field #1(artificial turf, lighting)
 20) Field #2 Softball (amenities)
 21) Field #4 (artificial turf)
 22) Field #5 (remain grass but add lights)
- 1.5.3.5 West Campus:
 - 23) Football Stadium
 - 15,000 seats
 - Tournament facilities including home lockers, visiting lockers and off
 - Spectator amenities
 - Strength & conditioning
 - Athletic training
 - Equipment/laundry
 - Offices
 - Parking
- 1.5.3.6 Shirleygate:
 - 24) Baseball Stadium
 - Minor league park
 - Recreation softball/baseball fields
 - Parking

1.5.3.7 Circulation:

EwingCole

- 25) Inner Loop Fitness Trail 26) Outer Loop Fitness Trail 27) Route 123 Overpass
- 28) Connecting Pathways

1.5.4 Issues of the large projects

- PE Building:
 - o Accommodate volleyball and wrestling? Academic support?
 - Accommodate ICA tennis?

Relocation of ROTC (6375 SF) and CEHD(10,000 SF requested in programming exercise)

- Fieldhouse:
 - o Accommodate recreation Weight & Fitness? racquetball?
- Outside Factors for timing:
 - Patriot Village empty?
 - Overpass possible?
 - Critical mass of residents in NE sector?





EwingCole

George Mason University Project No. 23471

1.5

EwingCole retains the right of ownership of the design ideas, concepts, and other information expressed in this document. ©EwingCole 2004

Athletics and Recreation Master Plan

1.6 Budget and Program Options

Once our image of campus in 2014 was established, we had several working sessions to discuss the 28 discreet projects:

- HOMES for ICA teams
- o Distribution of indoor and outdoor recreational facilities

In our Working Session on each of the project packages, we discussed the following:

- o Scope of Work
- o Interdependencies
- o Costs
- o Affiliations of costs to ICA, Recreation and other uses

These projects are significant with substantial, positive quality of life implications. The priority, above all, should recognize the students at Mason.

We also discussed key sequencing issues:

- Swing spaces for displaced teams and rec activities
- Timing of new housing occupancy
- Timing of Patriot Village disbandment
- Timing of minor league baseball decision
- 1.6.1 What emerged was a strategy termed: A Place To Call Home

The following table outlays the preferred scheme of capitol development options for the next ten years.



Project Title	Cost	ICA	Rec
"Lead Off": 2004-2006			
PE Building Indoors - Phase 1	\$14,500,000		\$14,500,000
30,000 SF Addition			
60,000 SF Renovation			
Add Eitness Conter Juice Bar & Climbing Wall			
FH Tennis Venue	\$500.000	\$500.000	
6 outdoor courts, no lighting	\$000,000	φ000,000	
Robinson Field - Phase 1	\$1,600,000		\$1,600,000
Synthetic Turf (supersize field) and Lighting			
2400 Seat VB/Wrestling Gym @ Field House	\$5,000,000	\$5,000,000	
NE Recreation Center	\$4,100,000		\$4,100,000
Total:	\$25,700,000	\$5,500,000	\$20,200,000
"On Deck": 2006-2008	¢222.000	¢222.000	
Field House Infield Surface Replacement	\$320,000		
Single Practice Court - 11000 SF	\$3,800,000	\$3,000,000	
Academic Center (4500 SE)			
Outdoor Tennis Court Lighting @ Field House	\$400,000	\$400,000	
PE Building Indoors - Phase 2	\$5,500,000	. ,	\$5,500,000
Basketball Courts (2)			
Jogging Track			
Racquetball (4), Squash (2)			
Robinson Field - Phase 2	\$875,000		\$875,000
Seating for 1500, Press Box			
Fublic Amenilies FH Indoors - Phase 1	\$2 300 000	\$2 300 000	
Strength & Cond	φ2,300,000	ψ2,500,000	
Wrestling Practice			
FH Athletic Training/RHT	\$200,000	\$200,000	
FH Athletic Complex Allowance	\$500,000	\$500,000	
Main Stadium	\$500,000	\$500,000	
Outdoor Track Resurface		0000	
Softball Field Amenities (Field #2)	\$1,325,000	\$662,500	\$662,500
Total:	\$15,720,000	\$8,682,500	\$7,037,500

George Mason University Project No. 23471

Project Title		Cost	ICA	Rec
"Clean Up": 2008-2010				
Robinson Field - Phase 3		\$600,000		\$600,000
Tournament facilities, support				
NE Fields		\$2,780,000		\$2,780,000
NE Courts - Tennis, Bball		\$430,000		\$430,000
FH Athletic Complex Allowance		\$500,000	\$500,000	
Main Stadium		\$4,750,000	\$4,750,000	
Baseball Field		\$3,300,000	\$3,300,000	
PE Bldg Outdoor Bball Courts		\$65,000		\$65,000
PE Bldg Field		\$1,060,000		\$1,060,000
Adventure Center-Skills @ NE Sector		\$200,000		\$200,000
Aquatic Center - Outdoor Pool		\$700,000		\$700,000
Inner Loop		\$95,000		\$95,000
Outer Loop - Phase 1		\$165,000		\$165,000
	Total:	\$22,995,000	\$16,900,000	\$6,095,000
"The Closer": 2010-2014				
FH Indoor Practice Field Venue		\$8,350,000	\$8,350,000	\$0
3 indoor synethetic turf fields (44,400 SF)				
Lobby Connection to FH				
Restrooms, Storage		A40.050.000		
FH Indoors - Phase 2		\$10,850,000	\$10,850,000	
ICA Offices, Lockers, Equip Issue				
Spectator Amenities, Support		\$500.000	*50000	
Cutor Lean II		\$500,000	\$500,000	¢405.000
Outer Loop - II		\$105,000 #1,000,000	¢520.000	\$165,000
		\$1,000,000	\$530,000	\$530,000 \$800,000
Field #5		φου0,000 \$260,000		\$000,000 \$260,000
	Total	\$200,000 \$12,635,000	¢11 990 000	φ200,000 \$1 755 000
	TOtal.	φ13,035,000	φ11,000,000	φ1,755,000

George Mason University Project No. 23471

Project Title	Cost	ICA	Rec
Below the Line Items			
PE Building Child Dev. Ctr	\$1,530,000		
8500 SF - in Northeast Sector			
Patriot Center Renovation	\$10,000,000(Curren	tly in design)	
Football Stadium (incl. Parking)	\$69,000,000	, ,	
Route 123 Overpass	\$20,000,000(latest e	estimate)	
Shirleygate - Minor League Park	\$10,000,000 (or \$3,0)00 per seat)	\$22.5 to \$30 M for total ballpark
Connecting Pathways	\$95,000	, ,	· · · · ·
Parking Deck (Near Patriot Center)	\$14,000,000		
o ()	\$124,625,000		
	. , ,		

Notes:

1The "Cost" column indicates construction hard cost with 15% Program and Design Contingency & 25% Soft Costs **2**Estimates are in 2004 dollars. A minimum escalation of 3.5% per year should be applied to project year projections



Capital Projects

1.6



George Mason University Project No. 23471

Budget Schedule

	Ind	loor	Out	tdoor		
Project	New	Renovated	New	Renovated	Unit Cost	Revised
	Sq. Footage	Sq. Footage	Sq. Footage	Sq. Footage		Project Cost
NORTHEAST SECTOR DEVELOPMENT						7
1 NE Fields						\$2,775,813
2 Rec Fields			140,400		\$ 1,400,000	
Bathrooms	400				\$ 70,000	
Equipment Storage for Outdoor Rec	2,000				\$ 200,000	
Office	200				\$ 30,000)
Multipurpose Rooms	1,000				\$ 150,000	
Circulation	540				\$ 81,000	0
Sub-Total	4,140		140,400		\$ 1,931,000	
2NE Courts						\$431,875
6 Rec Tennis Courts			36,000		\$ 300,000)
2 Rec Basketball Courts			13,000		\$ 45,500)
Sub-Total			49,000		\$ 345,500)
3 NE Skills Course			30,000			\$200,000
4NE Rec Center						\$4,044,676
Weight and Fitness	4,500				\$ 787,500)
Locker Rooms	1,000				\$ 150,000)
Multipurpose Rooms	1,500				\$ 225,000)
Raquetball (1 @ 800)	800				\$ 120,000)
Management Offices	600				\$ 90,000)
Juice bar	0				\$-	
Vending	125				\$ 15,625	5
Lobby	750				\$ 131,250)
Basketball Courts - 84' indoor (1 @ 6500)	6,500				\$ 975,000)
Basketball Courts - 84' outdoor (2 @ 6500)	0				\$-	
Public Restrooms	0				\$-	
Storage	750				\$ 93,750)
Circulation	1,504				\$ 225,563	3
Sub-Total	18,029				\$ 813,688	3



Budget Schedule

		Ind	loor	Ou	tdoor		
Project	New		Renovated	New	Renovated	Unit Cost	Revised
	Sq. Foot	age	Sq. Footage	Sq. Footage	Sq. Footage		Project Cost
SOUTH CAMPUS AREA DEVELOPMENTS							
5Aquatic Center							\$700,000
Outdoor Rec Pool				14,885			
6Patriot Center Addition							\$3,787,813
1 Basketball Practice Court	10	,500				\$ 1,312,500	
Seating -portable tilt up sections for camps	deferred					\$ -	
Lobby/Lounge	1	,000				\$ 175,000	
Academic Support (from Field House)	4	,500				\$ 787,500	
Circulation and Support	2	,400				\$ 360,000	
	18	,400				\$ 2,635,000	
7 Patriot Center Renovation			???			\$ 10,000,000	\$10,000,000
8Parking Deck						\$ 14,000,000	\$14,000,000
Parking Structure - 1000 cars/2 levels	350	,000					
PHYSICAL EDUCATION BUILDING AREA DEVELOPMENT							
9PE Bldg Indoors							\$19,953,424
MultiPurpose rooms	1	,945	2,055			\$ 497,250	
Weight And Fitness	17	,800				\$ 3,115,000	
6-7 Basketball/Volleyball Courts	13	,000	31,620			\$,011,000	
Racquetball (4)/Squash(2)	4	,640				\$ 510,400	
Jogging track	10	,000				\$ 1,750,000	
Climbing Wall		720				\$ 126,000	
Rec Offices		335	1,528			\$ 203,050	
RHT Offices/Classroom	1	,325				\$ 198,750	
Club Team Lockers	1	,780				\$ 267,000	
Rec Lockers		776	5,381			\$ 654,500	
Athletic Training			1,100			\$ 110,000	
Club Team Coaches Offices			3,798			\$ 379,800	
Support	4	,590	2,110			\$ 784,750	
Spectator Amenities		182	1,418			\$ 173,650	
Circulation	8	,564	8,149			\$ 2,099,493	
Sub-Total	65	,657	55,104			\$ 13,880,643	

EwingCole

George Mason University Project No. 23471

EwingCole retains the right of ownership of the design ideas, concepts, and other information expressed in this document. ©EwingCole 2004

Athletics and Recreation Master Plan

Budget Schedule

		Inc	loor	Outdoor				
Project		New	Renovated	New	Renovated	ι	Jnit Cost	Revised
		Sq. Footage	Sq. Footage	Sq. Footage	Sq. Footage			Project Cost
10 PE Bldg CDC, CEHD, ROTC								\$1,529,141
Child Development Center		7,400				\$	925,000	
Center for Education and Human Devel.	10000	0				\$	-	
ROTC	6500	0				\$	-	
Circulation		1,110				\$	138,750	
Sub-Total		8,510				\$	1,063,750	
11 PE Bldg Courts								\$62,563
2 Outdoor Rec Basketball Courts					13,000	\$	45,500	
12PE Bldg Fields								\$1,060,000
Artificial Turf				70,200		\$	800,000	
Lights						\$	260,000	
13 Robinson Field								\$3,082,539
Artificial Turf - supersize for softball					83,250	\$	900,000	
Lighting						\$	350,000	
Bleacher Seating for 1500		5,500				\$	217,500	
Prefab Press Box (add \$20 per seat)								
Future Seating for 3000 (13500 sf)								
Restrooms		1,000				\$	175,000	
Concession		750				\$	131,250	
Tournament Facilities								
2 Locker Rooms,		1,500				\$	262,500	
Satellite Training		250				\$	43,750	
Media - Press Box		250				\$	37,500	
Elevator (not req'd if PB < 500 sf)		0				\$	-	
Storage		500				\$	62,500	
Circulation		638				\$	95,625	
Sub-Total		10,388				\$	2,275,625	



Budget Schedule

	Ind	loor	Ou	tdoor			
Project	New	Renovated	New	Renovated	Unit	Cost	Revised
	Sq. Footage	Sq. Footage	Sq. Footage	Sq. Footage			Project Cost
FIELD HOUSE AREA DEVELOPMENT							
14FH Indoor Track Infield							\$0
Renovate Track Surface		21,000			\$	-	
Renovate Court Surfaces		40,000			\$	-	
15FH Indoors							\$13,350,199
Wrestling Practice	6,500	0			\$	812,500	
ICA Team Offices	11,151	14,349			\$3,	386,325	
Team Lockers	9,820	10,000			\$ 2,2	223,000	
Athletic Training	in team lockers	in team lockers					
Academic Support (moved to Patriot Ctr)	0				\$	_	
Strength and Conditioning	2.128	7,128			\$	800.600	
RHT Teaching Rooms	163	800			\$	84.450	
Support	5.200				\$	650.000	
Spectator Amenities	1.850				\$	323.750	
Circulation	6,710				\$ 1,0	006,470	
Sub-Total	43,522	32,277			\$ 9,	287,095	
15.1FH Volleyball Wrestling Venue							\$5,072,578
2500 seats - retractable bleachers	7,920				\$	990,000	
Playing surface	7,700				\$	962,500	
Lobby	1,500				\$	262,500	
Restrooms	1,500				\$	262,500	
Visiting team Lockers (2 @ 30)	2,400				\$	360,000	
Office/Ticketing	150				\$	26,250	
Concession	800				\$	140,000	
Storage	1,500				\$	300,000	
Circulation	1,500				\$	225,000	
Sub-Total	24,970				\$ 3,	528,750	
16Outdoor FH Tennis Venue							\$912,500
8 Tennis Courts - outdoor			48,000		\$	400,000	
Lighting - Varsity					\$	330,000	



George Mason University Project No. 23471

Budget Schedule

		ndoor	Ou	tdoor	l 		
Project	New	Renovated	New	Renovated	U	nit Cost	Revised
	Sq. Foota	je Sq. Footage	Sq. Footage	Sq. Footage			Project Cost
					\$	730,000	
16.1 Indoor Practice Fields -FH Addition							\$8,351,875
Artificial Fields (3 @ 75' x 180')	40,5	00			\$	5,265,000	
Lobby/Connection to FH	1,0	00			\$	175,000	
Restrooms	4	00			\$	70,000	
Storage	2,0	00			\$	240,000	
Circulation	5	00			\$	60,000	
Sub-Total	44,4	00			\$	5,810,000	
WEST CAMPUS FIELD DEVELOPMENT							
17 Main Stadium Improvements							\$5,079,586
New Stands to West side of Field (4500)	10,0	00			\$	1,250,000	
1000 bleacher seats on structure					\$	25,000	
FFE for remaining freestanding (3500)	10,0	00			\$	300,000	
Spectator Amenities							
Toilets	3,0	00			\$	525,000	
Concession	8	00			\$	140,000	
Tournament Lockers	1,9	00			\$	285,000	
Training Room	2	50			\$	43,750	
Media - Press Box	6	00			\$	90,000	
Elevator	1	00			\$	90,000	
Support/ Storage	1,5	00			\$	187,500	
Circulation	ę	83			\$	147,375	
Lighting (assume Class 2 - 50 fc)					\$	450,000	
Sub-Total	29,1	33			\$	3,533,625	
17.1 Main Field							\$500,000
Outdoor Track resurface				80,000	\$	400,000	
18 Baseball Field							\$3,303,555
Reorient Field					\$	-	
Lighting					\$	630,000	
Structured Seating (1000 Seats)	7,0	00			\$	875,000	
1000 Seats (FF&E)					\$	165,000	



Budget Schedule

	Inc	loor	Ou	tdoor		
Project	New	Renovated	New	Renovated	Unit Cost	Revised
	Sq. Footage	Sq. Footage	Sq. Footage	Sq. Footage		Project Cost
Restrooms	700				\$ 122,500	
Concession	800				\$ 140,000	
Left Field Concession	1,000				\$ 175,000	
Scoreboard allowance					\$ 60,000	
Media - Press Box	250				\$ 37,500	
Elevator (not req'd if PB < 500 sf)	0				\$-	
Support Circulation	250 413				\$ 31,250 \$ 61,875	
Sub-Total	10,413				\$ 2,298,125	
19Outdoor Field #1						\$1,130,400
Artificial Turf				70,400	\$ 800,000	
Lighting					\$ 260,000	
20 Outdoor Field #2 (softball)						\$1,325,645
Spectator Seating (1000 -FFE Bleachers)	5,000				\$ 125,000	
Restrooms (for all fields - 1500 patrons)	1,000				\$ 175,000	
Concession (for all fields)	500				\$ 87,500	
Tournament Lockers (for all fields)	2,150				\$ 322,500	
2 Locker Rooms, Satellite Training						
Media - Press Box	250				\$ 31,250	
Elevator (not req'd if PB < 500 sf)	0				\$-	
Storage	750				\$ 93,750	
Circulation	698				\$ 87,188	
Sub-Total	10,348				\$ 922,188	
21 Outdoor Field #4						\$800,000
Artificial Turf				70,400	0	
22 Outdoor Field #5						\$260,000
Lighting						
22.1 Golf Practice Area						\$859,375
Short Game Area w/ Putting Green (2 acres)			87,120		\$ 500,000	
Pavilion Meeting Room, Unisex toilet, Vending	1,000				\$ 125,000	
Sub-Total	1,000				\$ 625,000	

George Mason University Project No. 23471

EwingCole retains the right of ownership of the design ideas, concepts, and other information expressed in this document. ©EwingCole 2004

Budget Schedule

		Ind	loor	Ou	tdoor		
Project		New	Renovated	New	Renovated	Unit Cost	Revised
		Sq. Footage	Sq. Footage	Sq. Footage	Sq. Footage		Project Cost
FUTURE DEVELOPMENTS							
23 Football Stadium							\$47,710,589
Spectator Facilities		161,711					
Seating and Concourse	139,186					\$ 10,438,950	
Concessions/Toilets/Suites	22,525					\$ 3,941,875	
Field				72,200		\$ 1,083,000	
Support Facilities		20,915				\$ 2,614,375	
Player/Performer Facilities		47,215				\$ 8,262,625	
Food Service-BOH		4,250				\$ 637,500	
System facilities		7,500				\$ 937,500	
Circulation		35,161				\$ 5,274,150	
Sub-Total		276,752				\$ 33,189,975	
							21 250 000
23.1 Parking Structure - 2500 cars/5 levels		875,000					21,200,000
24 Mason Commitment M L Ballpark							\$10,000,000
6,000 Capacity Ballpark							
Parking							
CAMPUS PLANNING DEVELOPMENTS							
25Inner Loop Fitness Trail							\$95,040
26 Outer Loop Fitness Trail							\$326,304
27 Route 123 Overpass (est. by Mason)							\$20,000,000
28 Connecting Pathways							\$95,000
Totals:		565,660	148,381	701,205	317,050		\$202,050,487

Jeduct Jeduct	
\$*	10,000,000
\$*	14,000,000
8,510	
276,752 \$6	68,960,589
ark \$1	10,000,000
\$2	20,000,000
er Plan 288,908 148,381 701,205 317,050 \$7	79,089,898
۵,510 276,752 \$¢ ark \$1 ¢r Plan 288,908 148,381 701,205 317,050 \$7	68,960 10,000 20,000 79,08 §



1.6.2 Sequencing of Construction

The PE building and the Fieldhouse, because of the demands, will more than likely be phased projects in order to initiate projects and satisfy the current demands.

The following diagrams represent an in depth look at two of the most important projects in Mason's future: the Physical Education Building and Fieldhouse expansion renovation:

1.6.3 Financing

All of these capital projects, as currently conceived or with modifications agreed to by the University and the desires of the donor could allow the University to proceed with this implementation plan in a more timely manner. The four phase approach to implementation represents an effort to pursue the highest priority projects first with a sensitivity to the financial capacity of the institution. Effectiveness in attracting substantial private support will reduce the student fee burden placed upon the students, and also lessens the requirement to make the facilities as dependent upon rental income than from external uses. This will ultimately provide more time for the students to use existing and proposed facilities, helping to achieve one of our primary goals: creating destinations on campus where students want to stay and come back.



Proposed Field House

Preferred Development Option



EwingCole



8,500 SF

12.000 SF

5.200 SF

4,800 SF

4,200 SF

3,200 SF

4,500 SF

10,840 SF

7,600 SF

8,500 SF

124,465 SF

950 SF

8,500 SF

12.000 SF

5.200 SF

4,200 SF

3,200 SF

4,500 SF

9,340 SF

7,600 SF

8,500 SF

114,965 SF

950 SF

0 SF

1,500 SF

9,500 SF

4,800 SF

ACADEMIC SUPPORT

VARSITY TEAM LOCKERS

·VISITING TEAM LOCKERS ATHLETIC TRAINING ROOM

·RHT TEACHING ROOMS

SPECTATOR AMENITIES

CIRCULATION (@15 %)

TOTAL INDOOR PROGRAM

LOBBIES AND CONCOURSES

·EQUIPMENT ROOM

SUPPORT

·COACHES & STAFF LOCKERS

PHASING STRATEGY

1.6



PHASE II

PHASE III





RENOVATED AREAS

Proposed PE Building

Preferred Development Option



George Mason University Project No. 23471

1.6

1.7

Process is the key to the success of any project. The EwingCole and Brailsford & Dunlavey team strived to create a deep understanding of the functional, technical and financial issues for the recreation and athletics ten year master development strategy. We began the process with a thorough review of the goals, expectations and project requirements with the University's core committee. Together, we developed a strategy that emerged from the following activities:

- Conduct a thorough needs analysis of the Athletic and Recreation Department activities and related facility requirements through an interview/workshop process with key university personnel.
- Review the existing condition of Mason's facilities and assess the potential to meet the ultimate program and schedule demands.
- Compile an agreed upon program of requirements for Mason.
- Develop a series of development alternatives which address the agreed upon goals.
- Evaluate site utility, infrastructure and building engineering requirements.
- Develop and evaluate alternative building site location options.
- Develop a recommended development option that serves Mason's long range vision, in concert with the campus master development plan.
- Develop a master development budget prioritizing projects with greater demand.

Understanding athletic and recreation opportunity is a key to Mason's culture. Today's college and university modern-day athletic and recreation centers are synonymous with student life. These facilities are a hub of student life due in part to their location and position relative to existing campus facilities and the overall campus master plan. Recreational support needs to increase as more students move on campus. The relationship of housing to recreation has been changing and on-campus housing will continue to grow to 7500 beds within a five to ten year period. Meeting the recreational demand for students by providing the appropriate facilities will create places on campus where people want to stay and come back again. Enhancing the Intercollegiate athletic offices and varsity facilities will be critical to recruiting and maintaining student-athletes, coaches and administrators who are responsible for the overall success of the program. We have addressed the range of needs by providing a plan to develop the facilities that will reduce the scheduling conflicts of both varsity and recreation demands on campus. Ultimately, the goal is to provide a sense of place, where people want to stay, and come back again; a place to call home for everyone at George Mason University.

EwingCole

The following program was derived by statistics gathered from detailed interviews with the athletic and recreation staff, the demand analysis, student focus groups and a follow-up session with the Director of Athletics and Senior Associate Athletic Director, Operations, Facilities and Administration. Information published represents the needs on campus based upon growth projections for the next ten years.

Also within is a master development program for a Div 1AA 15,000 seat football stadium. Part of the process was to understand the impact of a Div 1AA football program on the University from land requirement and budget perspective.

- Assumptions
 - Existing enrollment = 28,998 (exceeds Master Plan's projection)
 - Current Beds on Campus = 4,000 : 2014 target = 7500
 - o 22 Varsity Sports
 - o 6 Club Sports with dedicated locker area separate from Rec/Staff/Visiting Team Lockers
 - o Dedicated Weight Room for Varsity Football NOT included
 - Area for New 15,000 Seat Football Stadium NOT included (300-350,000 GSF)

I. ATHLETICS					Recommended	N	eeds	TOTAL EXIST	EXIST	EXIST	EXIST	EXIST	EXIST
A STAFE OFFICES					25.416		21074	24242	PE	FH	AFC	PC	Misc.
A. STAFF OFFICES	1	Athletic Administration			5 300		4 388	24342	/30	12187	001	2009	1
	1.	a Recent/Lobby	Cooksey	250	5,500	250	4,500			12107		2007	1
	fh	h Director & Asst VP	O'Connor	250		250							1
	fh	c Manager HR	Holt	120		120							1
	fh	d Adm Asst-1 @ 120	Gregg	120		120							1
	fh	e. Director's Conf. Rm.		200		200							1
	fh	f. Sr. Assoc AD-ICA	McNamee	200		150							1
	fh	g. Sr. Assoc AD-stu serv (comp)	Collins	200		150							1
	fh	h. Sr. Assoc AD-Admin	McDade	200		150							1
Academic	fh	i. Assoc AD-acad serv	Wilson (Collins)	200		150							1
	fh	j. Assoc AD-events	Marsh	200		150							1
	fh	k. Assoc AD-mkt'g	Ruge	200		150							1
	fh/pc	 Assoc AD-Development 	Correll	200	no space ded. In PC	150							1
	fh	m. Assoc AD-comm rel	Butler	200		150							1
	fh	n. Director (Champs)	Rogers	200		150							1
	fh	o. Secretarial-2 @ 80	TBD	160		160							1
		p. Files/ Archives		200		150							1
		q. Conf Rm 1 @ 300		300		300							1
		r. Conf Rm 1 @ 150		150		0							1
		s. Work Rm		150		150							1
		t. Toilets-M + F 2 (a) 100		200	Serve all offices	200							1
		u. Mailroom(entire complex)		100		100							1
		v. Employee Breakroom		300		200							1
	2	W. Circulation-80%		1000	412	838	275						1
	2.	a Basant	shared w/ admin	0	415	0	575						1
	fh	a. Recept h. Compliance Director	Hairston	150		120							1
		c Compliance Asst	TRD	80		80							1
		d Staff Assts-1 @ 100	IDD	0		0							1
		e Files/ Archives		100		100							1
		f Work Rm	shared w/ admin	0		0							1
		g. Circulation-80%		83		75							1
	3.	Sports Admin - AD for ICA (McNamee)			625		500						ł
		a. Recept	shared w/ admin.	0		0							ł
	fh	b. Asst AD-sport admin/sys	Shayka	150		120							ł
		c. Staff Assts-1 @ 100	-	100		80							ł
		d. Files/ Archives		100		80							ł
		e. Work Rm		150		120							ł
		f. Circulation-80%		125		100							i
	4.	Business Office - AD Finance			900		850			in 1 abv			ł
		a. Recept	shared w/ admin.	0		0							ł
	fh	h Aset AD	Medford	150		150							i

Athletics and Recreation Master Plan

EwingCole retains the right of ownership of the design ideas, concepts, and other information expressed in this document. ©EwingCole 2004

2.1

Page 2 of 24

	c. Bus. Mgr	Schulien	120		120		1	1	1	1	1
	d. Controller-1 @ 100		100		100						
	e. Staff Assts-1 @ 100		100		80						
	f. Files/ Archives		100		80						
	g. Work Rm		150		150						
	h. Circulation-80%		180		170						
5.	Internal Operations - AD Ops (McDade)			858		768		in 1 abv			
	a. Recept	shared w/ admin.	0		0						
	b. Off. Mgr.		120		120						
	c. IT Suppt		120		100						
	d. Staff Assts-2 @ 80	share w/ Bus Off	160		160						
	e. Files/ Archives		100		80						
	f. Work Rm		150		120						
	g. Circulation-80%		208		188						
6.	Facilities and Admin - AD Facil (McDade)			514		422		in 1 abv			
	a. Recept		0	in FH circ.	0						
fh	Allen (fh)			fh front desk coord							
fh	b. Facility Manager	Houston	150		120						
	c. Supervisors -1 @ 120		120		100						
	d. Files/ Archives		100		80						
	e. Work Rm	shared w/ Int Op	0		0						
	f. Circulation-80%	1	144		122						
7.	Sports Info/Media Rel/Tix&Pro AD (Ruge)			1,738		1,375		in 1 abv			
	a. Sec/Recept		150	,	120	1					
fh	b. Director-PR & Comm	Nasser	150		120						
fh	c. Director-multi-media	O'Bier	150		120						
				located in ticket office							
fh	d. Director-Tickets & Promo	Meyer	0	(IV.M. below)	0						
	e. Asst Directors(3 @ 120)	-	360		300						
fh	Coco, Walsh, White										
	f. Workrm-4 Student Asst		160		120						
	Multi-media workroom		150		120						
	Video Storage		120		100						
	g. Files/ Archives		150		100						
	h. Circulation-80%		348		275						
8.	Patriot Club/Devel - AD Devel (Correll)			1,306		1,094		in 1 abv			
	a. Recept		150		120						
	b. Director	AD-Correll	0		0						
fh	c. Asst. Director	Baker	120		120						
	d. Coordinators(3 @ 150)		450		360						
fh	Morehead										
	e. Workrm		150		120						
	f. Office Mgr		0		0						
	g. Secretarial-1 @ 100		100		80						
	h. Work Study-1 @ 75		75		75						
	i. Circulation-80%		261		219				.1	.1	1
9.	Events - AD Events (Marsh)			281		281					İ.
	a. Recept		0		0						1
fh	b. Asst AD-event adm	Kirk	150		150						1
fh	c. Asst. Director		0		0						1
	d. Coordinators(3 @ 150)		0		0						1
	e. Workrm	shared	0		0						1
	f. Office Mgr		0)	0						1
	g. Secretarial-1 (a) 100		()	0					1	i i

Athletics and Recreation Master Plan

EwingCole retains the right of ownership of the design ideas, concepts, and other information expressed in this document. ©EwingCole 2004

2.1

		h. Work Study-1 @ 75		75		75					
		i. Circulation-80%		56		56					
	10.	Facilities and Grounds AD Facil. (McDade)			313		313	in 1	abv		
		a. Recept	share w/ admin	0		0					
	fh	b. Asst AD-facilties	Cooper	150		150					
	fh	c. Grounds Super	Sullenberger	0	in FH maint area	0					
	fh	d. Asst. Grounds Mgr	Barden	0	in FH maint area	0					
	fh	e. Service Foreman	Bailey	0	in FH maint area	0					
		f. Staff Assts-1 @ 100	shared	0		0					
		g. Files/ Archives		100		100					
		h. Work Rm	shared	0		0					
		i. Circulation-80%		63		63					
Patriot Center	11.	Basketball Coaches(M & W)			3,833		3,398			3950	
	pc	a. Head Coach-M	Larranaga	250		200					
	pc	b. Head Coach-W	Taneyhill	250		200					
	pc	c. Sec/Recept-M	Marsh	150		120					
	pc	d. Sec/Recept-W	Thackwray	150		120					
	-	e. Asst Coach-3 @ 120-M	-	360		360					
	pc	Courtney, Cherry, Konkol									
	-	f. Asst Coach-3 @ 120-W		360		360					
	pc	Harrison, Howard, Alexander									
	pc	g. Admin Asst2 @ 100	TBD	200		200					
		h. Op's Manager-1 @ 150		150		150					
	pc	Fain									
	•	i. Workrm-M	Caputo	80		80					
		j. Workrm-W	-	80		80					
		k. Conference - 2 (+100 Sto)		500		400					
		 Recruiting Lounge 		250		200					
		Multi-media workroom		150		120					
		Video Storage		120		120					
		m. Files/ Archives		150		120					
		n. Circulation-80%		633		568					
	12.	Baseball Coaches(M)			844		806	in 1	abv		
	fh	a. Head Coach	Brown	150		120					
		b. Asst Coaches-3 @ 100		300		300					
	fh	Stiffler, Munoz, Filson									
		c. Sec/ Recept	shared w/ admin	75		75					
		d. Workrm	shared	150		150					
		e. Circulation-80%		169		161					
	13.	Golf Coach (M)			281		244	in crew bel			
		a. Head Coach-1 @ 150		150		120					
	fh	Gaudi,									
		b. Asst Coaches-0 @100		0		0					
		c. Work Rm	shared	0		0					
		d. Sec/Recept	shared	75		75					
		e. Circulation-80%		56		49					
	14	Lacrosse Coaches(W)			719		681	in 1	abv		
		a. Head Coach-1 @ 150		150		120					
	fh	Umbach									
		b. Asst Coaches-2 @100		200		200					
	fh	Schaffner									
		c. Work Rm/Stor	shared	150		150					
		d. Sec/Recept/workrm	shared	75		75					
		e. Circulation-80%		144		136					

Athletics and Recreation Master Plan



2.1

											2
	15	Crew	Coaches(W)			531		494	736		a
		a. Hea	ad Coach-1 @ 150		150		120				n n
	fh	Ras	ssam								
		b. Ass	st Coaches-2 @ 100		200		200				
	fh	Sm	ail, TBD								t t
		c. Sec	/ Recept/workrm		75		75				N N
		d. Wo	orkrm		0		0				Ö
		e. Cir	culation-80%		106		99				K
	16	Softb	all(W)			406		369	in 1 abv		<
	fh	a. Hea	ad Coach	TBD	150		120				2
		b. Ass	st Coaches-1 @ 100		100		100				5
	fh	Av	ers			Event Asst.					
		c. Sec	/ Recept/workrm	shared	75		75				ā
		d. Wo	orkrm	shared	0		0				ů.
		f. Circ	culation-80%		81		74				S. S
	17.	Socce	er Coaches(M & W)			969		894	in 1 abv		Q
		a. Hea	ad Coach-2 @ 150		300		240				Q
	fh	Dra	ake, O'Leary								L C
		b. Ass	st Coaches-4 @ 100		400		400				-
	fh	Ha	itz, Sillery								Q
	fh	All	an, Wateridge								5
		c. Sec	/ Recept/workrm	shared	75		75				(0
		d. Wo	orkrm	shared	0		0				S
		f. Circ	culation-80%		194		179				Ö
Aquatic Center	18	Swim	ming Coaches(M & W)			600		525		801	ti i
	afc	a. Hea	ad Coach-1 @ 150	Ward	150		120				U
		b. Ass	st Coaches-1 @100		100		100				~
	afc	We	einberger								t
		c. Wo	ork Rm/Stor		150		120		í í í	lí i	4
		d. Sec	c/Recept/workrm	shared	80		80				
							1				
							(
							5				
		e. C	Circulation-80%		120						
								2			
								4			
Aquatic Center		19	Diving Coaches			281		4		in swim abv	
			a. Head Coach	McDonald		150		120			
			b. Asst Coaches-1 @ 100			0		0			
			c. Sec/ Recept/workrm	shared		75		75			
			d. Workrm	share w/ swim		0		0			
			f. Circulation-80%			56		49			
								6			
								4			
		20.	Tennis Coaches(M & W)			719		4	in Crew abv		
		~	a. Head Coach-2 @ 150			300		240			
		th	TBD (W), Quam (M)				• • • •				
				b. Asst Coaches-2 @100			200		200		
				c. Work Rm/Stor		shared	0		0		
				d. Sec/Recept/workrm		shared	75		75		
				e. Circulation-80%			144	2	129	004	
			21	Track & X-Country Coaches(M & W)		969	9	2.40	894	in l abv
			a	a. Head Coach-2 @ 150			300		240		
			th	Ebanks, Taylor							

EwingCole retains the right of ownership of the design ideas, concepts, and other information expressed in this document. ©EwingCole 2004

2.1

									2					
		h Asst Coaches-4@100		400		400		1	P.		1	1	1	L
	fh	Jackson Vernon-Watson		100		100			5					i i
	fh	Harvey							4					i i
		c. Work Rm/Stor	shared	0		0								i i
		f Circulation-80%	shared	75		75			9					i i
		e Circulation-80%	Sharea	194		179			5					i i
	22	Volleyhall Coaches(M&W)		101	719	177	644	in	Crew ab					l
		a Head Coach		300	, . ,	240	0							i i
	fh	Kendrick Chao		500		210			<					i i
		h Asst Coaches-2 @ 100		200		200			4					i i
		c Sec/ Recent/workrm	shared	200		200			<u> </u>					i i
		d Workrm	shared	,5		,5			- :¥					i i
		f Circulation-80%	Sharea	144		129								i i
	23	Wrestling Coaches(M)		144	531	12)	494			1 abv				i i
	20. fb	a Head Coach	Shaffer	150	551	120	T		<u> </u>	11 40 V				i i
	m	h Asst Coaches 2 @ 100	Sharter	200		200			0					i i
	fh	Curtic Weader		200	Weader = Event Asst	200			Ū.					i i
	111	a Soa/ Pacent	charad	75	weater – Event Asst.	75				•				i i
		d Workrm	shared	/5		/3				•				i i
		a. Film Pm	shareu	0		0			0					i i
		c. Fillin Kill f. Circulation 8004		106		0			2					i i
	24	Cheerleading		100	406	99	260		d d					i i
	24 fb	a Head Caseb 1 @ 150	Daulshout	150	400	120	309		X					i i
	111	a. Head Coach-1 @ 150	Outen	100		120			Y					i i
		D. Asst Coaches-1 (2010)	owen	100		100			¥					i i
		c. WOIK KIII/Stol d. Soo/Pocont/workrm	shared	75		0 75								l
		a. Sec/Recept/working	shared	/3		73								l
	25	e. Circulation-80%		01	0	/4	0		2					i i
	25 fb	Dance Leam (snares w/ cheerleading)	Chin	0	0	0	0							l
	III fb	a. Head Coach-1 @ 150	Dadaffar	0		0								i i
	III	0. Asst Coaches-1 (2010)	Rodellel	0		0								i i
		c. work Km/Stor	snared	0		0								i i
		d. Sec/Recept/working (share w/ Cheerleading		0		0								i i
	26	e. Circulation-80%		0	160	0	160			1 abr				l
	20	Coaching Support Stan		1.00	100	1(0	100		11	11 abv				i i
	a.	a. Admin Asst-2 (a) 80		160		160								i i
	27	Carpenter, IBD			4 000		4 000			. 1 . 1				i i
	27	Entry Hall / Lobby			4,000		4,000		11	1 I abv				i i
Datriat Cantan	20	Recruining Lounge			2 702		2 702					2702		i i
Patriot Center	29	Team Mosting Deam			5,702 1,200 Subdividable		5,702			27 halar		5702		l
	30	120 positions 10			1,200 Subdividable		1,200		11	1 27 belov	N			l
	21	120 positions@ 10			1 800		1.250			057				i i
	31	Meeting Rooms		750	1,800 Cub dini dabla	750	1,350			95/				i i
		a. Large Dieakout		/30	Subdividable	730			LC .	mp trane	1			i i
		b. Meeting-2 (<i>a</i>) 300		600		300								l
		5 (<i>a</i>)		450		200								l
		c. Small Mtg 150		450		300								i i
				-	25 41())00		21.074							i i
		Sud Total Stall Offices			33,410NSF		31,974		DE	EIT	ARC	DC.	M	l I
D TEAM LOCKEDS D	FOURPER				41/01		22.052	21022	PE	FH 10647	AFC	PC	Misc.	1
B. TEAM LOCKERS R	EQUIRED	(include individual snower, dry, toilet facilities)			41621		32,952	21923	2059	1064/	4108	5109	0	i i
Patriot Center	1.	Men's Basketball Locker Room		510	2,488 NSF	510	2,488		390			5109		l I
		a. Locker Rm-1/(a) 30 st		510		510								l I
		b. Snower/Drying 12 @ 20		240		240								l I
		c. 1011et-3WC,2U, 4 Lav		240		240								i i

EwingCole retains the right of ownership of the design ideas, concepts, and other information expressed in this document. ©EwingCole 2004

George Mason University Project No. 23471

Page 6 of 24

2.1

d. Meeting Rm 300 300 300 300 e. Player Lounge 200 200 200 f. Bball Equip 250 250 250 g. Training Area 250 250 250 weight training 900 900 900 h. Circulation-80% 498 498 498 Patriot Center 2 Women's Basketball Locker Room 2,538 NSF 2,538 3400 in me a. Locker Rm-17@ 30 sf 510 510 510 400	
e. Player Lounge 200 200 f. Bball Equip 250 250 g. Training Area 250 250 weight training 900 900 h. Circulation-80% 498 498 Patriot Center 2 Women's Basketball Locker Room 2,538NSF 2,538 a. Locker Rm-17@ 30 sf 510 510 3400 b. Shower/Drying 12@ 20 240 240 c. Toilet-5WC, A Law 280 280 d. Meeting Rm 300 300 300	
Patriot Center 2 250 250 250 900 900 900 900 9. Training Area 250 250 weight training 900 900 h. Circulation-80% 498 498 9. Training Area 2,538NSF 2,538 9. Training Area 2,538 3405 9. Training Area 2,00 240 0. Shower/Drying 12 @ 20 240 240 0. Meeting Rm 300 300	
Patriot Center 2 Women's Basketball Locker Room 2,538NSF 2,538 a. Locker Rm-17@ 30 sf 510 b. Shower/Drying 12@ 20 c. Toilet-5WC, 4 Lav 280 d. Meeting Rm 300 d. Meeting Rm 300 d. Meeting Rm 300 250 250 250 900 900 900 900 900 900 900 9	
Patriot Center 2 Women's Basketball Locker Room 2,538 NSF 2,538 a. Locker Rm-17@ 30 sf 510 510 b. Shower/Drying 12@ 20 c. Toilet-5WC, 4 Lav 280 280 d. Meeting Rm 300	
Patriot Center 2 Women's Basketball Locker Room 2,538NSF 2,538 a. Locker Rm-17@ 30 sf 510 340 b. Shower/Drying 12@ 20 240 240 c. Toilet-5WC, 4 Lav 280 280 400	
Patriot Center2Women's Basketball Locker Room2,538 NSF2,538340in mea. Locker Rm-17@ 30 sf510	
a. Locker Rm-17@ 30 sf 510 510 b. Shower/Drying 12@ 20 240 240 c. Toilet-SWC, 4 Lav 280 280 d. Meeting Rm 300 300	Patriot Center
b. Shower/Drying 12 @ 20 240 240 c. Toilet-5WC, 4 Lav 280 280 400 400 400 400 400 400 400 400 400 4	
c. Toilet-5WC, 4 Lav 280 280 d. Meeting Rm 300 300	
d Meeting Rm 300 300	
e. Plaver Lounge 200 200	
f. Bball Equip 250 250	
g. Training Area 250 250	
weight training 0 shared w/ men 0	
h. Circulation-80% 508 508	
Patriot Center 3 Basketball Coaches Lockers 900NSF 900 900	Patriot Center
a. Men Coaches- 4@ 30 sf 120 120	
b. Men SDT-4 Units@ 30 120 120	
For Women's	
c. Women Coaches- 4@ 30 sf 120 Team Female 120	
For Women's	
d. Women/Men- 4@ 30 sf 120 Team Male 120	
Split Betw M,	
e. Women SDT-8 Units@ 30 240 F Coaches 240	
f. Circulation 180 180	
Patriot Center 4 Small Star Dressing-2@240 480NSF- Officials 480	Patriot Center
Dedicated to Patriot Center	
T T	

EwingCole retains the right of ownership of the design ideas, concepts, and other information expressed in this document. ©EwingCole 2004

Page 7 of 24
2.1

2

								Ω_
Patriot Center	5	Staff Locker Rooms - see E below		1,440Use for Coaches, Staff		1,440		
		Dedicated to Patriot Center						
		a. Men Staff-2 -30 @ 20	600		600			6
		b. Men SDT-2 @ 300	600		600			
		c. Women Staff-2-20@ 20	120		120			S
		d. Women SDT-2 @ 200	120		120			σ
	6	Baseball Lockers		1,125		563	4744	2
		a. Locker Rm-30@ 20 sf	600		450			
		b. Shower/Drying 8 @ 20	160		0			2
		c. Toilet-2WC, $I \cup Z Lav$	140		0			.0
	-	h. Circulation-80%	225	1 125	113	5(2)	in Calua	t.
	7	Men's Track & Cross Country Lockers	(00	1,125	450	565	in 6 abv	O
		a. Locker Rm-30@ 20 SI	600		450			μ
		b. Shower/Drying 8 (<i>a</i> /20)	160		0			Ū
		c. Tollet-2 wC, I U, 2 Lav	225		112			ŭ
	8	II. Circulation-8076 Women's Track & Cross Country Lockers	225	1 125	115	563	in 6 aby	Ñ
	0	a Locker Rm-30@ 20 sf	600	1,125	450	505	11 0 200	
		h Shower/Drving 8 @ 20	160					σ
		c Toilet-2WC 1 U 2 Lav	140		0			2
		h Circulation-80%	225		113			σ
	9	Men's Golf Lockers	223	575	115	225		(0
		a Locker Rm -12@ 20 sf	240		180			8
		b. Shower/Drving 4 @ 20	80		0			
		c. Toilet-2WC,1 U, 2 Lav	140		0			Ũ
		h. Circulation-80%	115		45			
	10	Women's Lacrosse Lockers		1,250		656	in 6 abv	t
		a. Locker Rm-35@ 20 sf	700		525			4
		b. Shower/Drying 8 @ 20	160		0			
		c. Toilet-2WC,1 U, 2 Lav	140		0			
		h. Circulation-80%	250		131			
				use FH visit team facil in				
Off Site	11	Women's Crew Lockers - At Occoquan		0 off season		0		
		a. Locker Rm-30@ 20 sf	0	football issue - expansion	0			
		b. Shower/Drying 8 @ 20	0		0			
		c. Toilet-2WC,1 U, 2 Lav	0		0			
		h. Circulation-80%	0		0			
	12	Softball Lockers		1,125		563	in 6 abv	
		a. Locker Rm-30@ 20 sf	600		450			
		b. Shower/Drying 8 @ 20	160		0			
		c. Toilet-2WC,1 U, 2 Lav	140		0			
		h. Circulation-80%	225	1.105	113	5.00		
	13	Men's Soccer Lockers	~~~	1,125	150	563	in 6 abv	
		a. Locker Rm- $30(a)$ 20 st	600		450			
		b. Shower/Drying 8 (a) 20	160		0			
		c. 1011et-2WC,1U, 2 Lav	140		0			
		h. Circulation-80%	225		113			

2.1

	14	Women's Seeeen Leekons		1 125		562	in 6 .	by I
	14	women's Soccer Lockers	600	1,125	450	505	111 0 3	100
		b. Shower/Drying $8 @ 20$	160		4.50			
		a. Toilet 2WC 1 U 2 Lay	140		0			
		h. Circulation 80%	140		112			
atia Cantar	15	II. Circulation-8076 Women's Swimming & Diving Leaker	223	2 172 NGE	115	2 022		4108
	15	Note: no provision for Water Pole Team		2,1/51\51		2,025		4100
		Note: no provision for water rolo ream	600		450			
		a. Locker Km -35(a) 20 SI	000		450			
		b. Shower/Drying 12 (2) 20	240		240			
		c. 1011et-5 WC, 4 Lav	280		280			
		d. Meeting Rm(Dry)- to be shared with Men's	600		600			
		e. Sauna/Steam	120		120			
		h. Circulation-80%	333	0 100 MGE	333	1.070		I
atic Center	16	Men's Swimming & Diving Locker		2,120 NSF		1,970		in wm abv
		Note: no provision for Water Polo Team	(00		450			
		a. Locker Rm- $35(a)$ 20 st	600		450			
		b. Shower/Drying 12 @ 20	240		240			
		c. Toilet-3WC,2 U, 4 Lav	240		240			
		d. Meeting Rm(Wet)- To be shared	600		600			
		e. Sauna/Steam	120		120			
		h. Circulation-80%	320		320			
	17	Men's Tennis Lockers		650		281		
				Used for visitors				
		a. Locker Rm15@ 20 sf	300	in existing	225			
		b. Shower/Drying 4 @ 20	120		0			
		c. Toilet-1WC,1 U, 2 Lav	100		0			
		h. Circulation-80%	130		56			
	18	Women's Tennis Lockers		650		281		
				Used for visitors				
		 Locker Rm15@ 20 sf 	300	in existing	225			
		b. Shower/Drying 4 @ 20	120		0			
		c. Toilet-1WC,1 U, 2 Lav	100		0			
		h. Circulation-80%	130		56			
	19	Men's Volleyball Lockers		675		281		
				Used for visitors				
		a. Locker Rm-15@ 20 sf	300	in existing	225			
		b. Shower/Drying 6 @ 20	120	e	0			
		c. Toilet-2WC, 2 Lav	120		0			
		h. Circulation-80%	135		56			
	20	Women's Volleyball Lockers		675		281	229	
				Used for visitors				
		a. Locker Rm-15@ 20 sf	300	in existing	225			
		b. Shower/Drving 6 @ 20	120		0			
		c. Toilet-2WC, 2 Lav	120		Õ			
		h Circulation-80%	135		56			
	21	Wrestling Locker Areas	155	1 845	20	883	in 6 :	aby
		a Home Locker-30@ 20	600	-,	450	005		
		h Shower/Drying 16 @ 20	320		0			
		c Toilet-3WC 3 U 6 lay	300		0			
		$d_{\text{Comp}} = 10000000000000000000000000000000000$	500	for use in all sports	160			
		(1 Nauma- 10 (n) 10)	1.611					
		a. Sauna- 16 (a) 10 a. Steam 8 (a) 12	100	for use in all sports	100			
		d. Satina - 16 (2) 10 e. Steam- 8 (2) 12 f. Weight Cutting	96	for use in all sports	96			

EwingCole retains the right of ownership of the design ideas, concepts, and other information expressed in this document. ©EwingCole 2004

2.1

											2
	h Equipment			0		0		1 1	Ĺ	1	σ
	j. Non Program-80%			369		177					
22	Main Training Room				4,350 Near Practice Fac		3,350	1100	3002		
	a. Taping Stations-12@40			480		480					
	b. Treatment-10@40			400		400					t
_	c. Reception Area			100		100					S
fh	d. Head Trainer Off	Pullen		120		120					
th	e. Assoc. Trainer Office	Yamrus		100		100					2
aic	e. Rehabilitation Specialist Office	IBD		200		100					-
	a Conf Pm/Library			150		150					
	b. Storage			250	Adi to ext Access	250					10
	i Rehab Equip-10 @ 40			400	Aug to ext Access	400					at
	k Doctor office			100		100					l ä
	l. Exam-1 @ 80			80		80					
	m. X-Ray			100	Radiation Prot	100					O O
	n. Hydrotherapy			800		0					O
	1. Therapy Pool 20X20		0								Ľ
	2. Jacuzzi-2@100		200		hot and cold tubs						7
	3. Whirlpool-3@ 80		240								i i i
	4. Ice(2)&Sink		100		.						а́
	5. Totlet/Changing		100		Unisex						
	i Non Program 80%		100	870		670					1 8
23	Athletic Services - Equipment Issue/Control			870	3 263	070	2 700		1934		
20	a. Issue Counter			100	5,205	100	2,700		1751		
	b. Workbench			100	Incl stor cabs	100					
	c. Issue Lockers-200@1			200	Open into corridor	200					t/
	d. Cubicle Storage-100 @ 1.5			0	Staging	0					A
fh	e. Manager- 1@ 120	Woodfork		200		200					
fh	f. Workspace-2@ 80	Brown, Dove		160		160					
	a			1200	Sto all equip, stack	1200					
	g. Storage Units 120 @10			1200	2 hi Oli Daar ta	1200					
	h Staging & Recyg			200	On Dool to Outside	200					
	i Laundry			450	Outside	200					
	1 Drvers-6@30		180	150		Ū					
	2. Washers46@ 30		120								
	3. Folding/Hanging		100								
	4. Soap/sink		50								
	j. Circulation			653		540					
24	Coaches/Admin Staff Locker Rooms				2,000		2,000 for varsity				
	a. Men Staff -35 @ 20			700		700					
	b. Men SDT-1 (a) 300			300		300					
	c. women Statt-35(a) 20			200		200					
	a. women SD1-1 @ 300			300		300		1	1		1

2.1

															ž
	25	Visitor/ Recreation Locker-used a. Men's Locker-50@5+50@10 b. SDT- 10@30+10@20 c. Women's Locker-50@5+50@10 d. SDT- 10@30+10@20 g. Officials Lockers (2@250) h. Visitor's Training- included as t	for all sports	used by visitor	750 500 750 500 500 s	2,500 NSF 3 teams 3 teams		750 500 750 500 500	2,500			967			Master Pla
At Fields	26	Field Tournament Locker Facilit a. Lockers - 4 rooms @ 250 each b. Visitors Shower/Toilet (2 @ 25	ies (Main Stadium)		1000 500	2,150 2 treatment, 2 tapir	ng, first	1000 500	2,150						ation
At Fields	27	 c. Training - d. Officials Lockers (2@200) Field Tournament Locker Facilit a. Lockers - 4 rooms @ 250 each b. Visitors Shower/Toilet (2 @ 25) 	ies (Softball Field Area)))	250 400 1000 500	aid, sink 2,150 2 treatment, 2 tapir	ng, first	250 400 1000 500	2,150						d Recre
		c. Training - d. Officials Lockers (2@200) Sub Total Team Lockers			250 400	aid, sink 41,621 NSF	-	250 400	32,952		PE	FH	AFC	РС	anc B
C. PRACTICE FACILI	TIES					186664				132443	16820	74249	23781	17593	
	Indoor F 1	acilities Strength & Conditioning (Athlet DOES NOT INCLUDE VARSIT a. 40 Equip Spaces@ 60- Free Wei b. Pin Select 20 @ 60 c. 20 Equip Spaces @ 60- Fitness	ics) Y FOOTBALL ghts		3000 1200 1200	9,256 Incl Plyo,Aerobics	Areas	3000 1200 1200	9,256			7128	1723		Athle
	fh fh	d. Stretching 40 @ 40 e. Office - Head Strength f. Asst Strength Trainer g. GAs-2@80	Handerah Green look to sh	an are	1600 150 120 160			1600 150 120 160							
	2	h. Toilets-2@ 200 i. Juice Bar j. Storage & Repair k. Circulation-90% Natatorium. Olympic Pool with 1	(lockers) see Food wo bulkheads	Serv.	400 0 500 926	Incl Sink	NSF	400 0 500 926	23 575				22058		
	-	a. Pool	FT WIDT 75 H FT	169	12,678NSI	23,373	1101	12,678NSF	23,373				22036		
		b. Pool Deck-sides, one end	WIDT 12H FT WIDT	433	5,197NSI	2		5,197NSF							
		c. Pool Deck- Diving d. Pool Control Room e. Coach Office (deck Level) f. Pool Storage g. Warming Tub (on deck)	20 H	95	1,900NSI 200NSI 150NSI 1,600NSI 200NSI			1,900NSF 200NSF 150NSF 1,600NSF 200NSF							

EwingCole retains the right of ownership of the design ideas, concepts, and other information expressed in this document. ©EwingCole 2004

George Mason University Project No. 23471 Page 11 of 24

		h. First Aid	FT WIDT	1501	ISF	150NSF							Б
		i. Pool Filtration Room	20 H	50 1,5001	ISF	1,500NSF							
	3	Basketball Practice Court			27,600 NSF 2400 retract		27,600					17593	ste Ste
		Size based on 70x120 Court	2 @ 8400	16,800	Bleachers exist In Patriot	16,800							Ja.
		Competition	cross courts	10,800	Center	10,800							2
2,500 seats retractable bleachers	4	Volleyball /Wrestling Competitio used for volleyball practice	n Court		17,125 NSF		17,125	1	6820				tior
	=	Patting Cages	4 ap 10x 75		In Field House		0						U C
	5	Datting Cages Wrestling Practice	4,ea 12x 75		6 508		6 508			2877			<u> </u>
	0	a. Practice Mats-2 @ 2704		5408	Mats 42 X42	5408	0,500			2011			<u> </u>
		b. Mat Storage-7 units 5x6		500	Stor near main flr adi to practice	500							0 C
		c. Access/Bleacher		600	mats	600							-
	7	Running Track			62,100 In Field House Based on 180x345		62,100			64244			
		and Included Court spaces			space								q
	8	Indoor Practice Fields			40,500 In Field House		40,500						e c
		Sub Total Practice Facilties			186,664 NSF		186,664				1.50	D.C.	Hi
		TOTAL ATHLETIC FACILITI	ES		263,701 NSF		251,590	178708 1	'Е 9615	FН 98040	AFC 28690	PC 32363	M
		=	= = =	= =		12111 = =							+

								TOTAL					
RECREATION								EXIST	EXIST	EXIST	EXIST	EXIST	EXIST
									PE	FH	AFC	PC	Misc.
A. STAFF OFFICES						9,160		3594	372	0	3222	0	0
	1.	Rec. Administration PE BUILDING (McDad	e)		1,863		1,7	33					
		 Recept (is front desk of building) 	TBD	0			0						
	pe	b. Asst AD-IM/Club/Rec	Murphy	150			150						
	pe	c. Mgr - Indoor Rec	Banks	120			120						
	pe	d. Coord - Fit/IM/Rec	Huffman	120			120						
	pe	e. Supervisor - IM/Cl/Rec	Bazzano	120			120						
	pe	f. Coord - Rec programmer	TBD	120			120						
	pe	g. Marketing/Sales	TBD	120			120						
	pe	h. Coord - Club Sports	Spousta (crew)	120			120						
		i. Files/ Archives		200			150						
		j. Conf Rm 1 @ 150		150			150						
		k. Work Rm		150			120						
		l. Breakroom		150			120						
		m. Circulation-80%		343			323						
	2.	Rec. Admin. AQUATIC CENTER (McDade)			2,163		1,9	38			3222		
		a. Recept (is front desk of building)		0			0						
	afc	b. Gen Mngr	TBD	150			120						
	afc	c. Front Desk Coord.	Brown	120			120						
	afc	d. Op's Mgr	Eckert	150			120						

2.1

											2
afc	e Coord - Aquatics	Wagner	120		120		1	1	i i		σ
uit	f. Aquatics Programmer	TBD	120		120						$\overline{0}$
afc	g. Admin Asst2 @ 80	Wyman	160		160						
afc	h. Bldg Engr.	Alderman	120		100						
afc	i. Gen Maint.	Bratichko	120		100						t
	j. Files/ Archives		200		150						S
	k. Conf Rm 1 @ 200		200		200						G
	l. Work Rm		150		120						N
	m. Breakroom		150		150						
	n. Circulation-80%		403		358						2
3.	Rec. Admin. NE SECTOR (McDade)			1,338		1,138					O
	 Recept (is front desk of building) 		0		0						ti
ne	b. Gen Mngr	TBD	150		120						a
ne	c. Front Desk Coord.	TBD	120		120						Q
ne	d. Op's Mgr	TBD	150		120						5
ne	g. Admin Asst1 @ 80	TBD	80		80						2 2
ne	h. Engr./Maint.	TBD	120		100						Se la
	j. Files/ Archives		100		100						LL L
	k. Conf Rm 1 @ 150		200		150						7
	I. Work Rm		150		120						3
	n. Circulation-80%		268		228						ä
4.	Club Football Coaches (M)			1,938	4.50	1,938	372				
pe	a. Head Coach	Pascale	150		150						S
	b. HC Sec/Rec		0		0						<u>.0</u>
	c. Sec/Reception-1 @ 150		150		150						it.
	d. Coordinators-2 @ 100		200		200						<u>e</u>
	e. Asst Coaches-4 @ 100		400		400						4
	I. Staff Masting 1 @ 200		200		200						11
	g. Start Meeting-1 @ 200		200		200						Y
	h. Graduate Assis-2@60		120		120						
	i. Recruiting Coordinator		0		0						
	J. Recluting Admin k. Facilities Operations		0		0						
	L Video Editing		150		150						
	m Video Office		100		100						
	n Video Stor		80		80						
	a Work Boom		0		0						
	n Recruiting Lounge		0		0						
	Team meeting Room		0	share PE classrooms	Ő						
	g Catering Kitchen		Õ		Õ						
	r. Toilets-1 @ 150		Õ		Õ						
	h. Circulation-80%		388		388						
5	Additional Coaching Offices (Club)			1,860		1,860					
pe	a. Head Coach-10 @ 100		1000	,	1000						
pe	b. Asst Coaches-5 @80		400		400						
	g. Meeting Rooms -2 @ 150		300		300						
	a. GA-2 @ 80		160		160						
		-		0 1 CONSE		0.00					
	Sub Total Stall Offices			7,100 NOF		8,005	PE	FH	AFC	PC	Misc.
B. CLUB TEAM LOCKERS				2780			0 () (0	0	
1	Football Locker			1780		1780					
	a. Locker Room-60 @ 20		1200		1200						
	b. Shower/Drying-15 @ 20		300		300		I		I		

EwingCole retains the right of ownership of the design ideas, concepts, and other information expressed in this document. ©EwingCole 2004

												2
		c Toilet-6 WC 6 II 10 Lav	280		280		i	I	1	I		
		d Player Lounge-30 @ 20	200		200							6
		e Steam-6 @12	ő		Ő							4
		f Sauna-10 @10	ő		0							
		g Electrolyte Area	Ő		Ő							O
		g. Electrolyte Alea	0	in dedicated rec	Ū							2
	2	Coach Lacker Football		0 staff lockers		0						ň
	2	a Locker-10 @ 20	0	0 starr lockers	0	0						÷
		h Shower/Drying-4 @ 20	0		Ő							~
		c. Toilet-2WC 1 U 3 Lav	0		0							~
		e. 10het-2 we,1 0,5 Eav	0	in C rec and staff lockers	Ū							
	3	Women's Club Sport Lockers		0 below		0						i N
	5	women's club Sport Elockers		in C - rec and staff lockers		0						31
	4	Men's Club Sport Lockers		0 below		0						
	5	Woman's Field Hockay Lockers		0		0						2
	5	a Locker Bm 30@ 10 sf	0	0	0	Ŭ						U U
		b. Shower/Drying $6 @ 20$	0		0							Ū
		a. Tailat 2WC 1 II 2 Law	0		0							Ñ
		h. Circulation 80%	0		0							
	6	Mon's Learnesse Leakers	0	0	0	0						O
	0	A Locker Bm 30@ 20 sf	0	0	0	0						2
		a. Electri Rin-50 $@$ 20 Si b. Shower/Druing 8 @ 20	0		0							a
		c. Toilet 2WC 1 II 2 Law	0		0							(0
		h. Circulation 2004	0		0							N N
	7	Man's Craw Lockers at Occoguan	0	0	0	0						ž
	/	a Locker Bm 30@ 20 sf	0	0	0	0						5t
		a. Electri Rin-50 $@$ 20 Si b. Shower/Druing 8 @ 20	0		0							le
		c. Toilet 2WC 1 II 2 Law	0		0							4
		h. Circulation 2004	0		0							11
	0	Bughy Leakars	0	0	0	0						X
	0	Looker Bm 20@ 20 of	0	0	0	0						
		b. Shower/Drying $8 @ 20$	0		0							
		c. Toilet 2WC 1 II 2 Law	0		0							
		h. Circulation 80%	0		0							
	0	Tran and Skeet Lockers	0	0	0	0						
	,	a Locker Bm 12@ 20 sf	0	0	0	0						
		h Shower/Drying 8 @ 20	0		Ő							
		c. Toilet 2WC 1 II 2 Law	0		0							
		h Circulation-80%	0		Ő							
	10	Training Room	0	1.000	Ū	1.000						
	10		_	1,000		1,000						
		Sub Total Club Team Lockers	-	2 780 NSF		2 780						
		Sub Total Club Team Lockers		2,7001051		2,700		PF	FH	AFC	PC	Mise
C RECREATION AND	STAFF L	OCKERS		12400			11674	4422	2509	4743	0	WHSC.
	1	Recreation/Visit Club Team Locker- for all sports		12 400 NSF		12,400		4422	2509	4743	U	
	•	a Men's Locker-500@5+200@10	4500	12,1001101	4500	12,100			2007	.,		
		h SDT- 30@30+40@20	1700		1700							
		c. Women's Locker-500@5+200@10	4500		4500							
		d SDT- 30@30+40@20	1700		1700							
		g Officials Lockers (2@250)	500		500							
		h Visitor's Training- included as training room component: used by visitors	2.50		200							
	2	Dedicated Staff Locker Rooms		0		0						
	-	a Men Staff-2 -30 @ 20				0						
		b. Men SDT-2 @ 300										

2.1

	c. Women Staff-2-30@ 20 d. Women SDT-2 @ 200 - Sub Total Rec/Visiting Team Fa	acilities		12,400 NSF			12,400						er Plan
							l.	I	DE	FII	AEC	PC.	
D PRACTICE FACILITIES					129220			47989	14800	5294	27895	rt (IVINSC.
D. TRACTICE PACIENTIES INDOO	R				12/220			17707	11000	5271	21075	U.	~
1	Recreation Fitness Areas SEE 2 - DANCE AEROBICS a. Pin Select 80 @ 60 b. Cardio 175 @ 60 c. Free Weight 80 @ 60 d. Spinning 40 @ 40 e. Stretching 40 @ 40 f. Control Desk g. Offices h. Storage/Repair i. Circulation 90%	BELOW FOR ADD. REQ 8AT	2'D 4800 10500 4800 1600 1600 400 150 1200 2000 2000	29,889		4800 10500 4800 1600 1600 400 600 2000 2922	29,222				7247		Recreation N
2	Dance/Aerobics area / Multi-r	ournose Rm	2989	12 300 NSF		2922	12 300				6324		d
3	Classroom	Jul pose Rin		5.000 NSF			5.000				4280		2
4	Racketball Courts	12@	800	9,600 NSF		800	9,600			5294	1773		σ
5	Squash Courts	2@	720	1,440 NSF		720	1,440						S
6	Climbing Wall	1 @	720	720 NSF in clg ov	ver hoops	720	720						tic
7	Indoor Rope Course			area?									O
8	Rec Pool	1@	8271	8,271 NSF		8271	8,271				8271		4
9	Rec Hoop Courts	8@ includes mult. use (vol etc.)	6500 lleyball, badminton,	52,000 NSF		6500	39,000		14800				Ati
10	Jogging track -	1@	10000	10,000 NSF		10000	10,000						
	Sub Total Practice Facilities			129,220 NSF			115,553						
									PE	FH	AFC	РС	Misc.

George Mason University Project No. 23471 Page 15 of 24

1110

-

2.1

													an
E RHT - CEHD Den't o	f Recreati	on Health and Tourism			4 288			63257	19594	7803	35860	0	0
PE Building	1.	Administration		3 325	1,200		3 325	00207	1707.	1000	22000	Ŭ	
Field House	2	a. Recept b. Offices - 3 @120 i. Files/ Archives j. Conf Rm 1 @ 150 k. Work Rm/Lab k. Classroom - 2@1000 m. Circulation-80% Training Room Requirements a. Offices - 2@120 g. Library g. Workroom h. Storage I. Exam-1 @ 80 i. Non Beogene 800/	1: 36 1: 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50	ictice Fac	150 360 150 200 2000 265 240 150 150 150 150 80	963						ecreation Master
		Sub Total RHT Facilities		- 4,288 NSF			4,288						nd R
F. Outdoor Recreation Program					1000								~
NE Sector	1 2 3	Life Time Sports (see Outdoor Facilities below) Rope Course (see Outdoor Facilities below) Rental Storage TOTAL RECREATION FACILITIES = = = =	= =	00 = 158,847 NSF = ===	15222	1000 2= =	143,626	63257	19594	7803	35860	0	Athletic
III. ACADEMIC (ATH	LETICS)							TOTAL EXIST	EXIST H	EXIST H	EXIST EX	<u>(IST F</u>	EXIST
sports psyc	ch 1 fh fh	Academic Center a. Reception b. Assoc AD c. Asst Dir-3@ 100 Bruno (coord res.), White, Reese d. GAs-4@ 60 e. Work Rm f. Tutorial Rms-10,8x8 g. Tutorial Rms-5,8x10 h. Tutorial Rms-1,10x20 i. Quiet Study-30 carrels@20 j. Computer-30 stations@ 25 k. Classrooms-1@ 2000 + 1@500 l. Library m. Lounge/Lobby n. Academic Hall of Fame o. Tutorial Meeting Area	1: Wilson 1: 30 24 1: 44 20 77 8: 250 2: 100 in lounge 1:	50 50 00 40 50 00 00 00 00 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50	8800 NSF ion printers	150 150 300 240 150 0 400 200 700 850 500 0 850 500 0 800 in lounge 0			7382	0		0	Misc.

1760

-

-

EwingCole retains the right of ownership of the design ideas, concepts, and other information expressed in this document. ©EwingCole 2004

- - -

e. Circulation-80%

-

2.1

	Subtotal Academic Support TOTAL ACADEMIC FACILITIES = = =		8,800 NS 8,800 NS =	F = 3250	5,550)= =	PE 7382	FH A	FC PC 0	ster ^w Plan
IV. SPECTATOR FACILITIES			RECOMMEN	NDED	RECOMMENDED	TOTAL EXIST EXIST	EXIST EX	IST EXIST	EXIST
			TOTALS		TOTALS	PE	FH A	FC PC	Misc.
			TOTILD		TOTALS	retractabl	retractabl		inise.
A. SEATING	10500 SEATS	10,000 PC, 500 Aquatic Cente	r	97,300 NSF	97,300	63232e	e	2994 6023	8
SF allocation allows chair seats, aisles, vomitories where required as follows: Aquatic Center Pool Seating Patriot Center Public Seating Suite Seats Handicapped Seats w/ Companion Main Stadium Structured Bleacher Baseball Structured Bleacher Softball Structured Bleacher Robinson Field Structured Bleacher	500SEATS 9800SEATS 0SEATS 200SEATS 3000 1500 1000 500 0 500 0 1500	PE seating retractable FF&E o /practice area AT 5S AT 6S AT 7S AT 20S	ver performance F 2,500 F 58,800 F 4,000 F 4,000 5,000 7,000 2,500 0 2,000 0 5,500		2500 58800 0 4000 10000 5000 7000 2500 0 2000 0 5500	5200	3069		Athletics and Recreati
						retractabl	retractablin		
B. CONCOURSE Circulation to Seats Circulation space to access seating, concessions, toilets, entries ,HC seating NOTE: Some of this area may	10000 SEATS 10000 SEATS 10000 SEATS be used for Lobby	AT 3S AT 2S AT IS	F 20,000 F 10,000	30,000 NSF	SF 0 SF 0 SF 0	35187e	e seat	ing 3518	7

Page 17 of 24

2.1

																an
C. EXECUTIVE CONCOURSE		500	AT		0 SF			0 NSF	SF		0	C) (0 0	0	0
D. PRIVATE SUITES	0							0NSF			0	C) (0	in Athl abv	0
Note: In Athletics above - Patriot Living Area Total Area(Liv+toi) Suite Circulation	Club Meeting Room 14 FT WIDTH 0 Suites at 0 Suites	AT	14 by 14 by	15FT	23 6	210NSF 322 84	0 NSF 0 NSF		())NSF) 0) 0						Maste
E. PRIV. SUITE BALCONY(S)		0	AT		0 SF			0NSF	SF		0	C) (0 0	0	0
F. CONCESSIONS								8,650NSF		8650	7712	C) (157	7555	0
Based on the referenced number of s of counter with the specified capacity based on 8 feet for public sales area and 12 to the concourse Main Stadium Concession Baseball Concession Scoreboard Cafe Softball Concession Robinson Field Concession Volleyball/Wrestling Concession	1 POS/250 Persons spectators per linear foot y. Stand depth of 20 fee 2 feet for support space, o	10000SEATS 200LIN FT 5 LIN FT /POS t ppen	AT AT		50PER 20FT	LF DEPTH 8 1,0 5 7 8	300 300 300 300 350	4,000	4000 800 1000 500 750 800))))				vending		Athletics and Recre
G. FOOD COURT STANDS 1 LIN FT	ΓPER	100	000 A T		62 POS		0	0NSF	POS	#DIV/0!	0	0		0	0	0
PNTS. OF SALE TOTAL STANDS Can be Included in Concession	0 0 s above if desired	5 LNF/POS 600 SF EACH	W/	EQUALS	3 POS/STN	ND			POS/ST ND							
H. FOOD COURT		1 PATRON	S AT		10000=	10.0	00	ONSE	_	#DIV/01	0	C		0	0	0
# OF SEATS REQ'D 100	00	0 SF/PERSC	DN	EQUALS	10000	10,0		01101	CT.	<i>"DIV/0</i> :	U				U	U
QUEING AREA REQ'D Can be Included in Concessions	0 TOTAL STANDS s above if desired	AT (20x1	0)		200 SF EQUA	ALS			SF EQUAL S							

2.1

													an
I TOILETS					21 255NSF			99	in 19support	in support	in support	9919	
Patriot Ctr	10000 SEATS	АТ	0 PER	FIXTURE	21,2001101	PER	FIXTURE		Joupport	Support	Support		2
Men's fixtures	5000 PATRONS	AT	100 =			=							<u>v</u>
Men's fixture alloc.	50 FIX.	AT	50 SF	2,500		SF		0					S
Women's fixtures	5000 PATRONS	AT	60=	,		=							á
Women's fixture alloc.	83 FIX.	AT	50 SF	4.167		SF		0					1
Based on 50/50 male/female split and				,									<
the													2
referenced number of spectators per													ō
toilet													÷.
fixture. Space allocation per fixture													ā
includes WC or urinal, lavatory portion,													Ű
chases, circulation and sight baffles.													5
PE Building - 2400													O
Men's fixtures	1200 PATRONS	AT	100=			=							O
Men's fixture alloc.	12 FIX.	AT	50 SF	600		SF		0					Ľ
Women's fixtures	1200 PATRONS	AT	60=			=							-
Women's fixture alloc.	20 FIX.	AT	50 SF	1,000		SF		0					2
Field House - 500													S
Men's fixtures	250PATRONS	AT	100=			=							W
Men's fixture alloc.	3 FIX.	AT	50 SF	125		SF		0					S
Women's fixtures	250PATRONS	AT	60=			=							Ú.
Women's fixture alloc.	4 FIX.	AT	50 SF	208		SF		0					ti.
Aquatic Center - 500													U_
Men's fixtures	250PATRONS	AT	100=			=							2
Men's fixture alloc.	3 FIX.	AT	50 SF	125		SF		0					t -
Women's fixtures	250PATRONS	AT	60=			=							マ
Women's fixture alloc.	4 FIX.	AT	50 SF	208		SF		0					
Field Facilities - 10000 total - (4500 Statdium, 1500 Ro	binson, 1000 Baseba	ll, 500 Softball, 1000 Fi	eld 3)										
Men's fixtures	5000PATRONS	AT	70=			=							
Men's fixture alloc.	71 FIX.	AT	50 SF	3,571		SF		0					
Women's fixtures	5000PATRONS	AT	40=			=							
Women's fixture alloc.	125 FIX.	AT	50 SF	6,250		SF		0					
Northeast Sector				400			4	00					
Volleyball / Wrestling													
Building				1,500			1,5	00					
Indoor Practice Facility - Field													
House				600			6	00					
L NOVEL TV STANDS	10000 SE & TS	ΔT	250 PER	I IN FT	640NSE	PER	I IN FT		0	0	0	0	(
S. NOVEETT STANDS	40LIN FT	AT	16FT	DEPTH	0401001	FT	DEPTH		0	, 0	U	U	,
Based on the referenced number of													
spec-													
tators per linear foot of novelty sales													
counter.													
Stand depth similar to concessions, but													
with													
support space 8 feet deep													
for total													
stand depth of 16 feet													
K. TEAM STORE	2 STORE	AT	20 X	50	1000 NSF	Х		50	0 (0 0	0	0	(



EwingCole

EwingCole retains the right of ownership of the design ideas, concepts, and other information expressed in this document. ©EwingCole 2004

2.1

	6. 7. 8.	 d. Computer Op e. Computer Equip f. Vault/Safe g. Files h. Telemkt/Workrm i. Box Office-4 @75 j. Lobby/Ticketing k. Ticket Windows l. Non-Program Area @80% Management Office Center Management Offices-see athletics and rec offices for personnel Toilets (2 @ 200) Sub Total Operations 	0 100 100 100 0 300 1600 700 550	1,500 NSF 0 NSF 400 8,900 NSF		0 100 100 0 300 1600 700 550	1,500 0 400 8,900				645		creation Master Plan
D SEDVICE					45 210 NEE			10221	PE 2770	FH 1720	AFC 4722	PC	Misc.
B. SERVICE	Patriot Ce 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. Field Hou 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. Field Hou 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 12. 13. 14. 12. 13. 14. 13. 13. 14. 13. 14. 13. 14. 13. 14. 13. 13. 13. 13. 13. 13. 13. 13	nter Dock Security Bus / Truck Parking Storage / Staging Operations Office (4 @ 150) Janitor(throughout) Maintenance/Storage Trash(Trans outdoors to dumpster) First Aid- one per concourse Customer Service Shop Stock Maintenance Office (2 @ 150) Security Functions Toilets se Dock Security Bus / Truck Parking Storage / Staging Operations Office (4 @ 150) - see athletics above Janitor(throughout) Maintenance/Storage Trash(Trans outdoors to dumpster) First Aid- one per concourse Customer Service Shop Stock Maintenance Office (3 @ 120) Security Functions	150 NSF 5,000 NSF 5,000 NSF 600 NSF 2,500 NSF 2,500 NSF 2,500 NSF 200 NSF 200 NSF 200 NSF 200 NSF 2,000 NSF 2,000 NSF 2,000 NSF 3,000 NSF 0 NSF 0 NSF 0 NSF 0 NSF 200 NSF 0 NSF 0 NSF 0 NSF 0 NSF 200 NSF 0 NSF 0 NSF 0 NSF 0 NSF 200 NSF 0 NSF 0 NSF 200 NSF 0 NSF 200 NSF 0 NSF 200 NSF 0 NSF 200 NSF 0 NSF 200 NSF 0 NSF 200 NSF 20	16,600NSF 7,460NSF	43,310NSF Concour se Concour se	150 NSF 5,000 NSF 600 NSF 600 NSF 2,500 NSF 2,500 NSF 450 NSF 150 NSF 200 NSF 200 NSF 200 NSF 200 NSF 200 NSF 0 NSF 400 NSF 3,000 NSF 0 NSF	7,460	10231	3110	1729	4/32	in A aby	Athletics and F
	Aquatic C 1. 2. 3.	Solution Senter Dock Security Bus / Truck Parking Storage / Staging	0 NSF 500 NSF 500 NSF 1,000 NSF	4,750 NSF		0NSF 500NSF 1,000NSF	4,750				4732		

									5	
4.	Operations Office (4 @ 150) - see athletics above	0 NSF	0NSF		1 1				$\overline{\mathbf{u}}$	1
5.	Janitor(throughout)	200 NSF	200NSF						O	1
6.	Maintenance/Storage	2,000 NSF	2,000NSF							
7.	Trash(Trans outdoors to dumpster)	200 NSF	200NSF							1
8.	First Aid- one per concourse	150 NSF	150NSF						ţe	
9.	Customer Service	0 NSF	0NSF						S	
10.	Shop	200 NSF	200NSF						a	
11.	Stock	200 NSF	200NSF							
12.	Maintenance Office (2 @ 150)	150 NSF	150NSF							
13.	Security Functions	0 NSF	ONSF						2	1
14	Toilets	150 NSF	150NSF						Õ	
PE Build	ing	5,500 NS	F	5,500					ti	
1.	Dock Security	0 NSF	ONSF						a	1
2.	Bus / Truck Parking	1,000 NSF	1,000NSF						Ŭ	
3.	Storage / Staging	1,000 NSF	1,000NSF		2352				5	
	dedicated Trap & Skeet	100 NSF	100NSF						^o	
4.	Operations Office (4 @ 150) - see athletics above	0 NSF	0NSF						e e	1
5.	Janitor(throughout)	400 NSF	400 NSF						Ľ	1
6.	Maintenance/Storage	2,000 NSF	2,000NSF						~	
7.	Trash(Trans outdoors to dumpster)	200 NSF	200NSF						20	
8.	First Aid- one per concourse	150 NSF	150NSF						3	
9.	Customer Service	0 NSF	0NSF						U	
10.	Shop	200 NSF	200NSF						S	
11.	Stock	0 NSF	0NSF						O	
12.	Maintenance Office (1 @ 150)	150 NSF	150NSF						ti	1
13.	Security Functions	150 NSF	150NSF						U	
14	Toilets	150 NSF	150NSF		1418					1
Fields		11,000 NS	F	11,000					t/	
NE Secto	n	2,000 NS	F	2,000					A	1
										1
	Sub Total Service	45,310NS	F	45,310						1
					PE	FH	AFC	PC	Misc.	i i

EwingCole retains the right of ownership of the design ideas, concepts, and other information expressed in this document. ©EwingCole 2004

EDIA/TEAMS (On Side	ines)			4195 NSF			0	0	0 0	in A abv	
Patri	ot Center		1,895			1,895					
1.	TV Film Platform	200 NSF	,	on conc	200 NSF	,					
2	Radio Booths(on Floor)	120 NSF			120NSF						
	Scoreboard Control Room	250 NSF			250NSF						
5.	Storesound Control Room	2001101		in	2001101						
4	PA Room(incl Sound Equin-in Seats)	200 NSF		scorebd	200NSF						
••	171 Room(mer bound Equip in beuts)	2001001		on	2001001						<
5	Work Press on Floor 50x12.5	625 NSF		sideline	625 NSE						
5.	WORK 11055 011 1001-50X12.5	0251151		Sidefilie	0251451						
C.	Teems Secrets on Floor 50x10	500 NEE		oli aidalina	SOONEE						
0	Teams, Scorers on Floor-Sox10	500 INSF	ONCE	sidenne	300INSF	0					
Field	House		UNSF			0					
Phys	Ed Building		UNSF			0					
Aqua	tic Center		500 NSF			500					
Field	s (Press Box)		1,800NSF			1,800					
	Stadium	600 NSF			600NSF						1
	Baseball	400 NSF			400 NSF						
	Softball	400 NSF			400 NSF						
	Synthetic	400 NSF			400 NSF						
	-										
	Sub Total Media (Sidelines)		4.195NSF			4.195					
			.,			.,	PE	FH	AFC	PC	N
EDIA (Back of House)				3620 NSF			0	0	0 0	in A aby	
Patri	ot Center		2,420NSF			2,420					
1.	Media Workroom.	500 NSF			500 NSF						
2	Media Lounge	500 NSF			500 NSF						-
	Video Monitor	250 NSF			250NSF						
4	Darkrooms	200 NSF			200NSF						
+. 5	TV Distribution	160 NSF			160NSE						
5.	Distribution	ICONSE			100INSF						
0. 7	Broadcast Cable Room	100 NSF			TOUNSF						
1.	Audio Equipment	200 INSF			200INSF						
8.	Microwave	150 NSF			150NSF						
9.	AV Riser(distributed throughout)	100 NSF			100NSF						
	Toilets	200 NSF			200 NSF						
Field	House - media workroom		200 NSF			200					1
Phys	Ed Building		200 NSF			200					1
Aqua	tic Center		200 NSF			200					1
Field	S		600 NSF			600					
- 1010	Stadium	200 NSF			200 NSF						1
	Baseball	200 NSF			200NSF						
	Softhall	2001031			2001(SF						1
	Soliban	2001856			2001NBF						1
		-hd (shared						
		snared w/			W/						1
	Synthetic	softball NSF			softball NSF				1		
									1		
											1
	Sub Total Media (BOH)		3,620NSF			3,620					

2.1

														an
E. FOOD SERVICE						8250NSF				0	0	0	in A abv	
E. FOOD SERVICE	Patriot Ce 1 2 3 4 Aquatics 5 Field Hou 6 7 PE Building 8 NE Sector	nter Box Level Catering Private Club a. Meeting Area 500@12 b. Catering Kitchen c. Coats d. Storage Commissary/Kitchen Food Service Cash Hndlg Juice Bar - Aquatics (recreation area) Lounge Seating @ Juice Bar-25 @ 12 se Cafe - Academic Lounge (athletics/Hall of Fan Lounge Seating @ Juice Bar-25 @ 12 Fieldhouse Deli (indoor) Juice Bar - PE Building(recreation area) Lounge Seating @ Juice Bar-25 @ 12	0 0 0 0	0 NSF 0 NSF 2,000 NSF 250 NSF 500 NSF 500 NSF 500 NSF 500 NSF 500 NSF	2,250 NSF 1,000 NSF 1,500 NSF 1,000 NSF	8250 NSF	0NSF 0NSF 2,000NSF 250NSF 500NSF 500NSF 500NSF 500NSF 500NSF	2,250 1,000 1,500 1,000		0	0	0	in A abv	s and Recreation Master
	9 Fields 10 11 12	Juice Bar - PE Building(recreation area) Lounge Seating @ Juice Bar-25 @ 12 Soccer/Lacrosse Field Concession Baseball Concession Softballball Concession (SHARED W/ Field 3) Sub Total Concessions)	500 NSF 500 NSF 500 NSF 500 NSF 500 NSF	1,500 NSF 8,250 NSF		500 NSF 500 NSF 500 NSF 500 NSF 500 NSF	1,500		DE	EU		PC.	Athletics
F. SYSTEM FACILITI	ES					0NSF				2093	3096	6455	in A aby	wiise.
	А. В. С.	Engineering Catwalks Follow Spot Platforms Sub Total System Facilties TOTAL SUPPORT FACILITIES = = = = =	in Circ below exist. to rem. In PC exist. to rem. In PC		0NSF 0NSF 0NSF 0NSF 70,275NSF			0 0 0 70,275	69764	2093 PE 5863	3096 FH 6369	6455 AFC 11832	PC 45700	Misc.
SUBTOTAL-NET PRO	OGRAM AR	EA = = =		=	-	666,418 NSF 435,678 230,740	-	635,835 435,678 200,157	435678 = TOTAL	52454 =	112212 =	79533 =	191479 =	=
VI. CIRCULATION									EXIST	EXIST	EXIST	EXIST	EXIST	EXIST
NON PROGRAM AREA	4-85% EFFIG	CIENCY				117,603 SF		112,206	41940	РЕ 8,149	гн 9,880	AFC 12285	РС 11626	Misc.



Non program area includes wall th chases, mechanical, electrical, tele overhangs, and circulation between TOTAL GROSS BUILDING AF These area totals represent th discussed (column 5); the app discussed (column 1); the app it now exists (column 2) and t now exists (column 3) Aquation	icknesses, phone spaces a spaces. REA e recommended space allocations for th roximate gross area of the minimum pr roximate gross area of the PE Building he approximate gross area of the Field I se, (column 4) Patriot Center	e programs ogram as as House as it				41,940 75,663 784,021 GSF 477618 306,403	41,940 70,266 748,041 477618 270,423	477618	60,603	122,092	91818	203105	tion Master Plan
OUTDOOR FACILITIES - FIEL	LS Colf Hitting/Drastics				ONSE								
1	Performance Fields				284 560 NSF								
2	a Main Stadium - Soccer Lax			72960	204,5001051								22960
	b. Baseball		121247	140000									140000
	c. Robinson - Football, Lax, Soccer			71600									4 71600
3	Practice Fields		recommended	exist	545,000NSF								7
		@'											ĕ
	a. Soccer	270200	140400	160000									60000
	b. Field I - Lax		70200	85000									85000
lights	 c. Field 2 - Sofibali d. Field 2 - Symthetic 		46961	45000									45000
lights	a. Field 4 Bugby		70200	85000									25000
lights	f Field 5 Lax		70200	85000									1 0000
	g Storage and Support - see support :	above	70200	85000	ONSE								
4	400M Outdoor Running Track	100.00			107 040NSF								107040
5	Baseball Practice				18.000NSF								
6	Softball Practice				0NSF								4,000
7	Tennis Courts (6V)	12@		5000	60,000NSF								39000
8	IM/Rec Fields	3@		70200	210,600NSF - a	dd 1 @ PE Building							
9	Rec Basketball	4 @		6500	26,000 NSF								13000
10	Rec Volleyball	2@		4000	8,000NSF								
11	Rec Dasher	1@		17000	0NSF								0
12	Skate Park	1@		10000	0 NSF								0
13	Life Skills-Challenge/Rope Course				30,000NSF								
14	Rec Pool	1@		5000	5,000NSF								
	Sub Total Outdoor Practice Facilities				1294200 NSF								1006600

George Mason University Project No. 23471

EwingCole retains the right of ownership of the design ideas, concepts, and other information expressed in this document. ©EwingCole 2004

EwingCole's engineers met with key members of the physical plant to gain a basic understanding of the campus infrastructure, current capacities and future plant development projects. The meeting was followed by walk-throughs of the following buildings:

- PE Building
- Field House
- Patriot Center

The aquatic center was not toured because the development potential has been maximized based upon site location and current and future projects. A future outdoor pool will be provided for recreation after the current expansion is complete. EwingCole understands that the current expansion will contain the future infrastructure required to support the future outdoor pool project.

EC's engineers evaluated current conditions and compared their findings to information and plans available. These findings have been summarized into the following narrative reports for each engineering discipline.

2.2.1.1 Existing Fieldhouse

Foundations/Ground Floor Level

The foundations for the existing Fieldhouse consist of reinforced concrete spread footings at column locations and continuous reinforced concrete wall footings at CMU bearing walls and exterior CMU walls. The allowable soil bearing capacity, as noted on the existing drawings, is 3000 pounds per square foot. Foundation walls are constructed of reinforced concrete masonry units. Perimeter foundations appear to have been placed at a bearing elevation of at least 2'-6" below grade for frost protection. The ground floor construction is a 4-inch thick slab on grade with a concrete strength of 3000 pounds per square inch.

Superstructure

The mezzanine level is framed with structural steel beams and steel joists supported by structural steel columns and CMU bearing walls. The floor construction of the mezzanine level is a concrete slab of varying thickness, on metal deck. The top of the mezzanine slab is 9 to 11 feet above the top of the ground level slab.

The main portion of the structure consists of a pre-engineered structural steel rigid frame, which clear spans to tapered columns. Steel rafter beams then frame to these rigid gable frames. The lower roofs at the perimeter portions of the building are framed with steel columns, CMU bearing walls, steel roof beams, and joists.

2.2.1.2 Existing PE Building

EwingCole

Foundations/Ground Floor Level

The foundations for the existing PE Building consist of reinforced concrete spread footings at column locations. Exterior foundation elements include continuous reinforced concrete wall footings that support cast in place concrete foundation, basement, and retaining walls and concrete grade beams that span between column footings. The allowable soil bearing capacity, as noted on the existing drawings, is 3000 pounds per square foot. Perimeter foundations appear to have been placed at a bearing elevation of at least 2'-6" below grade for frost protection. The ground floor construction is slab on grade of varying thickness, with a concrete strength of 3000 pounds per square inch.

Athletics and Recreation Master Plan

2.1.2.2 Superstructure

The elevated floor at the cage level, adjacent to the gymnasium, is supported by precast-prestressed hollow core concrete plank. These planks bear on cast in place concrete walls and/or steel framing. The roof of this area is constructed of precast-prestressed double-T sections that span between CMU bearing walls.

The main roofs of the cage and of the gymnasium are constructed of steel long span joists supported by steel columns and girders.

2.2.1.3 Renovation and Expansion Issues and Goals

Given the age of the existing PE Building and the existing Fieldhouse, these buildings may not comply with seismic and/or wind requirements in IBC 2000, the current governing building code. These requirements must be satisfied if significant alterations or additions are made to the existing buildings and/or if the buildings' occupancy classifications change. Therefore, careful consideration should be made to the approach of the new construction. Expansion joints must be carefully placed to allow as much of the new construction to act independently from the existing structures. Select demolition of existing one story spaces may also be prudent to allow for the most economical construction of the new spaces.

The new expansion should also be designed to minimize additional snow build up on the existing buildings, which may warrant reinforcement of some existing structural members. Placement of mechanical units, new openings, and removals of existing facades will also need to be addressed. It is obvious from the scope of the additions and renovations that modifications and potential reinforcing of the existing structure cannot be completely avoided, however, new openings and modifications should also be carefully planned to minimize these impacts wherever possible.

It is premature to discuss the specific framing types proposed for all the new construction until the design has progressed. However, it is likely that most of the new construction will be framed with conventional steel framing. Some of the areas such as the basketball courts and volleyball courts will likely use a combination of long span joists and trusses to achieve the longer spans. The lateral systems will be designed specifically for each isolated section of the structure between expansion joints. It may be economical to use a combination of X-braced frames for the larger independent structures such as the basketball courts and volleyball courts and moment frames for the one and two story adjacent spaces to allow for flexibility.

EwingCole

Mechanical and Plumbing 2.2.2

Below are the estimated heating and cooling loads as well as the preliminary design options to serve the different additions and renovations.

2.2.2.1 PE Building (60,000 S.F. Existing)

The existing PE Building is served by two (2), 1965 & 1966 vintage, 6,294 MBH (Gross Input) Kewanee Boiler Corp., natural gas fired hot water boilers, which distribute 180^oF water to the building. The approximate output of the boilers is 5,000 MBH each. The majority of the building does not have cooling, although the multipurpose rooms, as well as some adjacent spaces, are served by a Direct Expansion (DX) packaged air-handling unit.

Renovations

The projected load to add cooling to the approximate 31,600 S.F. court area is 300 Tons with an additional 100 Tons to serve the approximate 25,000 S.F. of office space, locker rooms and miscellaneous support areas. The existing heating loads for these areas should remain unchanged.

Additions (68,000 S.F.)

The projected cooling load for the 27,000 S.F. Basketball and Volleyball courts, as well as the Jogging track is 280 Tons, and an additional 235 Tons to serve the 41,000 S.F. of offices, classrooms, locker rooms and miscellaneous areas. The projected heating load for the entire addition is 1,400 MBH.

It is anticipated that connecting the building to the central plant loop will NOT be feasible at the time the additions and renovations are being constructed. The projected 915 Tons of additional cooling capacity should be provided via a water-cooled centrifugal chiller(s). Another alternative would be multiple split system or packaged DX units, or an air-cooled chiller(s). These alternatives will be less energy efficient then water-cooled chillers.

The existing Heating Load, assuming no diversity, is 4942 MBH. One of the existing, 5000 MBH boilers can accommodate this load, with the remaining boiler being used for redundancy. Assuming complete boiler redundancy is a design requirement, the additional projected heating load (1,400 MBH) could be served by an additional boiler, or gas fired furnace sections in the rooftop air-handling units. It should also be noted that the boilers have exceeded their anticipated life expectancy. Replacement of the boilers with ones of greater capacity, in a more desirable location would be prudent at this time.

2.2.2.2 Fieldhouse (122,000 S.F. Existing)

The existing Field House is served by two (2), 10,042 MBH, CNB Trifuel, hot water boilers. The boilers are currently firing on natural gas. The track area of the building does not have cooling. The offices classrooms and majority of other rooms are served by multiple Direct Expansion (DX) split system air handling units.

Renovations (61,000 S.F.)

The projected load to add cooling to the existing 61,000 Square foot track area is 550 Tons. The heating load for the space should remain unchanged. Adding a cooling coil to the existing heating & ventilating unit (HV-4) would not be feasible due to space limitations. The alternatives include a duct mounted cooling coil(s) added to the existing ductwork, a supplemental cooling unit(s), or a combination of both. Preliminary calculations indicate a need for 50,000 to 60,000 total CFM's of cooling will be required to properly cool the space. The existing HV-4 is supplying 35,000 CFM's. It should also be noted that that existing AHU Room #3, which house Units HV-2, 4, 5A, and 5B provides limited access for unit maintenance. The anticipated life expectancy for an AHU is 20 to 25 years. These units are approaching the end of their service life, and replacement in the near future should be anticipated.

Additions

EwingCole

The projected cooling load for the 48,000 S.F. of office, education and support spaces is 275 Tons, with an additional 320 Tons to serve the 17,000 S.F. (2,500 Seat) Seating Venue. The projected heating load for the addition is 2,200 MBH.

The projected 1,145 Tons of additional cooling capacity should be provided via a water-cooled centrifugal chiller(s). Another alternative would be multiple split system or packaged DX units, or air cooled chillers. These alternatives will be less energy efficient then the water-cooled chillers.

The existing Heating Load, assuming no diversity, is 2250 MBH. One of the existing boilers can accommodate this load, with the remaining boiler being used for redundancy. Based on the information provided, the existing boiler plant can accommodate the existing and the anticipated future load (2,200 MBH), while still having a redundant boiler. It should also be noted that the boilers are approaching the end of their anticipated service life. Replacement of the boilers, in a more desirable location should be considered.

A master plan alternate includes a stand alone 60,000 S.F. (5,000 Seat) Venue, adjacent to the Field House. The projected cooling load for this building would be 750 Tons with a projected heating load of 6,300 MBH.

2.2.2.3 Patriot Center (181,000 S.F. Existing)

The existing Patriot Center heating and cooling service is via the central plant heating and cooling loop. The building has a 4" Hot Water Supply & Return service and an 8" (Approximate) Chilled Water Supply & Return service. The 350[°] F Hot water is passed through a heat exchanger, which then distributes 160[°] F water to the building.

Additions (17,000 S.F.)

The projected cooling load for the 17,000 S.F. Basketball Practice Court is 180 Tons. The projected heating load for the area is 450 MBH.

Further evaluation will be required to determine spare capacity remaining in the existing hot water and chilled water mains entering the building. Assuming there is no spare capacity, heating and cooling of the new addition will be provided via new connections to the central plant loop.

EwingCole

Fire Protection and Life Safety 2.2.3

2.2.3.1 Applicable Codes

- 2000 International Building Code
- 2000 International Fire Code
- NFPA codes as referenced in the IBC and IFC

2.2.3.2 Fieldhouse PE Building Common

Building Code/Construction

From existing construction documentation on the Fieldhouse and the PE Center both facilities were considered as construction Type 2C (noncombustible unprotected) single story buildings, with mezzanines, of occupancy Group A-3 under The Virginia Uniform Statewide Building Code. The comparable construction type and occupancy classification under the 2000 edition of the International Building Code (IBC) are IIB and A-4 respectively. Similar to the provisions of the Virginia State Code, Section 507.2 of the IBC allows a one-story Group A-4 to be of unlimited area when 1) The building is provided with an automatic sprinkler system throughout; and 2) Is surrounded and adjoined by public ways or yards not less than 60 feet.

An exception allows the sprinklers to be omitted from the areas occupied for indoor participant sports for Group A-4 occupancies provided that 1) Exit doors directly to the outside are provided for occupants of the participant sports areas; and 2) The building is equipped with a fire alarm system with manual fire alarm boxes.

2.2.3.3 Fieldhouse

Fire Alarm

The Fieldhouse is provided with a Pyrotronics System 3 addressable automatic and manual fire alarm system. Initiating devices consist of single action manual pull stations located throughout the facility. Notification devices consist of horn/strobes located in throughout the facility and duct detectors. An alarm notification is transmitted to the campus security department through a Silent Knight auto-dialer system. Duct detectors are not provided in all of the AHU systems in the facility.

Athletics and Recreation Master Plan

EwingCole

Fire Protection and Life Safety 2.2.3

Fire Suppression

The Fieldhouse is provided with an automatic wet-pipe sprinkler system that provides protection for the portions of the facility that surround the central track area protection does not extend into the central track area. The system consists of a 6-inch alarm check valve and associated trim located in the electrical area on the eastern side of the facility, system piping, and sprinklers. All system control valves are provided with tamper switches. No hydraulic design information was posted or available for the system.

Recommendations

The new addition will be provided with a fire alarm system designed and installed in accordance with NFPA 72 requirements, initiation and notification devices will be located to comply with applicable ADA requirements. Due to the age of the existing fire alarm system it is recommended that the existing fire alarm panel be replaced with a state-of-the-art addressable panel and the initiation and notification devices should be replaced to match the devices installed in the addition. Additional devices will be required in the existing structure to meet ADA requirements.

Due to the floor area of the existing and planned addition to the facility the existing automatic wet-pipe sprinkler system cannot be extended into the addition. A new automatic wet-pipe designed and installed in accordance with the requirements of NFPA 13 will be provided for the addition. It is recommended that new concealed sprinklers be installed in any areas of the existing facility that are provided with new ceilings during the renovation.

2.2.3.4 PE Building

Fire Alarm

The PE Building is provided with a Simplex non-addressable automatic and manual fire alarm system. Initiating devices consist of single action manual pull stations located throughout the facility. Notification devices consist of audible bells located in strategic areas of the facility and fixed temperature heat detectors in specific rooms of the facility. An alarm notification is transmitted to the campus security department through a Silent Knight auto-dialer system. The system is connected to several electric releases for fire doors.

Fire Suppression

The PE Building is not currently protected by an automatic suppression system. No hydraulic information for the facility was available.

Recommendations

The new addition will be provided with a fire alarm system designed and installed in accordance with NFPA 72 requirements, initiation and notification devices will be located to comply with applicable ADA requirements. Due to the age of the existing fire alarm system it is recommended that the existing fire alarm panel be replaced with a state-of-the-art addressable panel and notification devices should be replaced to match the devices installed in the addition. Due to the lack of notification devices the existing bells should be removed and new devices installed in accordance with NFPA 72 and ADA requirements.

The addition and existing facility should be provided with an automatic wet-pipe system in accordance with the requirements of the IBC. Due to the combined size of the existing facility and planned addition a minimum of two sprinkler risers would be required to protect the facility. The systems would in designed and installed in accordance with NFPA 13.

2.2.4

2.2.4.1 Applicable Codes and Standards

Conform to the applicable requirements of the following standards, codes and regulations most current edition or publication, unless stated otherwise:

- National Electrical Code NFPA 70 (NEC), 2002 edition
- International Building Code, 2000 edition
- National Electrical Safety Code, ANSI C2
- National Electrical Manufacturer's Association (NEMA)
- Underwriter's Laboratories (UL)
- National Fire Protection Association (NFPA)
- Illumination Engineers Society (IES)
- Virginia Electric Power Company (VEPCO) Requirements

2.2.4.2 Executive Summary

The proposed scope of this master plan incorporates major renovations to the existing Fieldhouse (FH) and Physical Education (PE) buildings, addition of an outdoor pool to the existing Aquatic Center, addition of a two court basketball practice facility to the existing Patriot Center and numerous sport lighting additions and upgrades to several of the existing sports fields. It is the intent of this document to briefly describe the existing building and field conditions, and the proposed modernization and upgrades to these facilities in order to incorporate the proposed master plan recommendations.

A brief summary of the renovations and upgrades is below for quick reference:

Aquatic Center

• Maintain existing electrical distribution system and expand to incorporate new outdoor pool pumping and filtration equipment, lighting and miscellaneous support equipment. Present scope of renovations incorporates provisions for this addition.

5.2.2 Fieldhouse

• Demolish existing VEPCO secondary service, rated for 1200 amperes at 480/277-volt service.

Athletics and Recreation Master Plan

Electrical 2.2.4

- Demolish existing service entrance switchboard and associated panelboards, transformers, etc. due to age and proposed scope of renovations.
- Demolish existing diesel-driven emergency generator rated for 20 kW at 240/120-volt, single-phase and associated distribution equipment due to age and available capacity.
- Provide a new VEPCO secondary service and new pad mount transformer to the renovated Fieldhouse and administrative expansions.
- For the Fieldhouse, provide new service entrance rated switchboard rated for 3000 amperes at 480/277-volt due to incorporation of air conditioning and the proposed administration and seating expansions.
- Provide new electrical distribution throughout building to support renovations and expansion.
- Provide new emergency generator and associated emergency power distribution system to serve life-safety loads throughout new and renovated areas.
- Maintain and extend existing telecommunications services as required.
- For the stand-alone, 20,000 square-foot, 2,400 seat indoor venue, provide a new service entrance rated switchboard rated for 600 amperes at 480/277-volt.
- Illumination levels in the 20,000 square-foot venue will be based on IES category Class II "College under 5,000 spectators" achieving 75 footcandles.

Physical Education (PE) Building

- Demolish existing VEPCO secondary service, rated for 1200 amperes at 480/277-volt service and associated "emergency" power feeder from pad-mount transformer.
- Demolish existing service entrance switchboard and associated panelboards, transformers, etc. due to age and proposed scope of renovations.
- Maintain and extend existing telecommunications raceway to new location.
- Provide new VEPCO secondary service and new pad mount transformer to new main electrical room.

2.2.4

- Provide new service entrance rated switchboard, rated for 2500 amperes at 480/277-volt.
- Provide new electrical distribution throughout building to support renovations and expansion.
- Provide new emergency generator and associated emergency power distribution system to serve life-safety loads throughout new and renovated areas.
- Illumination levels in new basketball and track expansions will be based on Class III "Recreational Use" achieving 50 footcandles.

Patriot Center - Practice Facility Expansion

- Provide electrical feeder(s) from existing switchboard in Patriot Center to serve the 17,000 square-foot basketball practice facility expansion. The projected demand load requirements for the expansion are approximately 250 amperes at 480/277 volt.
- Illumination levels in new basketball practice expansion will be based on Class II "Varsity Practice Use" achieving 75 footcandles.

Outdoor Field Renovations and Upgrades

- Field P12 Recreational Field Provide new field illumination suitable for intramural soccer/football/field hockey lighting based on IES Class III "Recreational Use" achieving 30 footcandles.
- Field P13 Robinson Field Demolish existing sports luminaires and associated poles and provide new field illumination suitable for soccer/football sports club and varsity lighting based on IES Class I – "College – 5,000 to 10,000 spectators" achieving 75 footcandles.
- Field P16 Competition Tennis Courts Provide new field illumination suitable for varsity tennis competition lighting based on IES Class II "Sports Club under 5,000 spectators" achieving 75 footcandles.
- Field P17 Main Track/Field Facility Demolish existing sports luminaires and associated poles and provide new field illumination suitable for competition track/field, soccer/football lighting based on IES Class I – "College – over 5,000 spectators" achieving 75 footcandles.

- Field P18 Baseball Stadium Provide new field illumination suitable for college baseball lighting based on IES Class II "College – under 5,000 spectators" achieving 100 footcandles in the in-field and 70 footcandles in the outfield.
- Field P19 Recreational Outdoor Field #1 Provide new field illumination suitable for intramural soccer/football practice lighting based on IES Class III "Recreational Use" achieving 30 footcandles.
- Field P22 Recreational Outdoor Field #5 Provide new field illumination suitable for intramural soccer/football practice lighting based on IES Class III "Recreational Use" achieving 30 footcandles.

2.2.4.3 Analysis of Existing Conditions and Impact of Proposed Expansions and Renovations

The buildings covered under the scope of this report include the Aquatic Center, Fieldhouse, Physical Education (PE) Building and the Patriot Center.

Under the scope of this master plan, the impact of the proposed renovations to the existing building electrical distribution and telecommunications systems will be discussed herein. Refer to the architectural, fire-protection and mechanical portions of this report for a detailed description of the modifications to these aspects of the buildings.

Aquatic Center

Analysis of Existing Conditions

The existing Aquatic Center is presently served with 480/277 volt, three-phase secondary utilization voltage via an existing exterior pad-mount transformer provided by VEPCO. The secondary service conductors from the utility pad-mount transformer terminate into an existing switchboard, which distributes power to the mechanical, lighting and power systems located throughout the facility.

The building is presently under renovation and per the university, the renovations are incorporating expansion space in the electrical distribution system to serve the future exterior pool and associated amenities.

Proposed Expansions and Renovations

The proposed expansions to the existing Aquatic Center under the scope of this mater plan are limited to the incorporation of a new outdoor pool and associated support spaces. Per the Owner, the Aquatic Center is presently undergoing renovations and expansion capacity is incorporated into the present project for the future outdoor pool.

Therefore, power to the pool pumping systems, lighting and associated branch circuit support systems will originate from the existing center's electrical distribution system.

Similarly, new telecommunication system devices to support the outdoor pool area will originate from the existing center's telecommunication systems.

Fieldhouse

Analysis of Existing Conditions

The existing Fieldhouse is served with secondary, utilization voltage from an existing VEPCO pad-mount transformer located on the south side of the building, adjacent to Ox Road, Route 123. The secondary, 480/277-volt, three-phase service conductors terminate into an existing 1200-ampere, 480/277-volt switchboard located in the first floor main electrical room. The existing switchboard is manufactured by the Square D Company, Power-R-Style model and is approximately 20 years old. The secondary distribution of this switchboard consists of six (6) fusible disconnect switches serving two (2) motor control centers, two (2) distribution panels, dryer unit and panel HT (electric heat mat). The switchboard does not have a main circuit breaker and, per the NEC Article 230.71, cannot be expanded due to existing six (6) disconnect switches. The secondary utility meter and current transformers are mounted adjacent to this switchboard, in the main electrical room.

As previously mentioned, the main switchboard distributes 480/277-volt power to two (2) distribution panels. Distribution panel "HPA" is located in the main electrical room adjacent to the main switchboard and serves appliance and lighting loads located throughout the southern half of the building on both the first and second floors. Distribution panel "HPB" is located on the north side of the building, in the second floor electrical room. Similarly, distribution panel "HPB" serves both appliance and lighting loads located along the north side of the building. These panels appear to have been installed under the original building construction project and are approximately 20 years old.

Motor control center MCC-1 is located in the storage room adjacent to the main electrical room and serves ventilation fans, pumps, miscellaneous condensing units and air handlers located on the south side of the building. This motor control center is manufactured by the Square D Company, Model 4, and is rated for 600-amperes at 480-volts, three-phase. There appear to be 4 spaces available in the existing motor control center for future expansion. This unit appears to have been provided under the base building project.

Motor control center MCC-2 is located in the first floor mechanical room on the north side of the building and serves the two boiler units, associated pumps, ventilation and exhaust fans, and other mechanical equipment. Similar to MCC-1, this motor control center is also manufactured by the Square D Company and has the same electrical characteristics as MCC-1. There does not appear to be any available spare starters or space to handle future equipment.



press box, bleachers on-walk-in s rated for tic transfer phase and minaires. arge (HID) ation level

A dedicated 100-ampere feeder originating in the main electrical room presently serves the existing exterior field press box, scoreboard and irrigation system. An existing electrical handhole was identified between the Fieldhouse and the bleachers for this feeder.

Emergency/standby power for the Fieldhouse is derived from a diesel-driven prime mover located in a non-walk-in weatherproof enclosure located adjacent to the main electrical room. The generator is manufactured by Kohler, is rated for 20 kW/ 25 kVA at a distribution voltage of 240/120-volt, single-phase and serves a dedicated life-safety automatic transfer switch located in the main electrical room. The transfer switch is rated for 104 amperes at 240/120-volt, single-phase and serves a dedicated emergency power panel. Emergency loads served by this panel are primarily egress and exit luminaires.

Illumination within the main track area is accomplished via the use of pendant mounted high intensity discharge (HID) luminaires mounted on a 30-foot grid spacing and use 400-watt metal-halide lamps. Per the Owner, the illumination level achieved throughout the floor area is approximately 60 footcandles.

Fluorescent luminaires provide lighting throughout the administrative, locker and associated support offices.

Lighting controls for the main track area is accomplished via the use of lighting contactors and remote switches. Lighting within the administrative and support spaces utilize local switching.

Telecommunication services appear to originate from the utility underground ductbank system and are extended into the Fieldhouse from a manhole located between the building and the outdoor track. Presently, the Owner is completing the installation of new underground ductbanks underneath Ox Road (Route 123) to connect the main campus and the Fieldhouse.

The existing mechanical systems for the building provide heating and ventilation only. Air conditioning for the administrative offices is accomplished via the use of dedicated split-systems. In most cases, the air handler is located above the ceiling and the condenser is located on grade, adjacent to the building.

Illumination for the adjacent outdoor track and sport field is derived from a series of standard sports luminaires mounted to steel poles located along the perimeter of the track. Electrical service for the field lighting originates in a dedicated pad-mount VEPCO transformer located adjacent to the existing field maintenance shop. This pad-mounted transformer serves dedicated electrical distribution equipment, which in turn, serves the field lighting.

Emergency/egress illumination for the bleachers and public areas originates in a dedicated diesel-driven prime mover located adjacent to the VEPCO transformer. The emergency generator has an integral automatic transfer switch and electrical panel, which distributes emergency power to aisle lighting throughout public areas. In addition, the emergency generator serves

EwingCole

Athletics and Recreation Master Plan

dedicated 1000-watt quartz luminaires mounted beneath the sports lighters to provide minimal illumination throughout the in field.

Electrical

Proposed Expansions and Renovations

EwinaCole

Expansion and renovations to the existing Fieldhouse include renovation of the existing administrative and support areas surrounding the running track. Also, the scope of these renovations includes providing air conditioning to the entire facility.

Based on the preliminary HVAC system requirements, the existing building electrical service is insufficient to handle the mechanical system requirements. In addition, the proposed expansion of the existing building by approximately 42,000 square-feet will require additional power, which does not appear to be available from the existing electrical service.

Therefore, based on the proposed facility expansions and renovations, a new secondary utility service from VEPCO is recommended to serve the renovated facility. This new service is proposed to be rated for 3000 amperes at a distribution voltage of 480/277-volts and will serve the new mechanical systems, lighting and appliance loads located throughout the facility. The new secondary service is proposed to feed a service-entrance rated switchboard to be located in a new main electrical room within the building expansion. In addition, the new switchboard may re-feed the existing building distribution equipment, however, this depends upon the final scope of the proposed renovations.

Due to the expansion of the existing facility, the existing emergency generator will be insufficient to handle the growth of the life-safety loads for the building. Thus, it is proposed that a new diesel-driven emergency generator located in a non-walk-in enclosure be provided for the renovated building. It is proposed that the new generator serve a new emergency distribution system that may consist of a new automatic transfer switch, distribution panel and branch circuit panels. The typical loads that will be served by this system are anticipated to be emergency/egress lighting, the fire alarm system and other life-safety type loads.

Telecommunication services are presently derived from the existing utility manhole system. Per the Owner, the campus telecommunication infrastructure ductbank system will be expanded underneath Ox Road (Rt. 123) towards the west end of the campus. Therefore, it is proposed that a new underground ductbank system be provided for expansion of the campus telecommunication system into the renovated Fieldhouse.

Under the scope of these proposed renovations, the existing field lighting system will be upgraded to meet the latest standards for field illumination as determined by the Illumination Engineers Society (IES) for NCAA track and field events. The renovated field will require an illumination level suitable for competition track/field, and soccer/football lighting based on IES Class I – "College – over 5,000 spectators" achieving an average maintained lighting level of 75 footcandles on the field and track. In order to accomplish this level of illumination, it is recommended that high intensity discharge (HID) luminaires

specifically designed for sports lighting be mounted to new poles strategically located around the perimeter of the track. These luminaires will use 1500-watt metal halide lamps and be group mounted on the new poles.

The proposed renovation to the existing field lighting may require the replacement of the existing VEPCO service with a larger service as required. More information will be necessary to determine the impact of the lighting renovation on the existing utility service.

Power to the proposed amenities expansions, which may include food services, restroom facilities and support spaces, may originate from either the Fieldhouse or field lighting electrical distribution systems.

Under the master plan, a new stand-alone, 30,000 square-foot, 2,400 seat indoor venue is proposed to be located adjacent to the existing Fieldhouse. Based on the air conditioning, electrical and lighting requirements for this facility, it is recommended that a dedicated secondary utility service be provided for this building. A new VEPCO pad-mount transformer is proposed to be located adjacent to the new building and serve a service-entrance rated switchboard located in a main electrical room on the first floor of the new venue. This switchboard is proposed to be rated for 1600 amperes at 480/277-volt, three phase and serve air conditioning, heating, plumbing, lighting and appliance loads located throughout the venue.

Illumination criteria for this facility requires lighting levels suitable for competition volleyball and wrestling, IES category Class II – "College – under 5,000 spectators" achieving an average illumination level of 75 footcandles. Illumination within the new venue will be accomplished via the use of pendant mounted, high intensity discharge (HID) high-bay luminaires utilizing 1,000-watt metal-halide lamps.

Physical Education Building

Analysis of Existing Conditions

Similar to the Fieldhouse, the Physical Education building is served with secondary utility power from a dedicated, pad-mount transformer located on the landscaped area adjacent to the main electrical/mechanical room. The secondary feeder conductors use a series of underground conduits and terminate into an existing Similar to the Fieldhouse; the Physical Education building is served with secondary utility power from a dedicated, pad-mount transformer located on the landscaped area adjacent to the main electrical/mechanical room. The secondary feeder conductors use a series of underground conduits and terminate into an existing service entrance rated switchboard located in the corner of the electrical/mechanical room.

The main switchboard is rated for 1200 amperes at a distribution voltage of 480/277-volts, three-phase and serves numerous motor control centers, distribution panels and lighting panels located throughout the building. The switchboard has a 1200-



Athletics and Recreation Master Plan

ampere main circuit breaker and one (1) space for a future feeder device. However, the switchboard is approximately 20 years old and nearing the end of its serviceable life.

Existing temporary trailers are located adjacent to the main building and house classrooms and administrative support spaces. Electrical service for these trailers is derived from a dedicated VEPCO pad mount transformer located opposite the Physical Education building service.

Emergency power for the building originates in a second "main circuit breaker" located in the main service entrance switchboard. The 100-ampere, three-pole circuit breaker is "tapped" ahead of the main circuit breaker and provides utility power to the building's fire alarm system and a dedicated panel "EM" located in the support spaces adjacent to the main basketball gym. This panel provides "emergency" power to strategically located luminaires in the main basketball gym and to several emergency battery pack units located throughout the building.

Illumination within the main basketball gym is accomplished with strategically located high intensity discharge (HID) luminaires utilizing 400-watt metal halide lamps. Control of these luminaires is accomplished via the use of dedicated lighting contactors.

Illumination in the general sports gym utilizes similar luminaires, however, the spacing of these luminaires appears to be greater than the layout in the basketball gym, suggesting a lower level of illumination.

Lighting within the administrative, locker and support spaces utilize standard fluorescent luminaires with either acrylic or parabolic lenses. In addition, many of these luminaires utilize standard T-12 fluorescent lamps and, most likely, magnetic ballasts.

Most of the existing building is equipped with mechanical systems that provide heating and ventilation only. With the exception of the second floor administrative and meeting rooms, most of the building does not have air conditioning available.

Telecommunication services for the building originate from the campus underground ductbank system and are extended via three (3) 4-inch underground conduits to the electrical/mechanical room. Two (2) of these conduits are extended overhead through this room and continue onto an existing termination location within the building. One of the conduits has telecommunication services, which appear to consist of both fiber optic and multi-pair copper cables. The other conduit is spare and equipped with a pullcord for future use.

Robinson Field is located adjacent to the Physical Education building and is used as a practice and game facility for club football and intramural sports. Electric service to the field for power to support lighting, scoreboard and the up-link communications module are derived from the PE building. A dedicated, exterior panelboard rated for 400 amperes at
208/120 volt, is located adjacent to the field and is mounted in a NEMA 3R weatherproof enclosure. Field lighting is controlled via a locally mounted mechanical time clock and lighting contactor.

Per the Owner, the existing sports lighters were "salvaged" from a local prison and are in poor condition. These luminaires are presently mounted on wood poles, which are deteriorating and are no longer in their original, aimed position. In addition, the Owner stated that the luminaire supports are failing and, on several occasions, luminaires have fallen from their supports.

Proposed Expansions and Renovations

Expansion and renovations to the existing PE building include renovation of the existing administrative and support areas, locker rooms and gymnasiums. Also, the scope of these renovations include two (2) new indoor recreational basketball/volleyball courts, jogging track, weight and fitness center and racquetball courts. In addition, the scope of the renovations incorporates air conditioning to the existing and new portions of the facility.

Based on the preliminary HVAC system requirements, the existing building electrical service is insufficient to handle the mechanical system requirements. In addition, the proposed expansion of the existing building by approximately 68,000 square-feet will require additional power, which does not appear to be available from the existing secondary utility electrical service.

Therefore, based on the proposed facility expansions and renovations, a new secondary utility service from VEPCO is recommended to serve the renovated building. This new service is proposed to be rated for 2500 amperes at a distribution voltage of 480/277-volts and will serve the new mechanical systems and lighting and appliance loads located throughout the facility. The new secondary service is proposed to feed a service-entrance rated switchboard, which may be located in a new main electrical room within the building expansion. In addition, the new switchboard will serve new distribution equipment to support the renovated areas within the building.

Due to the expansion of the existing facility, it is recommended that the life-safety and other essential building loads be served with emergency power from a dedicated emergency generator. Therefore, it is proposed that a new diesel-driven emergency generator located in a non-walk-in enclosure be provided for the renovated building. This generator may serve a new emergency distribution system that may consist of a new automatic transfer switch, distribution panel and branch circuit panels. The typical loads that will be served by this system are anticipated to be emergency/egress lighting, the fire alarm system and other life-safety type loads.

Illumination within the new basketball and track expansions will be accomplished via the use of pendant mounted, high intensity discharge (HID) high-bay luminaires utilizing 1,000-watt metal-halide lamps. The illumination levels will be based on

George Mason University Project No. 23471



IES Category Class III – "Recreational Use" achieving an average maintained level of 50 footcandles throughout the court areas.

Telecommunication services are presently derived from the existing campus telecommunication ductbank and manhole system. The existing conduits terminate in the electrical/mechanical room and are extended into the second floor office area of the building. These conduits were recently installed and appear to have sufficient space for future system cabling. Thus, it is recommended that these conduits remain and be modified as required to support the proposed building expansion/renovation.

Under the scope of these proposed renovations, existing Robinson's field, field P13, lighting system will be upgraded to meet the latest standards for field illumination as determined by the Illumination Engineers Society (IES) for NCAA track and field events. The renovated field will require an illumination level suitable for soccer/football sports club and varsity lighting based on IES Class I – "College –5,000 to 10,000 spectators" achieving an average maintained lighting level of 75 footcandles on the field. In order to accomplish this level of illumination, it is recommended that high intensity discharge (HID) luminaires specifically designed for sports lighting be mounted to new poles strategically located around the perimeter of the field. These luminaires will use 1500-watt metal halide lamps and be group mounted on the new poles.

The proposed renovation to the existing field lighting will require the replacement of the existing weatherproof 400 ampere, 208/120-volt distribution panel with a larger service as required. It is proposed that the new field lighting be served from the PE building electrical distribution system via a new pad mount distribution center consisting of a 480/277-volt distribution panel, step-down transformer and 208/120-volt appliance panel all mounted within a NEMA 3R, weatherproof enclosure. This distribution center will also serve the existing scoreboard, press box and communications transmitter. However, more information will be necessary to determine the impact of the field lighting renovation on the revised building service.

Patriot Center

Analysis of Existing Conditions

The existing Patriot Center is the main basketball and sports venue for the campus. The existing electrical distribution system for the facility originates from the campus utility primary loop system and terminates in a dedicated utility pad-mount transformer located adjacent to the building's loading dock. The secondary conductors terminate into a service entrance rated switchboard located in the main electrical room. This switchboard is rated for 2000 amperes at 480/277-volts, three-phase and distributes power throughout the facility. Based on the survey information, this switchboard appears to have numerous available spare/space feeder buckets for future electrical loads.

George Mason University Project No. 23471

2.2.4

Emergency power for the center originates in a non-walk-in type, diesel-driven emergency generator located adjacent to the VEPCO pad-mount transformer. This generator serves a dedicated automatic transfer switch, which, in turn, serves the center's life-safety loads.

Electrical

Telecommunication services for the center were not observed during the walk-through.

Proposed Expansions and Renovations

The proposed 17,000 square-foot addition to the Patriot Center will incorporate a two (2) new practice basketball courts suitable for varsity practice and will require "varsity practice" level illumination and air conditioning. Based on the existing electrical demand load information, it appears that the existing 2000-ampere, 480/277-volt secondary utility service will be capable of serving this expansion. Therefore, it is proposed that new electrical feeders originate in the existing Patriot Center switchboard and terminate in new distribution equipment located in the building expansion. These panels will serve the proposed lighting, HVAC and power systems as required to serve the building program.

Emergency power for the existing Patriot Center originates in an outdoor diesel-driven emergency generator. Based on discussions with the Owner, it appears that this generator has sufficient capacity to serve the life-safety loads in the proposed building expansion. Thus, it is proposed that a new emergency power feeder, originating in the Patriot Center emergency distribution equipment, be terminated into a new emergency power panel located in the expansion. This panel will serve emergency/egress lighting, the fire alarm system expansion and other life-safety loads.

Illumination levels for the new basketball expansion will be based on the Illumination Engineers Society (IES) for a Class I – "Varsity Practice Use" facility achieving an average maintained horizontal illumination level of $100\pm$ footcandles. In order to accomplish this level of illumination, it is recommended that high intensity discharge (HID) luminaires specifically designed for sports lighting be strategically pendant mounted below the structure above. These luminaires may be surface mount, high-bay type fixtures utilizing 1000-watt metal-halide sources achieving the recommended lighting level.

Field Renovations and Upgrades

EwingCole

New recreational field P12 is proposed to be located adjacent to the PE building and will be used for intramural soccer/football/field hockey. Therefore, the illumination level for the field will be based on IES Class III – "Recreational Use" achieving an average maintained horizontal illumination level of 30 footcandles on the field. In order to accomplish this level of illumination, it is recommended that high intensity discharge (HID) luminaires specifically designed for sports lighting be mounted to new poles strategically located around the perimeter of the field. These luminaires will use 1500-watt metal halide lamps and be group mounted on the new poles surrounding the field. It is proposed that a new secondary utility service from VEPCO be provided to serve the new field lighting. In addition to the utility pad-mount transformer, a new



Athletics and Recreation Master Plan

distribution panel and lighting controls are proposed to serve and control the new field lighting. These devices may be installed within a weatherproof enclosure and installed adjacent to the field.

New varsity competition tennis courts, field P16, is proposed to be located adjacent to the Fieldhouse. The lighting category for these types of tennis courts is based on IES Class II – "Sports Club – under 5,000 spectators" achieving an average maintained illumination level of 75 footcandles. It is recommended that sports lighting luminaires utilizing 1,000-watt metal-halide lamp sources be group mounted on 50-foot poles, strategically located around and within the tennis courts. In order to provide power to these remotely located courts, it is proposed that a new secondary utility service from VEPCO be provided to serve the new court lighting. It is recommended that similar outdoor rated lighting control and power distribution equipment be provided for the court lighting system.

The existing varsity baseball field, field P18, will be reconfigured under the scope of the proposed renovations. Thus, new field illumination suitable for varsity baseball lighting will be provided based on IES category Class II – "College – under 5,000 spectators". The resultant average maintained illumination levels will achieve 100 footcandles in the in field and 70 footcandles in the outfield. In order to accomplish these levels of illumination, it is recommended that high intensity discharge (HID) luminaires specifically designed for sports lighting be mounted to new poles strategically located around the perimeter of the field. These luminaires will use 1500-watt metal halide lamps and be group mounted on the new poles. It is proposed that a new secondary utility service from VEPCO be provided to serve the new field lighting. In addition to the utility padmount transformer, a new distribution panel and lighting controls are proposed to serve and control the new field lighting, as well as provide power to the proposed concessions and restroom facilitates. These devices may be installed within a weatherproof enclosure and installed adjacent to the field.

New remote recreational fields, fields P19 and P22, are proposed to be located west of the Fieldhouse and will be used for intramural soccer and football. Therefore, the illumination level for the field will be based on IES Class III – "Recreational Use" achieving an average maintained horizontal illumination level of 30 footcandles on the field. In order to accomplish this level of illumination, it is recommended that high intensity discharge (HID) luminaires specifically designed for sports lighting be mounted to new poles strategically located around the perimeter of the each of these fields. These luminaires will use 1500-watt metal halide lamps and be group mounted on the new poles surrounding the field. It is proposed new secondary utility services from VEPCO be provided to serve the new field lighting at each field. In addition to the utility pad-mount transformer, a new distribution panel and lighting controls are proposed to serve and control the new field lighting. These devices may be installed within a weatherproof enclosure and installed adjacent to each field.

Lighting controls for the recreational and varsity fields may consist of either simple lighting contactor and time clock configurations or remotely controlled, wireless systems. The level of complexity and controllability requires further discussion with the University.